Scaling-up knowledge of growing-season net ecosystem exchange for long-term assessment of North Dakota grasslands under the Conservation Reserve Program
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Abstract

Scaling-up knowledge of land-atmosphere net ecosystem exchange (NEE) from a single experimental site to numerous perennial grass fields in the Northern Great Plains (NGP) requires appropriate scaling protocols. We addressed this problem using synoptic data available from the Landsat sensor for 10 growing seasons (April 15 to September 30) over a North Dakota field-site, where we continuously measured CO2 exchange using a Bowen Ratio Energy Balance (BREB) system. NEE observed during the growing season at our field-site from 1997 to 2006 vacillated with drought and deluge, with net carbon (C) losses to the atmosphere in 2006. We used stepwise linear regression with 10 years of Landsat and NEE data to construct and validate a model for estimating grassland growing-season NEE from field to landscape scales. Eighty-nine percent of the variability in NEE was explained by year, live biomass, carbon : nitrogen ratio, day of image acquisition, and annual precipitation. We then applied this model on 20,620 ha of North Dakota perennial grass fields enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), including 1272 fields east of the Missouri River and 165 fields west-river. Growing-season NEE for individual CRP fields was highly variable from 1997 to 2006, ranging from ~366 to 692 g C m⁻² growing season⁻¹. Mean annual growing-season fluxes over 10 years for CRP fields located east-river and west-river were 317 g C m⁻² growing season⁻¹ and 239 g C m⁻² growing season⁻¹, respectively. Average cumulative growing-season NEE modeled for fields east- and west-river diverged from one another in 2002–2006, when west-river fields received <70% of the long-term annual average precipitation during these years. Results indicate assessment of conservation practices on grassland CO₂ exchange during the growing season can be remotely estimated at field and landscape scales under variable environmental conditions and should be followed up with similar, spatially explicit investigations of NEE during the dormant season.
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Introduction

Quantification of how agricultural management practices influence growing-season net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in the Northern Great Plains (NGP) is limited by the number of long-term measurement sites (Verburg et al., 2004). Lack of data, particularly data spanning a wide range of environmental conditions, restrains knowledge of how NEE changes with land management (Suyker et al., 2003; Wylie et al., 2007). Net CO₂-C uptake may be greater for annual crop fields converted to perennial grasses under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) because these fields are not mechanically disturbed by tillage or chemically amended with fertilizers that release additional greenhouse gases (Robertson et al., 2000). However, effects of conservation on plant mediated exchange of C between the land and atmosphere will likely vary (with plant properties and processes) over time and space, calling for temporally and spatially explicit biological information. Moderate-to-high resolution optical data can be used to model live biomass (LB) and canopy carbon : nitrogen ratio (CN) for NGP grasslands (Beeri et al., 2007b). Synoptic tools for delineating plant
properties offer potential vehicles for ‘scaling up’ current knowledge of grassland growing-season NEE necessary to address landscape-scale questions.

Grasslands in North Dakota (ND) are highly influenced by annual precipitation, with productivity patterns following an east-to-west precipitation gradient across the state (Rogler & Haas, 1946). Production is also affected by the timing of precipitation events (Knapp et al., 2002). Grassland NEE data collected during 6 normal-to-high rainfall years at Mandan, ND, indicated growing-season NEE varied from 500 to 1500 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) (Frank, 2004). However, growing-season NEE during low precipitation years has not been reported for ND grasslands. Multiple years of data collected for both low and high annual precipitation years (drought to deluge) are needed to determine long-term effects of management on C (Suyker et al., 2003; Verburg et al., 2004; Wylie et al., 2007). Moreover, quantifying the effect of conservation practices (such as CRP) on growing-season NEE requires methods for scaling-up data collected at a specific site to thousands of fields in production.

