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Abstract
Feedback interactions between terrestrial vegetation and climate could alter predictions of the responses of both systems to a doubling of atmospheric CO2. Most previous analyses of biosphere responses to global warming have used output from equilibrium simulations of current and future climate, as compared to more recently available transient GCM simulations. We compared the vegetation responses to these two different classes of GCM simulation (equilibrium and transient) using an equilibrium vegetation distribution model, MAPSS. Average climatologies were extracted from the transient GCM simulations for current and doubled (2°C) CO2 concentrations (taken to be 2070–2099) for use by the equilibrium vegetation model. However, the 2°C climates extracted from the transient GCM simulations were not in equilibrium, having attained only about 65% of their eventual 2°C equilibrium temperature change. Most of the differences in global vegetation response appeared to be related to a very different simulated change in the pole to tropic temperature gradient. Also, the transient scenarios produced much larger increases of precipitation in temperate latitudes, commensurate with a minimum in the latitudinal temperature change. Thus, the (equilibrium) global vegetation response, under the transient scenarios, tends more to a greening than a decline in vegetation density, as often previously simulated. It may be that much of the world could become greener during the early phases of global warming, only to reverse in later, more equilibrial stages. However, whether or not the world’s vegetation experiences large drought-induced declines or perhaps large vegetation expansions in early stages could be determined by the degree to which elevated CO2 will actually benefit natural vegetation, an issue still under debate. There may occur oscillations, perhaps on long timescales, between greener and drier phases, due to different frequency responses of the coupled ocean–atmosphere–biosphere interactions. Such oscillations would likely, of themselves, impart further reverberations to the coupled Earth System.
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Introduction
The prospect of global warming due to human influences has galvanized the research community into making future projections of both climatic change and ecosystem impacts from climatic change. However, ecosystem and climate modellers have long recognized that each system is influenced by the other through a variety of energy and mass transfer feedbacks. Atmosphere–ocean feedbacks are also of critical importance in determining potential future climates. Dynamic coupling of all three systems, plus the cryosphere, is a primary goal of Earth System Modelling. Scientific advancement toward this goal has progressed through a series of stages. At the time of the First Assessment Report (FAR) of the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), scenarios of future climate were being produced by Atmospheric General Circulation Models (AGCM), using prescribed ocean and land-surface properties and run to equilibrium, producing an ‘average’ climatology for both current (1°C) and the equivalent of doubled CO2 (2°C) radiative forcing (Cubasch & Cess 1990). By the Second Assessment Report (SAR), the AGCMs had been coupled to Oceanic General Circulation Models (AOGCM) and were being used to simulate timesseries of historic and projected future changes in the concentrations of greenhouse gases with a prescribed, static land surface (Gates
The development of Dynamic General Vegetation Models (DGVM), designed to replace the static land surface models, has lagged behind that of the AOGCMs and is only now being realized (Woodward et al. 1995; Foley et al. 1996; Neilson & Running 1996). Even though the transient AOGCM scenarios were published in the SAR, the ecological impacts from working group II of the SAR were produced using the older FAR scenarios. The significant technological advances between the FAR and SAR scenarios beg the question of whether or not the terrestrial biosphere might respond quite differently than earlier projections based on the FAR scenarios. The purpose of this paper is to explore, using an equilibrium vegetation distribution model, some of the implications of and differences between the FAR and SAR scenarios with respect to potential vegetation responses to global warming. Some inferences of the possible dynamic responses of vegetation and biosphere–atmosphere feedbacks during transient global warming will be discussed.

Previous investigations of biosphere–atmosphere feedbacks have progressed on two separate fronts: (i) engineering of complex biophysical Soil–Vegetation–Atmosphere–Transfer schemes (SVAT) within the context of GCMs; and (ii) development of complex General Vegetation Models (GVM), independent of the GCMs. The former approach has been focused largely on the accuracy of biophysical simulation of mass and energy exchanges between the land surface and the atmosphere and can incorporate quite complex plant physiology, but little by way of ecological dynamics (Seth et al. 1994; Sellers et al. 1995; Sellers et al. 1997). The latter has focused less on biophysics and more on ecological processes with increasing emphasis on plant physiology, biogeochemistry and vegetation structure and distribution (VEMAP members 1995).

