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ABSTRACT


Reliable detection and quantitation of barley and cereal yellow dwarf viruses (YDVs) is a critical component in managing yellow dwarf diseases in small grain cereal crops. The method currently used is enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), using antisera against the coat proteins that are specific for each of the various YDVs. Recently, quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (Q-RT-PCR) has been used to detect bacterial and viral pathogens and to study gene expression. We applied this technique to detect and quantify YDVs using primers specific for Barley yellow dwarf virus (BYDV) and Cereal yellow dwarf virus (CYDV) coat protein genes because of the higher sensitivity of RT-PCR and the advantage of using a real-time PCR instrument. This Q-RT-PCR was used to detect BYDV and CYDV, and to examine disease development in a resistant wheatgrass, a resistant wheat line, a susceptible wheat line, and a susceptible oat line. BYDV-PAV and CYDV-RPV were detected as early as 2 and 6 h, respectively, in susceptible oat compared with detection by ELISA at 4 and 10 days postinoculation. BYDV-PAV RNA accumulated more rapidly and to a higher level than CYDV-RPV RNA in both oat and wheat, which may account for PAV being more prevalent and causing more severe viral disease than CYDV. Q-RT-PCR is reproducible, sensitive, and has the potential to be used for examining yellow dwarf disease and as a rapid diagnostic tool for YDVs.

Additional keywords: luteovirus, polerovirus.

Yellow dwarf viruses (YDVs) are a group of luteo- and poleroviruses that are obligately transmitted by aphids and are the most economically important viral pathogens of cereal grains (15). YDVs cause an average of 5 to 10% yield loss annually (6), with wheat losses in the United States estimated at 2 to 10% on a regional basis (21). In 2002, losses in the Midwestern United States were estimated to be 10 to 20% (H. Ohm, personal communication). Perry et al. (22) demonstrated that, in wheat, the level of Barley yellow dwarf virus (BYDV) infection in field plots was directly correlated with yield loss. It is clear from these results that BYDV and Cereal yellow dwarf virus (CYDV) can have a significant impact on cereal grain production.

Following the demonstration that several wheatgrass species are highly resistant to infection by YDVs (27), various laboratories are actively engaged in research to incorporate resistance into wheat, barley, and oat through interspecific crosses. Components of this resistance have been successfully transferred into wheat (29). A wheat line (P29) was developed in which the 7D chromosome was substituted with a group 7 chromosome from the wheatgrass Thinopyrum intermedium (28). The level of resistance in this line was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (2), which showed that the titer of the BYDV strain, P-PAV (Purdue isolate of virus transmitted by Rhopalosiphum padi Linn. and by Macrosiphum avenae Fabr.) in leaf and stem tissue was significantly reduced (42 to 52%) compared with the control line. Wheat line P29 also showed complete resistance to CYDV-NY-RPV (New York isolate of virus transmitted by R. padi) (2).

Our objective in the present study was to obtain a more thorough understanding of YDV accumulation in both resistant and susceptible cereal species. We have done this by using quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (Q-RT-PCR) to determine the relative amount of BYDV and CYDV RNA after inoculation in the resistant substitution wheat line (P29), a susceptible wheat line (8138), a susceptible oat line (Clintland 64), and a resistant wheatgrass (Thinopyrum intermedium cv. Oahe). Quantification of YDV infection in cereal crops is usually done by ELISA using either the double-antibody sandwich (DAS) or triple-antibody sandwich (TAS) technique (25,26). Both of these methods are time consuming and involve the use of expensive antisera. When compared with ELISA, RT-PCR is more sensitive and, consequently, can detect a lower viral titer in plants (13,18,20). The higher sensitivity of RT-PCR has been demonstrated by the ability of this technique to detect YDV in individual aphids (5). Recently, Q-RT-PCR was introduced to quantify gene transcripts precisely and reproducibly (12). This method monitors fluorescence emitted during the reaction as an indicator of the amount of amplicon produced after each PCR cycle, as opposed to the endpoint detection methods of conventional PCR. An increase in fluorescence is associated with the concentration of PCR products in the reaction. Recording the amount of fluorescence emission at the end of each cycle monitors the PCR reaction during amplification and identifies when the first significant increase in product occurs. This increase is
directly correlated with the initial cDNA template concentration (4).

Several methods currently are available for Q-RT-PCR. In this research, we have used SYBR Green I, a double-stranded DNA binding dye, to detect and quantify BYDV and CYDV PCR products during interactions in a YDV-resistant wheatgrass and susceptible oat. The procedure described here is reproducible, sensitive, and readily shows the effect of the cereal species and its genotype on YDV RNA accumulation. This Q-RT-PCR assay also has the potential to be used as a rapid diagnostic tool for BYDV-PAV and CYDV-RPV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aphids and virus strains. Viruliferous aphids (R. padi Linn.) harboring both BYDV P-PAV and CYDV NY-RPV were used as the vector for transmitting the viruses to plants. Viruliferous aphids were routinely maintained on oat (Avena sativa cv. Clingland 64), with a third of infected plants replaced with uninfected plants every 2 weeks to ensure a steady supply of green plants for aphid feeding. Viruliferous aphids were allowed a virus acquisition access period of at least 96 h before they were used to inoculate test plants. Frequent verification was done by RT-PCR to check for the presence of PAV and RPV coat protein genes in the infected oat source plants.