While growing-season NEE is highly influenced by climatic variability, environmental drivers alone do not sufficiently explain interannual variability (Hui et al., 2003). Biotic factors also significantly influence ecosystem C dynamics, in some cases to a greater degree than abiotic factors (Richardson et al., 2007). For example, foliar nitrogen (N) concentration and the amount of LB represent significant sources of annual variability in gross photosynthesis (Field & Mooney, 1986; Walcroft et al., 1997), which interact with climate and phenology to alter growing-season NEE (Frank, 2004; Richardson et al., 2007). These biotic factors are spatially more variable and more difficult to measure than weather. Satellite and aerial-based methods for quantifying biomass and N content (Phillips et al., 2006; Beeri et al., 2007b) for grasses in the NGP using Landsat and Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) sensors have expanded the possibilities for including biotic data in C flux models. Both abiotic and remote sensing-based biotic information may explain a large portion of the variance in grassland NEE for a wide range of environmental conditions at landscape scales.

We postulated that growing-season NEE for the 1.3 million ha of ND grasslands enrolled in the CRP in 1996 (1.1 million ha east of the Missouri River and 0.2 million ha west-river) varied over a 10-year contract period. This program compensates producers for planting perennial grasses into fields previously used for annual crop production (USDA, 1997). Management for these ‘rested’ lands is minimal, with late-summer harvesting permitted only in emergency drought years. Fields under CRP are narrow (often <100 m wide), with contracts extending over 10 years (USDA, 2006). Consequently, coarse-resolution satellite data (e.g. 1000-m pixels) will not resolve individual CRP fields. Determination of cumulative net C uptake on a field-by-field basis over the length of a CRP contract requires modeling multiple years of data with adequate spatial resolution spanning the wide range of climatic variability (drought to deluge) common in the NGP. To the best of our knowledge, remote sensing-based models that assess effects of long-term conservation management on growing-season C uptake across ecoregions have not been published in the NGP or elsewhere. We aimed to determine if annual growing-season net C uptake modeled for 20620 ha of CRP grasslands located east and west of the Missouri River declined with drought and time since planting over a 10-year contract period.

Methods

Field site for model development

The model development field-site has been consistently managed since 1916 by lightly stocking with cattle (2.6 ha steer\(^{-1}\)) each year between June and August. Soils at the site are Temvik–Wilton silt loams [FAO: Calsic Sillic Chernozems; USDA: fine-silty, mixed, superactive, frigid Typic and Pachic Haplustolls (Soil Survey, 2006)]. Relative species composition (% basal cover) is 97% grasses and sedges (Beeri et al., 2007b). Species include a mixture of blue grama [Bouteloua gracilis (H.B.K.) Lag. Ex Griffis], western wheatgrass [Pascopyrum smithii (Rybd) Love], needle-and-thread [Hesperostipa comata (Trin.) and Rupr.], green needle grass [Nassella viridula (Trin.) Barkworth], carex (Carex filifolia Nutt. and Carex heliophila Mack.), smooth brome (Bromus inermis Leyss.), and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.). Also included are clusters of western snowberry (Symphoricarpos occidentalis Hook.) shrubs, which represent 3% of the basal cover. Average annual precipitation (from 1913 to 2006) is 412 mm, with ~ 65% of the total rainfall during the growing season. Average minimum and maximum temperatures from April to September (1913–2006) are 8.44 and 22.5 °C, respectively. During the study period, annual precipitation ranged from 212 to 571 mm (Mandan Experiment Station, ND).