Global vegetation modelling for investigations of global warming impacts and feedbacks has progressed from empirical, correlational modelling, such as Holdridge (1947) to increasingly process-based equilibrium modelling of potential natural vegetation (e.g. VEMAP members 1995) to the first generation of DGVMs, which are only now emerging (Woodward et al. 1995; Foley et al. 1996; Neilson & Running 1996; Cramer, pers. comm.). Estimations of biosphere–atmosphere feedbacks have often involved coarse manipulations of the static vegetation maps within SVAT/GCMs, for example, removal of forests over the Amazonian or Boreal regions and comparing equilibrium differences (Dickinson & Henderson-Sellers 1988; Bonan et al. 1992; Henderson-Sellers et al. 1993; Eltahir & Bras 1994; Foley et al. 1994; Bonan et al. 1995; Kutzbach et al. 1996; Zeng et al. 1996). Alternatively, equilibrium vegetation models have been coupled iteratively to GCMs to explore changes in surface properties on regional and global climates (e.g. Claussen 1994; Betts et al. 1997). Roughness length, albedo and leaf area index (LAI) are among the most sensitive surface parameters affecting the climate (Henderson-Sellers 1992; Bonan et al. 1992; Foley et al. 1994; Claussen 1994; Bonan et al. 1995), but trace gas fluxes could be even more important (Henderson-Sellers 1994). The importance of ecosystem physiology was nicely demonstrated by manipulating photosynthesis and stomatal responses within a SVAT, while retaining a fixed vegetation structure (e.g. distribution and LAI; Sellers et al. 1997). In addition, the importance of dynamic vegetation structure (LAI) in concert with the physiological processes was demonstrated by iteratively coupling an equilibrium vegetation model with a GCM (Betts et al. 1997). Attempts have been made to estimate the transient carbon flux responses of vegetation to climate change by inferring the ecological changes that could occur between two equilibrium potential vegetation distributions, i.e. comparisons between vegetation maps from $1 \times CO_2$ and $2 \times CO_2$ simulations. These transient inferences have focused on the processes of vegetation dieback and establishment, primarily of forests, as they tend to shift from one location to another. Large lags between establishment and regrowth processes compared to dieback processes could produce a large pulse of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere, potentially acting as a positive feedback to global warming (Overpeck et al. 1990; King & Neilson 1992; Smith & Shugart 1993).

The conclusion from these studies is that, indeed, the feedbacks between the atmosphere and the biosphere could have profound influences on both systems and that the nature of the feedbacks span the full range of processes from complex biophysics to physiological, structural and ecological processes. However, most of the previous analyses were done using equilibrium GCM simulations with prescribed oceans. Dynamic feedbacks between the atmosphere and fully dynamic oceans play a critical role in atmospheric processes and in the context of transient AOGCMs could produce very different biosphere responses and hence biosphere–atmosphere feedbacks. Since the newer SAR scenarios are coupled to a dynamic ocean and since they are fully transient, they are clearly not in equilibrium at the time of $2 \times CO_2$ equivalent forcing, having only attained about 50–80% of their eventual equilibrium global temperature change (Kattenberg et al. 1996). Dynamic oceans in an AOGCM add considerable thermal inertia, as well as an oceanic mechanism for horizontal advection of large amounts of energy between latitudes. We examine the biogeographical changes predicted by the MAPSS model under global warming, focusing on the projected changes in surface-atmosphere feedback properties, implications for the transient carbon pulse hypothesis and uncertainties with respect to direct, physiological effects of elevated CO$_2$. This study is intended to explore vegetation responses.
under two of the newer SAR GCM scenarios, compared to the older FAR scenarios and to examine some of the possible biosphere responses and uncertainties with respect to global warming and their implications for feedbacks to climate change. Although MAPSS is an equilibrium vegetation distribution model, it allows physiological adjustment to elevated CO$_2$ via changes in stomatal conductance as well as structural changes through LAI and vegetation distribution.

