Perspectives on Wheat Quality: Why Does the Definition Keep Changing?

- The "Assessment of Grain Quality: From Breeding to Store Shelf" symposium, to be held at the 2010 AACC International Annual Meeting in October hopes to illuminate the elusive nature of quality.
- This year's presenters will explore "quality" at various steps in wheat development and utilization.
- As whole grain products receive more attention from scientists and consumers, new methods and definitions of what constitutes quality for consumers becomes more important.
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Achieving quality, especially in wheat, is difficult at the best of times. Quality is an elusive goal in science generally and in cereal chemistry in particular. Science concerns itself with concrete, quantitative information—measurements of amounts, weights, and performance. But "quality" is an elusive and malleable concept that defies exact definition because it essentially comes down to people's perception. Quality is what people determine the sum of traits to be: there is no right or wrong, just degrees to which complex attributes are perceived in their totality. And because people differ in their perceptions, individually and culturally, obtaining a single definition of quality is nearly impossible.

Not only is quality a difficult goal when people's judgments are involved, but the definition of what wheat quality is differs depending on the particular portion of the wheat development, marketing, and end-use spectrum being discussed. Each phase of wheat development and marketing requires different definitions of quality. At each stage, the definitions may not only be different, but occasionally contradictory.

Moreover, within portions of the wheat utilization community, little understanding exists as to why the definitions of quality at one phase do not imply that quality exists in another phase. For example, some wheat buyers are disappointed that buying U.S. number-one wheat for milling does not translate into providing stellar end-use functionality in bakeries. The fact that grading and marketing standards primarily reflect the soundness and cleanliness of grain (which do not characterize anything about end-use functionality) is not always completely understood.

At this October's AACC International Annual Meeting in Savannah, GA, U.S.A., a symposium, entitled "Assessment of Grain Quality: From Breeding to Store Shelf," will feature six speakers who will discuss aspects of quality and meaningful current and potential testing methodologies. Since the definition of quality changes during each stage of the breeding, marketing, milling, and end-use of grain utilization process, the symposium will focus on new and novel analytical tools and techniques, based on cereal chemistry, appropriate to defining quality at each stage of grain production and utilization. Techniques discussed range from molecular marker technology to analyses of bioactive compounds. Newer, nontraditional methods of analysis will be emphasized. Basic chemical and molecular biological tests will be discussed to a greater extent than
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**Determining Quality**

Robert Graybosch (USDA-ARS, Lincoln, NE, U.S.A.) will discuss the first phase of quality determination in wheat. Over the past four decades, the area of plant breeding and genetics has been revolutionized by technological advances in the areas of DNA manipulation and evaluation. These approaches have brought new products to market via genetic transformation and have vastly improved the efficiency of selection in breeding programs.

At the same time, technological advances in the fields of immunology and spectroscopy have improved breeders' ability to rapidly describe the phenotype of new selections.

This portion of the symposium will examine some of the advances in genetic manipulation, DNA marker assisted selection, and rapid phenotyping that have impacted breeding efforts to improve or alter wheat quality. Attention will be focused on efforts to alter grain polyphenol oxidase activities, starch composition, gluten strength, and tolerance to preharvest sprouting. The optimal method for each approach will be identified.

Glen Weaver (Conagra, Omaha, NE, U.S.A.) will discuss aspects of quality during the marketing and grain movement portion of wheat trade and utilization. The quest for knowledge, and the definition of "wheat quality," is a goal of every person in today's wheat business and scientific environment. This is especially true when defining the term "quality" in the channels dealing with a vast range of wheat classes and potential functionality. The process begins with the inception of a variety and is present all the way to the consumer searching through retailer shelves for products that meet an individual's product quality ideals.
mmercial mills manage the quality requirements of their customers, while trying to obtain quality from their grain suppliers who have different definitions of quality?

In experimental milling, there are two basic approaches for defining quality. First (which certainly exists), is an experimental method that minimizes variability due to the milling process, so we can evaluate the differences in intrinsic wheat performance. Second (which doesn’t necessarily exist), reflects commercial mill performance, where a flour mill sets the extraction based on a budgeted amount for maximum economic gain.

Mills, as customers, use data from experimental milling to make decisions about which wheat to buy. However, the milling yield and rheological/end-use data are based on wheat and flour from the standardized experimental method. For example, will a wheat lot exhibiting great baking performance at 65% extraction, demonstrate the same great baking performance when the wheat is milled at the “budgeted” extraction of 75%? Commercial and experimental milling data can be correlated, but are these data reflected in end-use performance at bakeries? Information will be presented about the degree to which experimental milling techniques agree with commercial milling results.