NEE (g CO\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) was measured from 1997 to 2006 with a Bowen Ratio Energy-Balance (BREB) instrumentation (Model 023/CO\(_2\) Bowen ratio system, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA) for a 12.3-ha grassland field-site located at Mandan, ND (46°46′N, 100°55′W) using methods previously described (Dugas et al., 1999). The system measures canopy fluxes of CO\(_2\) every 20 min for the entire site, providing net C exchange data at an ecosystem scale. Carbon dioxide
flaxes (mg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) were derived by multiplying turbulent diffusivity by the change in the density of CO\(_2\) measured between 1 and 2 m above the canopy and correcting for differences in water vapor density (Webb et al., 1980). When turbulent diffusivity estimated by the BREB approach failed, as evidenced by differences in signs of the sensible/latent heat flux calculations and the temperature/water vapor gradient, we calculated turbulent diffusivity using wind speed, atmospheric stability, and canopy height (Dugas et al., 1999). This alternative method of estimating diffusivity was used in about 10\% of the calculations, which were observed mostly at night. Growing-season NEE (g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\)) was calculated each year by summing all observations during the growing season (April 15 to September 30). Positive values represent net C uptake. Data collected during rainfall events and instrument malfunction periods were not included. Missing data comprised <5\% of the observations during the growing-season (mostly during rainfall events); gaps in the data were not filled.

**Image processing**

We used data from two satellite sensors at two spatial resolutions. Landsat data are provided as 30-m pixels, while ASTER data vary with bands and are typically provided as 15- or 30-m pixels. Seventy-one images (65 Landsat and six ASTER) were preprocessed using ERDAS Imagine 8.7 software (Leica Geosystems GIS & Mapping LLC, Norcross, GA, USA). All images were geo-referenced to the same projection (UTM, 14 North, WGS 84 Datum). Landsat digital numbers were converted to ground reflectance (Beeri et al., 2007a). We acquired ASTER Level 1B sensor products, with registered radiance at the sensor (Abrams, 2000), on May 19, 2006; June 20, 2006; July 13, 2006; July 22, 2006; August 30, 2006; and September 15, 2006. ASTER images were also calibrated to ground reflectance using the empirical line method on data collected from calibration sites (Moran et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2002). All image data acquired over the model development field-site were cloud-free. Without a priori knowledge of which scenes would be most predictive of growing-season NEE, we used all available Landsat images acquired April-September from 1997 to 2004 and 2006 towards model development (Table 1).

**Model development field-site mapping/spectral data calculations**

The model development field-site included pixels of the *S. occidentalis* shrubs as well as grasses, which needed to be mapped and modeled separately because net C assimilation rates, biomass, evapotranspiration, leaf chemistry, and optical properties vary strongly between grasses and shrubs (Turner et al., 1999; Baldocchi et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2004). We used a submeter, real-time differential Trimble Geo XT Global Positioning System (GPS) Beacon receiver with an external antenna (Trimble Navigation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) to geo-locate *S. occidentalis* shrub clusters, which comprised an area of four Landsat pixels (30 m x 30 m). We also used GPS to delineate four representative grass areas (30 m x 30 m) surrounding the BREB system, which were also geo-located to Landsat pixels. This is contrary to methods commonly reported, where a single pixel (Wylie et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007) or a single cluster of pixels (3 pixels x 3 pixels) is selected (Turner et al., 1999). In our case, pixels surrounding the BREB system were not 100\% grass and required stratification by physiognomic type (Turner et al., 1999).

We used spectral algorithms previously developed and validated in NGP ecosystems to estimate biomass and CN for the grass and *S. occidentalis* pixels at the model development field-site (Phillips et al., 2006; Beeri et al., 2007a). The algorithm used for LB is based on the linear relationship between the Modified Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (MSAVI) and biomass field data collected bimonthly during the growing season from 1997 to 2006 for grasses and in 2001 for shrubs (Frank, 2004; Phillips et al., 2006). The MSAVI index is computed with Landsat bands 3 (B3) and 4 (B4) as follows:

\[
\text{MSAVI} = 0.5 \times \left( (2 \times B4 + 1) - \sqrt{(2 \times B4 + 1)^2 - 8 \times (B4 - B3)} \right).
\]  

(1)