Methods

The MAPSS equilibrium biogeography model (Mapped Atmosphere–Plant–Soil System) operates under the assumption that maximum leaf area index (LAI, area of leaves per unit ground area) is constrained either by the availability of water for transpiration or by the availability of energy for growth. Energy constraints are simply imposed in the model on a biome basis; whereas, water balance constraints are directly calculated through a set of interacting nonlinear processes (Neilson 1995). Woody and grass life forms compete for both light and water while maintaining a site water balance consistent with observed runoff (Neilson & Marks 1994; Neilson 1995). Through iteration, LAI is maximized to a level that just utilizes the available soil moisture during the growing season. A three layer soil hydrology model allows competition between grasses and woody plants in the upper soil layer, where water is apportioned to the two life forms as a function of the product of their respective LAIs and stomatal conductances. Woody plants also have access to the second soil layer. A third soil layer is required for accurate simulation of base flow and to constrain percolation of water from the upper layers. Stomatal conductance is modulated by atmospheric vapour pressure deficit and soil water potential. The MAPSS model also contains a physiologically conceived feedback properties, such as albedo and surface roughness length. LAI and vegetation distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregated MAPSS Class</th>
<th>#MAPSS classes</th>
<th>Albedo</th>
<th>Roughness length (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiga/Tundra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Conifer Forest</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Evergreen Forest</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Mixed Forest</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.13–0.17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Broadleaf Forest</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna/Woodland</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.12–0.19</td>
<td>0.55–1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub/Woodland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.14–0.27</td>
<td>0.07–0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.20–0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arid Land</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.17–0.33</td>
<td>0.01–0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SAR scenarios differ in several important ways from the equilibrium scenarios. The SAR GCMs are coupled to a fully dynamic, 3D ocean, as opposed to a simple, prescribed ocean. The FAR scenarios were equilibrium; while, the SAR simulations were transient, being forced by observed changes in greenhouse gases from about 1800 to the present and then into the future using IPCC projections of future greenhouse gas emissions. Since MAPSS is not yet able to simulate transient climates, a control period (1961–90) and a 2 $\times$ CO$_2$ climate...
period (2070–2099) were selected and compared as with the equilibrium scenarios. However, at the time of 2 × CO₂ radiative forcing, the simulations had attained only about 64–68% of the eventual equilibrium temperature change, due to thermal lags in the oceans. Scenarios were applied by calculating deltas of climate variables between the 1 × and 2 × CO₂ simulations and applying the deltas back to the observed baseline climate. Precipitation and humidity deltas were calculated as ratios and temperature deltas were calculated as differences. Two Hadley scenarios were examined, one without sulphate aerosol forcing (HADCM2SUL) and one with aerosol forcing (HADCM2GHG). The 2 × CO₂ global temperature sensitivity at equilibrium for all SAR scenarios discussed by Kattenberg et al. (1996) ranged from 2.1 to 4.6 °C; while the warming at the time of CO₂ doubling ranged from 1.3 to 3.8 °C. Therefore, the two Hadley scenarios discussed here produced relatively modest warming compared to the other SAR scenarios.

All land surface parameters were averaged (area weighted) across the globe and zonally for 10° intervals of latitude and were compared between simulations under current and future climates. Global AET/PPT ratios were calculated by first area-weighting the actual evapotranspiration (AET) and precipitation (PPT) and calculating the ratio directly from the global averages, rather than area-weighting the ratios per se. The two approaches are not equivalent, but give similar trends. The climate change scenarios were also summarized for the U.S. to demonstrate the magnitude of some regional deviations from global averages. Global areas for each of four forest types (boreal conifer, temperate evergreen, temperate mixed, and tropical broadleaf) were calculated from MAPSS output for control and GCM scenarios. Areas changing from nonforest to these four forest types were calculated for each GCM (vs. the control).

Elevated CO₂ affects the physiology of plants, possibly increasing productivity, nitrogen-use-efficiency and water-use-efficiency (reduced transpiration per carbon fixed), conferring some drought resistance (Bazzaz et al. 1996; Kirschbaum 1996). However, natural forests may not experience as much direct CO₂ benefit as observed in growth chambers or with young plants (McGuire et al. 1995; Bazzaz et al. 1996). Water-use-efficiency (WUE) may be increased by elevated CO₂, but decreased by elevated temperature (Bazzaz et al. 1996). Increased air temperatures and reduced transpiration would cause leaf temperatures to increase, either causing leaf damage or requiring increased transpiration for leaf cooling (Bazzaz et al. 1996). Also, over time species may adapt to elevated CO₂ and down regulate photosynthesis (Körner 1995; Bazzaz et al. 1996; Sellers et al. 1996). Early growth increases may disappear as the system approaches carrying capacity limited by water or nutrients (Körner 1995). Shifts in species composition will likely result from different sensitivities to elevated CO₂ and stomatal conductance (Eamus 1991). Although elevated CO₂ can also enhance productivity, those processes are not included in the equilibrium version of MAPSS. The rate of productivity will determine how rapidly vegetation approaches a carrying capacity, but should have little effect on the carrying capacity, per se. MAPSS specifically simulates the biophysically constrained carrying capacity of the vegetation by maximizing LAI. Internal feedbacks within MAPSS produce increased LAI if stomatal conductance is reduced under elevated CO₂. The simulated increase in LAI may indicate a true biophysical constraint on increased productivity. Since the direct CO₂ effect in MAPSS is only imparted to stomatal conductance, we refer to this process as the ‘WUE’ effect. The MAPSS model was run with and without the increase in WUE.