Ian Batey (CSIRO Food Science, North Ryde, Australia) will take up the discussion of how to analyze and define flour quality. Traditional methods of measuring flour quality require significant amounts of material, time, and the availability of appropriate (and usually expensive) equipment. While the amount of material is not usually an issue in the commercial world, time and equipment are not always on hand. Sometimes the equipment is present but the amount of material required for testing (such as in breeding programs) is not. There are many predictive tests for flour quality that can be applied in appropriate situations. Some of these require high-tech equipment, such as high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), while others are more basic. They all give the possibility of allowing the selection of samples of suitable quality for the purpose in mind.

Sometimes, exactly defining end-use applicability in a specific product is not necessary; in which case, predictive tests may be applied. Furthermore, predictive testing has advanced over the years and has moved beyond traditional testing instruments that still have substantial deficiencies, although the methods have served the industry well in the past. Newer chemical and physical testing methods have the capability of more exactly and/or more quickly defining the potential quality of more flour lots.

New Quality Methods

Mirko Bunzel (University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, U.S.A.) will discuss new aspects of wheat quality that are becoming increasingly important to the consumer after production. Phytochemicals from cereal grains, e.g., hydroxy-cinnamic acids, lignans, phytosterols, etc., have been determined to be responsible for a range of health-beneficial effects and are related to whole grain consumption. However, some of the beneficial compounds to human health create challenges in the processing and production aspects of wheat utilization.

Recently, a lot of publications contain data about different cereal grain phytochemicals and their amounts in cereal-based products. However, since the methods are often nonvalidated and/or utilize unspecific methodologies, it is hard to compare results from different laboratories. Minimum requirements for analytical methodologies (e.g., determination of the limit of detection, limit of determination, recovery, etc.) used to analyze phytochemicals from cereal grains will be discussed in this presentation. Also, widely used but unspecific methodologies, to determine, for example, total phenolic content, etc., will be discussed as well as what conclusions can be drawn from applying these methods.

As whole grain products receive more attention from scientists and consumers, new methods and definitions of what constitutes quality for consumers becomes more important. Quality, as it is reflected in nutrition and health, requires better and more standardized methodology to allow discussion and comparisons to be made in an informed fashion.

The Grain Chain

Ed Souza (USDA-ARS Soft Wheat Quality Lab, Wooster, OH, U.S.A.) is the last speaker in the symposium’s program. He will address the sum of the laboratory-based techniques and how researchers can use wheat breeding programs to advance the knowledge available in the whole wheat marketing and utilization chain.

Wheat evaluation programs receive large numbers of newly bred wheat lines. Sometimes, lines stand out as having either excellent or extraordinarily poor quality attributes (per the definitions provided by the previous speakers). These samples provide subject matter for further research into the causes of their quality attributes or deficiencies. The identification of the biochemical components that influence quality leads to the development of new techniques and tests. Much of AACC Intl.’s approved methods have tests derived from just such research. The development of new testing methods is crucial to implementing new knowledge of critical quality attributes into the wheat breeding and development process.

Furthermore, the tests that are developed can point the way to “backtracking” to the genetic underpinnings of the biochemical influences on quality. Identification of genes of interest, genes that the utilization community either wants to have emphasized or deleted, is critical to the advancement of quality in the marketplace and the economic benefits that can be derived. Deploying molecular markers or DNA sequences to wheat breeders allows them to rapidly identify parental sources of the genes of interest and to screen large numbers of progeny for potential advancement in breeding programs.

Breeding new, high-quality wheat lines requires informed decisions about the selection of lines. And the information to
power these decisions comes from the culmination of the tests that have been designed to measure and track "quality" all the way back to the genes that can be emphasized or removed from the wheat's genome.

Overall, consumers pay for the perceived quality of products, balancing their desire for quality with the cost of obtaining it. If wheat breeders, marketers, millers, and end-users can efficiently and economically provide quality to consumers at each point of the wheat utilization chain everyone benefits. The "Assessment of Grain Quality: From Breeding to Store Shelf" symposium hopes to illuminate the elusive nature of quality.

We encourage attendees to come to the symposium with their thoughts and questions as well provide an even more beneficial and educational experience through an animated half-hour discussion and question-and-answer period after the presentations have concluded. We, the authors and organizers of the symposium, also wish to thank the presenters for their time in both presenting and contributing their thoughts for this article.
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