MSAVI index values extracted from grass pixels were used to estimate live grass biomass (LGB) (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) according the following algorithm:

\[
\text{Live grass biomass (kg ha}\text{^{-1}}) = \left( \frac{(\text{MSAVI} - \text{MSAVI}_{\text{min}})}{(1 - \text{MSAVI}_{\text{min}})} \right) \times 4671 - 72,
\]

where MSAVI\(_{\text{min}}\) was determined by extracting data from a continuously unvegetated sites within each image. Scaling MSAVI reflectance data to a known unvegetated site and normalizing these data relative to a maximum MSAVI reflectance value of 1 is common when comparing multiple images (Qi et al., 2000). Similarly, MSAVI index values were extracted from shrub pixels to estimate live shrub biomass (LSB) (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) according the following algorithm:

\[
\text{Live shrub biomass (kg ha}\text{^{-1}}) = \left( \frac{(\text{MSAVI} - \text{MSAVI}_{\text{min}})}{(1 - \text{MSAVI}_{\text{min}})} \right) \times 4520 - 48.
\]

The spectral algorithm used to estimate canopy CN is based on the linear relationship between the Normalized
Difference of Landsat bands 5 and 3 (ND53) and field data collected bimonthly during the growing season in 2004 and tested in two NGP ecoregions in 2005 (Phillips et al., 2006; Beeri et al., 2007). ND53 is computed from Landsat data as follows to estimate canopy CN:

\[
\text{ND53} = \left(1 - \frac{(B5 - B3)}{(B5 + B3)}\right),
\]

\[
\text{CN} = 2.62 + 56.35 \times \text{ND53},
\]

where B3 and B5 are Landsat bands 3 and 5, respectively. Average LB and CN for the four grass and shrub pixels was calculated per date for use in the stepwise regression to estimate growing-season NEE (g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\)). Both stepwise regression models were used to calculate the area-weighted NEE for the entire 12.3-ha model development field-site.

Growing-season NEE regression model development and validation

Landsat data acquired from 1997 to 2003 and in 2006 (Table 1) were used to determine the regression model because they represent the greatest range in climatic variability (from deluge to drought) between 1997 and 2006. Landsat imagery collected in 2004 and 2005, along with ASTER data collected in 2006, were reserved for statistical model validation. Stepwise linear regression was performed in SAS (Joyner, 1985) according to Hocking (1976) and selected those variables that significantly (\(P < 0.15\)) contributed to the variance in growing-season NEE (g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\)) for grasses and for S. occidentalis shrubs.

Independent input variables for the stepwise regression included: annual precipitation (PPT) from weather stations located east-river at Turtle Lake (47°32’N, 100°53’W) and west-river at the Mandan Experiment Station (46°49’N, 100°54’W), year of image acquisition (YR), image acquisition day of the year (DOY), average LGB or average LSB calculated from the imagery, and average CN calculated from the imagery. We included DOY since imagery from multiple days within a year were evaluated towards developing this model, and we needed to determine if image acquisition date significantly contributed to the variance in growing-season NEE. Actual growing-season NEE, collected from the BREB, was the dependent variable. The final models (1 for grasses, 1 for S. occidentalis) were comprised of those variables that significantly affected growing-season NEE measured using the BREB system. These models were used to estimate growing-season NEE.

Table 1  Landsat imagery processed for use in model development, validation, and application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Path-Row</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Path-Row</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Path-Row</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 9, 1997</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 1, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 15, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 10, 1997</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 26, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>May 5, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 22, 1997</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 17, 2001</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>June 6, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 30, 1997</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 1, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>June 29, 2004</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 12, 1998</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 26, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>July 24, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 28, 1998</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>April 13, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 1, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 15, 1998</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>April 29, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 17, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 17, 1998</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>May 31, 2002</td>
<td>7**</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>May 15, 2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 29, 1999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>June 16, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>July 2, 2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 11, 1999</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>June 25, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>July 18, 2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 19, 1999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>July 3, 2002</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 28, 2005</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 18, 2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 3, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 20, 2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 12, 2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>August 19, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>April 25, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 14, 2000</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>September 13, 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>May 27, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 15, 2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>May 3, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>June 3, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>June 20, 2003</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>June 19, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 12, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>July 13, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>July 14, 2006</td>
<td>5**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 28, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 7, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 6, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 22, 2001</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 14, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 22, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 8, 2001</td>
<td>7**</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>August 30, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
<td>September 7, 2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>033-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 17, 2001</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>September 8, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
<td>September 8, 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>032-027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenes acquired from 1997 to 2003 and in 2006 were used in the stepwise regression procedure. Scenes acquired in 2004–2005 were used for accuracy assessment.