Table 2 GCM simulated changes in temperature and precipitation aggregated over the world (land and oceans), the world land area, and over the conterminous U.S. FAR (First Assessment Report) scenarios are: OSU, GISS, GFDL-R30, UKMO. SAR (Second Assessment Report) scenarios are: HADCM2GHG, HADCM2SUL (see text for further explanation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GCM</th>
<th>ΔT (°C)</th>
<th>ΔP (%)</th>
<th>World Land Area¹</th>
<th>ΔT (°C)</th>
<th>ΔP (%)</th>
<th>Conterminous USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GISS</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-R30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKMO</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADCM2GHG</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADCM2SUL</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Excluding Antarctica; NR, Not Reported
VEGETATION CHANGE AND FEEDBACKS

Results

The FAR and SAR scenarios have distinctly different qualitative properties (Table 2, Fig. 1). The SAR scenarios are distinctly cooler over the entire world (land and oceans) than are the FAR scenarios. However, over just the world’s land area the SAR scenarios exhibit about the same temperature increase as do the FAR scenarios (Table 2). Interestingly, the two SAR scenarios produced much less precipitation increase over the world’s land area (1.7–3.2%, excluding Antarctica) than do the FAR scenarios (15–21%), but considerably more precipitation increase over the conterminous USA (Table 2, Fig. 1). Even though the two SAR scenarios are from only a single GCM, they are still unique compared to all four of the FAR scenarios.

The vegetation response to the SAR scenarios was also quite different from that of the FAR scenarios, but the response was strongly modulated by the direct CO2 effect on water-use-efficiency. Increased water-use-efficiency (WUE) under the control climate, produces increases in forest area, LAI, surface roughness, and runoff (Tables 3, 4). In the same simulation, decreases occurred in albedo and AET values, and the ratio of AET to precipitation (AET/PPT). Under control climate, a 35% reduction in stomatal conductance (high-WUE effect) only produced a 3% reduction in AET, due largely to a 20% increase in LAI. If the system were strictly linear, a 35% reduction in stomatal conductance and a 20% increase in LAI would be expected to result in a 22% reduction in AET [1–(1.2 × 0.65) = 0.22]. That only a 3% reduction in AET was simulated, attests to the curvilinearity of system responses and the tendency for stomatal conductance and LAI changes to cancel each other in the simulated system.

With increased WUE, all climate scenarios produced increases in global forest area (Table 3). Without increased WUE, all scenarios produced decreases in forest area, though the decreases were relatively modest for the SAR scenarios (3–27% loss), compared to the FAR scenarios (5–73% loss).

Control forest area exhibited two peaks with latitude, at 55° N and at 5° S (Fig. 2). Increases in global forest area for FAR high-WUE scenarios occurred largely north of 50° N latitude (Figs 2b, 3b). Tropical forests also contributed substantially to the FAR high-WUE forest area increases though the percentage change of forest area in the tropics was not as high as in other latitudes. Some of the FAR high-WUE scenarios produced decreases in forest area in the temperate latitudes below 50° N. The FAR normal-WUE scenarios produced consistent decreases for all latitudes below 50° N and consistent increases at high northern latitudes. The latitudinal patterns of change in forest area for SAR high-WUE scenarios in order to bracket the potential ecosystem response to elevated CO2 concentration.
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Table 3 Global area (km\(^2 \times 10^6\)) of each major forest biome under current and future climates (4 FAR, 2 SAR scenarios).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>Normal WUE</th>
<th>High WUE</th>
<th>Normal WUE</th>
<th>High WUE</th>
<th>Normal WUE</th>
<th>High WUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Conifer</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>3.5–12.6</td>
<td>9.8–13.6</td>
<td>11.0–11.1</td>
<td>11.6–11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. Evergreen</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2.4–9.3</td>
<td>11.8–15.9</td>
<td>10.6–11.0</td>
<td>14.8–15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. Mixed</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.5–8.0</td>
<td>9.3–12.0</td>
<td>10.1–10.7</td>
<td>10.3–11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trop. Broadleaf</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>3.3–11.1</td>
<td>14.6–24.0</td>
<td>9.8–10.1</td>
<td>19.1–19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Forest</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>11.7–41.0</td>
<td>49.5–59.4</td>
<td>31.4–42.0</td>
<td>56.6–57.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAR, First Assessment Report; SAR, Second Assessment Report. WUE, Water-use-efficiency; Normal, 1xCO\(_2\); High, 2xCO\(_2\) concentration.