**Scenes were used for large-scale model application to Conservation Reserve Program fields in three counties.
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(g C m$^{-2}$ growing season$^{-1}$) for the entire 12.3-ha model development field-site by weighting the data by area for grass and S. occidentalis on each date. We use both regression equations to validate the model in our slightly mixed physiognomy field-site, but we focus on the grass-only equation in subsequent sections of the paper to assess CRP fields planted to perennial grasses. Predicted field-scale growing-season NEE were plotted against actual NEE (measured using the BREB) from 1997 to 2006 using Landsat and for 2006 using ASTER. Model uncertainty was assessed using the regression model $R^2$ and the root-mean-square-error (RMSE), which was calculated by comparing model-predicted NEE to measured NEE for 18 Landsat and ASTER images reserved for model assessment (Table 1).

Grassland growing-season NEE model application to CRP lands

Growing-season NEE was calculated for fields enrolled in CRP since 1996 for portions of McLean, Oliver and Morton counties, ND (USDA, 2006). A total of 1434 CRP fields within these counties were located within one Landsat scene (Fig. 1). McLean county fields (1272 total) are located on the east side of the Missouri River in the Northern Glaciated Plains ecoregion (Omernik, 1987). Oliver and Morton fields (165 total) are located on the west side of the Missouri River in the NGP ecoregion. Dominant soil series for CRP fields both east and west-river are Pachic or Typic Haplustolls (Soil Survey, 2006), which are similar to soils at the model development field-site. CRP fields on both sides of the river are dominated by B. inermis and crested wheatgrass [Agropyron desertorum (Fisch. ex Link) J.A. Schultes], with some fields intermixed with intermediate wheatgrass [Thinopyrum intermedium (Host) Barkworth & D.R. Dewey] and alfalfa (Medicago L.). Annual precipitation data were collected east-river from a weather station at Turtle Lake, and west-river from a weather station at Mandan (http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/cdo). Growing-season NEE was determined using the grassland regression model on Landsat images acquired during peak growing season from 1997 to 2006 (Table 1) in ERDAS Imagine. Clouds were masked by filtering bands 2–6 several times (Irish, 2000) and then processed manually (Beeri & Phillips, 2007a); cloud-pixels were omitted from additional analyses. Clouds comprised $<1\%$ of the dataset from 2000 to 2006, and $<3\%$ of the dataset from 1997 to 1999. Average growing-season NEE, GLB, and CN for each year was calculated by CRP field. Then, average growing season NEE, GLB and CN was calculated for all CRP fields east (1200 fields total) and west (300 fields total) of the Missouri River. Nitrogen content (mg g$^{-1}$) was estimated from CN data, using a constant (400 mg g$^{-1}$) for perennial grass carbon content (Beeri et al., 2007b). Average growing-season

**Fig. 1**  Map of perennial grass fields enrolled in Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and located within the satellite images acquired from 1997–2006 for McLean, Morton, and Oliver counties, ND. We located 1272 fields (19.310 ha) east of the Missouri River (McLean) within the Northwestern Glaciated Plains ecoregion and 165 fields (1310 ha) west of the Missouri River (Morton and Oliver) within the Northwestern Great Plains ecoregion. Total number of hectares mapped here represent $<2\%$ of the total North Dakota area now enrolled in CRP.
NEE by field was summed for all years to determine the overall average 10-year cumulative growing-season NEE for CRP fields east-river vs. west-river.

Results and discussion

**Modeled growing-season NEE based on the Mandan Field-Site**

Results of the stepwise regression for both grasses and shrubs indicated that LB (LGB or LSB), CN, YR, and PPT all significantly ($P < 0.15$) contributed to the statistical model, with a model $R^2$ of 0.89 for grasses and 0.76 for shrubs. DOY also significantly contributed to the growing-season NEE variance for grasses (Tables 2 and 3). Coefficients for each variable were derived from the stepwise regressions to form the following equations:

**Grass NEE (g C m$^{-2}$ growing season$^{-1}$)**

$$\text{Grass NEE} = (\text{LGB} \times 0.2745) + (\text{CN} \times 30.66) + (\text{DOY} \times 0.5139) + (\text{PPT} \times 0.9785) - (\text{YR} \times 93.31) - 844.$$  

**Shrub NEE (g C m$^{-2}$ growing season$^{-1}$)**

$$\text{Shrub NEE} = (\text{LSB} \times 0.1306) + (\text{CN} \times 17.18) + (\text{YR} \times 33.11) + (\text{PPT} \times 0.9379) - 506.16.$$  

**Table 3** Summary of stepwise selection for *Symphoricarpos occidentalis* growing-season net ecosystem exchange

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Partial $R^2$</th>
<th>Model $R^2$</th>
<th>C (p)</th>
<th>$F$ value</th>
<th>$Pr &gt; F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>0.6530</td>
<td>0.6530</td>
<td>8.7699</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.6878</td>
<td>6.7791</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.0679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>YR</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>0.7381</td>
<td>5.0174</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LSB</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td>0.7642</td>
<td>4.0251</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.0836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPT, annual precipitation; CN, carbon : nitrogen ratio; LSB, live shrub biomass; DOY, day of the year.

Fig. 2 Estimated vs. measured growing-season NEE

Previous work with grasslands in the NGP has shown that NEE covaries strongly with precipitation, LB, and plant nitrogen. Carbon uptake for grasses also varies with DOY because leaf area and net C assimilation tends to track climatic conditions during the growing season (Frank, 2004; Xu & Baldocchi, 2004). *S. occidentalis* growing-season NEE was not significantly affected by DOY because leaf area and net C assimilation for *S. occidentalis* is less variable during the growing season than for grasses (Baldocchi et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2004; Xu & Baldocchi, 2004).

Area-weighted growing-season NEE, calculated from all images over the entire 12.3-ha model development field-site and averaged by year, compared reasonably well with measured growing-season NEE (Fig. 2). Error bars for Fig. 2 indicate the range of growing-season NEE values determined on different dates within a year (Table 1). The slope of the regression line for measured vs. estimated NEE across all years indicated a near one-to-one correspondence (slope = 0.90) with a $y$-intercept of 1.54. Across years, images acquired in April (when LB was lowest) were the least predictive whereas data acquired in mid-summer most closely tracked measured growing-season NEE.

Our Landsat-based estimates of NEE for the model development field-site are comprised of 136 pixels of information, area-weighted by the proportion of grass and *S. occidentalis* physiognomic types. Our ASTER-based estimates of NEE for the model development
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field-site are comprised of 546 pixels of information also area-weighted by physiognomic type. The RMSE, calculated using the 2004–2005 Landsat data (12 images) and 2006 ASTER data (six images), was 270 g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\). Model accuracy assessment methodology reported here follows Kokaly & Clark (1999), where the regression equations developed from a calibration subset of data (53 images) were used to predict growing-season NEE for the remaining validation dataset (18 images). Others have instead used cross-validation to assess accuracy of predicted NEE using 1000-m pixels collected daily and composited over 10 days with <0.2 relative error (Wylie et al., 2007). In this case, model development and field-site validation were based on one pixel of information in space (instead of 136 or 546 pixels) across six unique NGP grassland sites every 10 days. Our approach, using Landsat and ASTER, was to provide intensive measurements of spatial variability for one site only to support questions more specific to small (<10 ha) ND fields enrolled in CRP. Differences among reported RMSEs underscore the importance of methodology, pixel size selection, temporal resolution, and the range of spatial variability delineated when comparing remote sensing-based NEE models.