Table 4 Global area weighted average values for LAI, surface roughness, albedo, AET, runoff, precipitation, and AET/precipitation. These values are calculated for 4 First Assessment Report (FAR) GCMs and for the 2 Second Assessment Report (SAR) GCMs and for MAPSS runs where the water use efficiency (WUE) is increased through a 35% reduction in maximum stomatal conductance. Due to rounding errors of area-weighted calculations, sums may not be precise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FAR GCMs</th>
<th>SAR GCMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal WUE</td>
<td>High WUE</td>
<td>Normal WUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI (m(^2) m(^-2))</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.2–4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness (m)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.45–0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedo</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18–0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AET (mm)</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>528–571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff (mm)</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>339–425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT (mm)</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>914–961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AET/PPT (mm)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55–0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAI is all-sided. AET, Actual Evapotranspiration; PPT, Precipitation.

were similar to those produced by some FAR high-WUE scenarios, except that peak levels were not as high (Figs 2c, 3c). Similarly, the latitudinal pattern of changes in forest area for the SAR normal-WUE scenarios was similar to the FAR normal-WUE scenarios, though the decreases occurring across all latitudes south of 55° N were not as large as those from the most severe FAR scenarios.

Gains in forest area in temperate and boreal regions under both SAR and SAR scenarios resulted mostly from conversions of the cold nonforest types (tundra and taiga/tundra, Table 5). Without the assumption of increased WUE, large areas of forest in the temperate latitudes are lost to nonforest for both SAR scenarios, although the magnitude is much higher under SAR (Fig. 2). Under the high-WUE scenarios, forest gains largely outweigh the forest losses (both FAR and SAR, Figs 2, 3, 4).

Changes in global averages of LAI were consistent with the changes in forest area and distribution (Tables 3, 4, 5) with increases for high-WUE scenarios and decreases for the normal-WUE scenarios. The normal-WUE decreases in LAI were more pronounced for the FAR scenarios. Control zonal mean LAI values were maximal at the tropics and in the north and south temperate regions (Fig. 5a). The increases in global mean LAI values for high-WUE scenarios were greatest in high northern latitudes under both the FAR and SAR scenarios (Figs 5b, 5c, 6, 7). LAI also increased over the northern-most latitudes even when increased WUE was not assumed, but these increases were offset by decreases through the lower latitudes. LAI decreases were much less pronounced for the SAR scenarios than they were for the FAR scenarios (Figs 5b, 5c, 6, 7). However, both SAR scenarios produced slight declines in tropical forest LAI, even with increased WUE, in contrast to the FAR scenarios, which generally produced increases in both tropical forest area and LAI, excepting the hottest FAR scenario, UKMO (Figs 6, 7).

Simulated decreases in LAI are generally due to a drought-induced decline, primarily caused by higher temperatures and increased evaporative demand. There tend to be strong regional patterns of both increased LAI and decreased LAI; however, there are very few locations showing no change in LAI under any scenario (Figs 6, 7). Among all the GCMs, the area within the major forest biomes that is simulated to undergo a drought-induced LAI decline tends to increase with the simulated global average temperature increase (Fig. 8). An interesting
exception is the LAI response under the GISS FAR scenario. The areas within different forest biomes of both dieback and vegetation increase under the GISS scenario are more similar to forest responses under the cooler SAR scenarios (HADCM2) than they are to the FAR scenarios. Examination of the zonal temperature and precipitation changes (Fig. 1) indicates that the GISS scenario is more similar to the SAR scenarios in latitudinal temperature patterns, while being about the same as the FAR scenarios with respect to precipitation changes.

The different character of the zonal temperature curves between the FAR and SAR scenarios appears to modulate a very different response from the vegetation with much more forest dieback under the FAR scenarios. If, due to its unique latitudinal temperature change profile (Fig. 1), the GISS scenario is considered an outlier, the trends in Fig. 8 are quite striking.