Growing-season NEE for grasses in CRP

Our dataset included 19,310 ha of CRP land east-river and 1310 ha west-river, with average field sizes of 15.3 and 7.0 ha, respectively. Average growing-season NEE for CRP fields east-river (n = 1272) ranged from −211 in 2006 to 634 g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\) in 2000. Average growing-season NEE for CRP fields west-river (n = 165) ranged from −366 g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\) in 2006 to 692 g C m\(^{-2}\) growing season\(^{-1}\) in 1999 (Fig. 3). Since data for both sides of the river were collected from the same image, year-to-year differences in growing-season NEE were due to observed difference in LB, CN, and PPT (Table 4). Divergence in annual means between CRP fields on opposite sides of the Missouri River (Fig. 3)

![Average annual growing-season NEE for CRP fields east and west of the Missouri river](image)

**Fig. 3** Average growing-season net ecosystem exchange (NEE) estimated by Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) field for each year on opposite sides of the Missouri River. A total of 1272 CRP fields were observed east-river and 165 CRP fields were observed west-river within the same Landsat image on 65 days between April and September, 1997–2006 (Table 1). Error bars represent the standard deviation of growing-season NEE for each group of fields located east- and west-river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>East-river</th>
<th>West-river</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>LB (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>PPT (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>23.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>759 (328)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>21.1 (3.2)</td>
<td>828 (410)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>20.7 (2.9)</td>
<td>1414 (460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>27.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>976 (263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>24.5 (2.6)</td>
<td>1770 (440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>35.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>465 (160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>26.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>1611 (433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>26.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>1253 (363)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>28.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>823 (265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>29.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>815 (296)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included are 1272 fields in McLean (east-river) and 165 fields in Morton and Oliver counties (west-river).
was primarily driven by differences in PPT from 2002 to 2006 (Table 4). For four of these 5 years, PPT west-river was only 50–65% of the long-term average (412 mm). Continuously dry spring conditions during these years resulted in very low and often negative values for growing-season NEE (Fig. 3). Annual precipitation east-river remained near or above the 30-year average (440 mm). The exceptions were in 2001 and 2006, when precipitation dropped to 10–20% below average (Table 4), primarily due to low snowfall. Sharp declines in snowfall from 2001 to 2006 reduced surface waters in central ND by 50% (Beeri & Phillips, 2007a) and may have been associated with lower growing-season NEE in these years. However, the direct effect of snowfall on NEE in the NGP needs quantification.

Average N content ranged from a high of 20.7 mg g⁻¹ in 1996 to a low of 11.3 mg g⁻¹ in 2002, with a general decline in canopy N from 1996 to 2006 on both sides of the river (Table 4). Declines in canopy N towards the end of the 10-year time series could be attributed to (a) depletion of residual nutrients available following conversion from annual to perennial grasses and (b) the accumulation of nonphotosynthetically active plant material. Before CRP enrollment, these fields were intensively managed for crop production, with nutrients annually applied according to agronomic recommendations. Residual nutrients following years of regular fertilization were likely mined by the deeper, more pervasive perennial grass rooting systems. Also, photosynthetically active radiation likely penetrated the newly planted grass canopy more easily during the initial years of CRP establishment. Closure of the canopy over time by nonphotosynthetically active material would reduce canopy N, which often comprises >50% of the total biomass in NGP grasslands (Beeri et al., 2007b). This increase in nonphotosynthetically active plant material and depletion of soil nutrients following conversion from annual cropping to CRP likely contributed to declines in canopy N several years following perennial grass establishment.

Cumulative growing-season NEE, or the sum of NEE by CRP field from 1997 to 2006, was 3170 g C m⁻² east-river and 2380 g C m⁻² west-river (Fig. 4). The 19 310 ha of CRP land evaluated east-river removed 612 100 metric tons of atmospheric CO₂-C from 1997 to 2006. The 1310 ha of CRP land evaluated west-river removed 31 200 metric tons of atmospheric C from 1997 to 2006. These data, while useful for county or ecoregion-scale comparisons of C uptake for CRP lands during the growing season, do not account for C losses during the dormant period (October 1 to April 14). Senescent material is also not accounted for, since spectral data necessary to separate senescent from live vegetation is not acquired by the Landsat sensor (Beeri et al., 2007b).

Dormant-season respiratory losses will ultimately determine annual net C gains or losses (Valentini et al., 2000) and have been shown to offset C gains by 70% (Frank, 2004). Consequently, these data must be considered carefully, with the understanding that annual NEE will be significantly lower than growing-season NEE. Additional work should include spatially explicit models (Richardson et al., 2006) for estimating field and landscape scale dormant-season NEE in ND grasslands. Future applications of satellite-based surface temperature data may assist with modeling some of the variance in dormant-season respiration for specific sites in conjunction with process-level modeling (Richardson et al., 2006).

Our validation included data acquired from two multispectral satellite sensors, where we used spectra in the red, near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands. Specific bandwidths in the NIR and SWIR are different between sensors, with narrower bands of information from ASTER (NIR 760–860 nm; SWIR 1600–1700 nm) compared with Landsat (NIR 760–900; SWIR 1550–1750). Agreement between the ASTER and Landsat-based estimates for 2006 (Fig. 2) suggest data from other moderate-to-high resolution multispectral sensors might also provide data which significantly affect growing-season NEE. Other high-resolution satellite sensors equipped with red, NIR and SWIR spectral information include the China–Brazil Earth Resource Satellite (CBERS), the Indian Remote Sensing Satellite (IRS), and
the Satellite Pour l’Observation de la Terre (SPOT). These provide data as 20-m, 23.5-m, and 10-m pixels, respectively, and could be evaluated similarly.

We report results for central ND grasslands under CRP only; however, these methods could be applied to other grasslands located near sites where C flux data are continuously collected. Given the satellite-data archive and networks of C flux information, other grassland systems with varying climatic regimes could also be analyzed retrospectively in a similar manner using other multispectral sensors. With NEE information necessary for model calibration, these types of moderate-to-high resolution data-driven models could help to answer how management may have affected C fluxes on a field-by-field basis. Further, these higher spatial resolution data could then be fused with coarser scale spatial resolution products to build a data hierarchy capable of addressing questions at multiple scales. Building multispectral data-based models potentially applicable to more than one satellite sensor expands application options and reduces the risk of relying on one satellite to drive all models for growing-season NEE.

Conclusions
Global change questions, including how land-use influences ecosystem C fluxes, require scaling up site-specific knowledge using the best available science and technology. Ideally, multiple sensors would be employed to effectively address questions at varying scales—from fields to landscapes to regions. We used 8-years of Landsat and field data in a stepwise linear regression procedure to create a model for estimating grassland growing-season NEE at field and landscape scales, where year, LB, CN ratio, annual precipitation, and DOY explained 89% of the variability. We used three additional years of Landsat, ASTER, and field data to validate the model. Our model for growing-season NEE is based on weather and satellite-based data acquired only during the growing season and does not include dormant season fluxes. Observed growing-season NEE from 1997 to 2006 vacillated with drought and deluge, with net C losses to the atmosphere in 2006.

We estimated cumulative NEE for small crop fields converted from annual crops to conservation reserve perennial grasses planted east and west of the Missouri River, respectively, while enrolled in CRP. Spatially explicit dormant season fluxes, which are needed to report total annual NEE, are not included in these estimates and should be the focus of future research.
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