There are also remarkable similarities in the vegetation responses across all scenarios, both FAR and SAR. The LAI changes in the southern hemisphere, especially South America, are very similar with respect to relative regional patterns among all the scenarios (Fig. 6). For example, the eastern Amazon experiences drought-induced decline in all three scenarios in Fig. 6; while, adjacent savannas experience increased growth in all three scenarios. More regionally detailed patterns also emerge in central South America under all scenarios. Similar parallels among the scenarios are evident in Africa where all three scenarios produced increased vegetation growth in sub-Saharan regions. South of the Sahara are banded regions of vegetation decline or increased vegetation growth leading into the Congo which either exhibit little change or some decline in all scenarios. Southern Africa and Madagascar also exhibit similar patterns of change in all scenarios. Examination of the more detailed output over the U.S. (Fig. 7) reveals a similar parallelism. For example, the South-west and central Texas regions appear to be wetter (greener) in all three simulations. These regional patterns appear to be driven by prevailing regional stormtrack and vegetation patterns (Wendland & Bryson 1981). One exception is the eastern U.S. in the HADCM2SUL simulation, which has a strong regional sulphate aerosol forcing minimizing the warming in that area.

Over the conterminous USA the relationship between forest decline and average simulated temperature changes breaks down due to large increases in simulated precipitation, primarily within the SAR scenarios (Table 2). Precipitation increases over the conterminous U.S. range from 23 to 31% under the SAR scenarios and only from 2 to 19% under the FAR scenarios. Yet, the two SAR scenarios produce among the lowest increases in temperature over the USA (Table 2). The vegetation responses to the SAR scenarios over the conterminous U.S. are generally of considerably increased density (LAI) compared to those under the FAR scenarios (Figs 6, 7).

Global and zonal averages for surface roughness and albedo changes were generally consistent with the changes simulated for LAI and forest area, with only minor exceptions (Figs 9, 10; Tables 3, 4, 5). Whether the surface parameters increase or decrease depends primarily on the inclusion or not of the direct CO₂ effect (high-WUE). Simulated AET values also increased globally for all scenarios. Normal-WUE scenarios produced higher AET values than the high-WUE scenarios.
Fig. 3 MAPSS simulated global vegetation distribution: (a) control climate, (b) UKMO (FAR scenario) with high-WUE, (c) HADCM2SUL (SAR scenario) with high-WUE.
The feedback between CO2-induced change in stomatal conductance and LAI change appears to nearly stabilize vegetation mass as a whole and global terrestrial carbon stocks would likely increase, acting as a negative feedback. However, potential increases in tropical forest area (FAR scenarios) and possibly even temperate forest area may be limited due to land-use considerations (Henderson-Sellers 1994). Though equilibrium vegetation models often produce predictions of increasing terrestrial carbon stocks in the long term (Neilson 1993), vegetation carbon stocks may actually decrease in the short term (Neilson et al. 1994). If rapid climate change produced large areas of forest die-back, imbalances between rates of carbon release and vegetation regrowth and migration could produce a large carbon pulse, acting as a positive feedback to global warming (King & Neilson 1992; Smith & Shugart 1993). However, only the earlier FAR scenarios without elevated WUE exhibit sufficient forest dieback to produce a carbon pulse of the magnitude simulated by King & Neilson (1992).

Even in regions that remain forested, a drop in LAI (surrogate for drought-induced decline or die back) could result in carbon releases from increased drought, pest

but the normal-WUE FAR scenarios produced particularly high AET values. Runoff increased for all FAR scenarios, but decreased slightly for the SAR normal-WUE scenarios, being essentially unchanged for the SAR high-WUE simulations. The proportion of precipitation being transpired (AET/PPT) changed less than 7% under all scenarios; while, LAI varied from a loss of 5% under the most extreme normal-WUE FAR scenario to a gain of 22% under the least extreme high-WUE FAR scenario. The feedback between CO2-induced change in stomatal conductance and LAI change appears to nearly stabilize the global ratio of AET/PPT.

The vegetation responses to global warming exhibit interesting properties with respect to spatial scale. The GCMs produce changes in temperature and precipitation fields at relatively coarse scales, on the order of several degrees of latitude and longitude (i.e. hundreds of kilometres), consistent with large-scale circulation patterns. Generally, the deltas of temperature and precipitation vary smoothly over geography reflecting large-scale shifts in the general circulation regime. These large-scale changes are interpolated to higher resolution grids for application to the observed climate, which has been interpolated from station data to the higher resolution grid. Therefore, if different patterns of change, perhaps even of opposite sign, arise in adjacent cells of the higher resolution grid, then the response to the coarse-grid changes in temperature and precipitation are in part a function of the background climate and vegetation, which vary more rapidly over the high resolution grid than do the GCM variables (see also Neilson & Marks 1994). Although this observation is apparent on the global grid,
Fig. 4 MAPSS simulated conterminous USA vegetation distribution: (a) control climate, (b) UKMO (FAR scenario) with high-WUE, (c) HADCM2SUL (SAR scenario) with high-WUE.
infestations and catastrophic fire. The area of LAI decline in the FAR scenarios in temperate and boreal forests ranges from 24 to 86%, even with a CO2 effect, an amount which may be sufficient to produce a substantial carbon pulse during transient forest adjustment to climate change. However, a similar magnitude of impact is only attained under the SAR scenarios without increased WUE, producing declines over 51–75% of the area of any given forest biome (Neilson et al. 1997). When a WUE effect is included under the SAR scenarios, the area of extra-tropical forest decline is limited to only 12–29% of any given forest type and is not likely sufficient to produce a substantial carbon pulse. Across all scenarios, Temperate Mixed Forests appear to be the most sensitive to forest decline and dieback as indicated by the percentage area of decreased LAI (Fig. 8). By far the largest natural distribution of this forest type is in eastern USA (Figs 3, 4, 7). Boreal Conifer Forests are the next most sensitive, followed by Temperate Evergreen and Tropical Broadleaf Forests, which exhibit similar levels of sensitivity (Fig. 8). However, under the SAR scenarios, the tropical forests exhibit a bit more sensitivity (Fig. 8).

The role of direct, physiological effects of elevated CO2 is of particular concern in the analysis of feedbacks. We have seen that under the newer SAR scenarios, the world could experience a large enhancement of ecosystem productivity if the full benefits of elevated CO2 are realized. However, if the impact of elevated CO2 on natural ecosystems is considerably less than observed in growth chambers, then the world could experience significant declines in ecosystem biomass, resulting in a release of carbon and a positive feedback to global warming. The releases of carbon from declining ecosystems would likely be facilitated by large-scale disturbances, particularly drought, pests and fire. These disturbances could produce an overshoot in forest dieback, resulting in even more carbon releases thus enhancing positive feedbacks even further.

Increases in forest area are expected to be slow due to lags in dispersal and soil formation processes (Smith & Shugart 1993). Thus, whether a carbon pulse occurs or not may well depend on the strength and timing of the direct effects of elevated CO2 concentration on forest water-use-efficiency. If the WUE effect is pronounced and appears relatively early in global warming, forests could expand both in area and density, sequestering carbon. However, if the WUE effect is not very strong, then forests could undergo substantial dieback, releasing large amounts of carbon. Under the high-WUE conditions, the earth system might self-regulate to a generally stable balance. However, if the biosphere responds with widespread dieback, particularly of forests, one might expect a net positive feedback from a large carbon pulse which could be a de-stabilizing force in the coupled atmosphere–biosphere system.

Associated changes in surface roughness and albedo would act in somewhat different ways from the carbon feedback. The northward expansion of forest area with attendant changes in albedo and increases in surface roughness could produce a warming feedback as hypothesized for the Holocene by Foley et al. (1994). Thus, there may be at least two opposing feedbacks, a negative feedback from carbon sequestration, and a positive feedback from increased albedo. The changes in roughness and AET would be expected to shift the heat balance from sensible to latent heat, thereby damping the heating,
Fig. 6 MAPSS simulated percentage LAI changes over global land areas under two FAR scenarios (GFDL-R30, UKMO) and one SAR scenario (HADCM2SUL) with high water use efficiency (WUE).

A possible negative feedback. However, increased AET could form more clouds, which could either act as a warming blanket, or a cooling sunlight reflector, depending on cloud type and height (Washington 1992). Furthermore, the direct CO$_2$ effect can change the sign of the responses of vegetation from drought-induced
Fig. 7 MAPSS simulated percentage LAI changes over the conterminous USA under two FAR scenarios (GFDL-R30, UKMO) and one SAR scenario (HADCM2SUL) with high water use efficiency (WUE).

Fig. 8 The percentage area within major forest biomes which is simulated to undergo either a decline in LAI (a), or an increase in LAI (b) under one SAR (HADCM2SUL) and four FAR scenarios. The areas of decline or increase are plotted against the reported global average increase in temperature (land plus oceans) for each GCM. The two Hadley scenarios are sufficiently similar that only one is presented.

decreases to extensive increases in growth. Thus, the CO\textsubscript{2} effect has the capability to modulate the sign of the response of all the primary feedback parameters.

It may be that in the early phases of global warming, while temperature increases are relatively small and CO\textsubscript{2} benefits are likely increasing, the world will experience increased vegetation biomass. However, in later stages of warming, temperatures and evaporative demand would continue to increase, while CO\textsubscript{2} benefits might begin tapering off. The global impact of increasing temperatures on declining forest area is evident when examined across all the scenarios (Fig. 8). However, the simulated relationship depicted in Fig. 8 includes the full benefit of 2 x CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations across all scenarios. One would expect that the relationship between forest dieback and global temperature change would be even stronger if the direct effects of CO\textsubscript{2} were gradually increased along with the temperature. Since the overall temperature sensitivity at equilibrium is similar between the FAR and SAR scenarios, the more deleterious FAR scenarios could be an accurate indication of the eventual biosphere response, after an initial greening period as simulated under the transient SAR scenarios. However, it is not clear whether or not the globe will ever approach climatic equilibrium within the next millennium, particularly if CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations were to approach 3 or 4 times current levels. Nor is it clear that a simulated equilibrium with a prescribed
in the early stages of warming; while, the risk might shift to the higher latitudes in the later stages of warming.

The results presented here leave us with the hypothesis that the biosphere’s response to transient climate change could be very different from that simulated under equilibrium climates. Large areas of global forests could experience either dramatic dieback, or much improved growth, depending on the latitudinal pattern of temperature and precipitation changes, produced by the two classes of GCMs. If the equilibrium FAR simulations are a reasonable indication of the eventual equilibrium that might be reached by the SAR simulations, then the world could experience significant oscillations in vegetation response, from initially beneficial over large areas to potentially quite detrimental in later stages. Forests could go through a drought-induced decline in the tropics, with perhaps large releases of CO₂ in the early stages of global warming; while, temperate and high latitude forests experience increases in growth. However, if the global climate tends toward an equilibrium similar to the FAR scenarios in the later stages of global warming, the tropics might tend to recover, while the extratropical forests could come under drought stress.

Incorporating a dynamic ocean into a GCM appears to have profoundly affected the regional distribution of temperature and rainfall changes. The strong zonal and regional differences between the FAR and SAR scenarios are suggestive of a significant effect from a dynamic ocean, which alters the zonal energy distribution in the transient context. Significant oceanic advective energy transport could alter regional oceanic and land-surface temperature and rainfall patterns. Such shifts also can alter the flow structure of the atmosphere, perhaps modulating transitions between blocking meridional jetstream flow (N–S), or more zonal flow (W–E) (Weeks et al. 1997).

The differences in the zonal temperature curves between the two classes of GCMs may be in part due to such large-scale differences in flow patterns, which in turn cause large regional differences in temperature and rainfall patterns over continents. Feedbacks among regions on continents and between the oceans and continents can serve to either re-enforce or diminish large-scale blocking patterns and hence regional climate regimes (Weeks et al. 1997).

Regional changes in land surface parameters are somewhat analogous to re-arranging the boulders in a stream. Airstream eddies and currents are shifted in often subtle ways. Changes in regional surface characteristics result in changes in regional pressure patterns, which in turn affect regional airstream patterns, storm tracks and regional weather. Thus, even if the global and zonal averages show little change under global warming, cumulative regional climate and vegetation changes could still produce large effects on global circulation patterns. The
regional changes in vegetation density under all the scenarios appear to have strong components of both local topographic and regional airmass/stormtrack forcing. These regionally forced changes in global circulation could further enhance or dampen regional land surface changes in a cascading sequence of complex feedback processes. Thus, inclusion of feedbacks within the ocean–atmosphere system could have a significant impact on simulations of the coupled vegetation–atmosphere responses to global warming.

Given that the biosphere also affects atmospheric circulation and regional to local latent and sensible heat exchanges, one can only speculate that incorporation of a dynamic biosphere will also impact global circulation patterns. Only a fully coupled Earth System Model, incorporating the atmosphere, oceans, cryosphere and biosphere in transient coupling will be able to accurately capture the potential feedbacks and possible consequences of global warming. However, the new results presented here, using an equilibrium vegetation model with the transient SAR and the equilibrium FAR scenarios, indicate some striking differences between the two sets of simulations. These results suggest hypotheses of vegetation change that could be much more complex than previously discussed and will depend on the relative timing of changes in CO2, precipitation and temperature. Two substantial uncertainties are highlighted from these results: (i) how great will be the benefits of elevated CO2 on vegetation physiology and what will be the timing of these benefits; and, (ii) how will the coupled ocean-atmosphere general circulation patterns and associated temperature and rainfall patterns evolve during transient dynamics toward eventual equilibrium conditions?
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