Plant material. Two trays (~100 plants/tray) each of YDV-susceptible oat (A. sativa cv. Clingland 64), YDV-resistant wheatgrass (Thinopyrum intermedium cv. Oahe), YDV-resistant wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) line P29, and susceptible wheat (T. aestivum L.) cv. Oahe, containing both P-PAV and NY-RPV. Control trays were not infested with aphids. Aphids were allowed an inoculation access period of 3 h on oat and wheatgrass before being sprayed with malathion. Control plants without aphids also were uniformly sprayed with malathion. Entire plants, from the crown region upward, were harvested at 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 96 h and 6, 8, 10, and 12 days after spraying with insecticide. The 0-h harvest was collected immediately after spraying insecticide. A total of six plants were collected for each harvest time point at random locations within the tray and pooled together. The resistant (P29) and susceptible (8138) rice plants were similarly inoculated, except that an inoculation access period of 24 h with viruliferous aphids (R. padi Linn.) containing both P-PAV and NY-RPV. Control trays were not infested with aphids. Aphids were allowed an inoculation access period of 3 h on oat and wheatgrass before being sprayed with malathion. Control plants without aphids also were uniformly sprayed with malathion. Entire plants, from the crown region upward, were harvested at 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 96 h and 6, 8, 10, and 12 days after spraying with insecticide. A total of six plants were collected for each harvest time point at random locations within the tray and pooled together. The resistant (P29) and susceptible (8138) rice plants were similarly inoculated, except that an inoculation access period of 24 h with viruliferous aphids was used prior to spraying insecticide and plants were harvested daily for a total of 12 days. All plant tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen after harvesting and stored at –80°C until further use. Tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and the ground tissues were used for ELISA and Q-RT-PCR analysis.

ELISA. ELISA was performed according to the DAS method (7,11). Optical density readings of the ELISA were measured with a microtiter plate reader (Model MR600; Dynatech Laboratories Inc., Alexandria, VA) in dual wavelength mode at 410 and 630 nm. The p-nitrophenol chromophore was measured at 410 nm and plate imperfections were corrected by measuring at 630 nm. The optical density of each well was compared with the optical density of a blank well. Antibody-coated wells were washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) between each step. Plates were air-dried before assay.

Real-time RT-PCR. Primers for P-PAV and NY-RPV coat proteins and 18S rRNA for internal controls were designed by using the Applied Biosystems Primer Express Software (version 1.5; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) (Table 1). Primer validation experiments were performed with fivefold serial dilutions of cDNA (22). Validation experiments were performed to demonstrate that the amplification efficiencies of target primers (P-PAV and NY-RPV coat protein) were approximately equal to the efficiency of the endogenous reference primers (18S rRNA). Briefly, real-time PCR was done with fivefold serial dilutions of a cDNA sample using P-PAV and NY-RPV coat protein and 18S rRNA primers. Once the respective cycle thresholds (Cts) were obtained at different dilutions, the ΔΔCt (Ct of target minus Ct of reference) was calculated and plotted against the logarithmic value of input cDNA concentrations. The absolute value of the slope of the plot for each primer set was determined to be less than 0.1, which indicated that the amplification efficiencies of PAV, RPV, and 18S rRNA primers were approximately equal (16).

Using the ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection system (Applied Biosystems), the real-time RT-PCR reaction was done in a total volume of 20 µl that contained SYBR Green I PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 500 nM each of forward and reverse primers (Table 1), and 20 ng of cDNA template. The PCR reaction was initiated with a preincubation at 50°C for 2 min and denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s and annealing and extension together at 60°C for 1 min/cycle. Immediately after the final PCR cycle, a melting curve analysis was done to determine specificity of the reaction by incubating the reaction at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 20 s, and then slowly increasing the temperature to 95°C over 20 min. The Ct used in the real-time PCR quantification is defined as the Ct of the target minus Ct of reference.
as the PCR cycle number that crosses an arbitrarily chosen signal threshold in the log phase of the amplification curve. Each cDNA sample was loaded in triplicate on a single 96-well plate and the PCR procedure as a whole was repeated at least twice. Relative quantification was measured using the comparative Ct (2^ΔΔCt) method (16). In this method, the change in amount of the target genes (P-PAV and NY-RPV coat protein) was normalized to the expression of the 18S rRNA gene. The 2^ΔΔCt data analysis is where ΔΔCt = (Ct of target – Ct of 18S rRNA)timeX – (Ct of target – Ct of 18S rRNA)Time1. In our experiments, Time 1 was the first time point where gene amplification was first detected. The Ct at this time point was the maximum Ct for that target gene. The subsequent level of P-PAV and NY-RPV coat protein RNAs were determined relative to this maximum Ct.

Cloning and sequencing. To ensure that the PCR reactions were producing the expected viral sequences, RT-PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T vector system (Promega). Approximately 10 ng of PAV and RPV amplicon inserts were ligated to the vector at 4°C overnight, transformed into DH5α competent cells, and plated onto Luria-Bertani media containing carbenicillin at 50 µg/ml. Colony PCR using SP6 and T7 or gene-specific primers for P-PAV and NY-RPV (10) was used to rapidly identify PCR fragment-positive colonies. The PCR conditions were 94°C denaturation for 10 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. The PCR reaction was terminated with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Plasmids for sequencing were isolated using QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cloned PCR products were sequenced using the thermosequenase fluorescent-labeled primer cycle sequencing kit with 7-diazo dGTP and the ALFexpress automated sequencer following the manufacturer’s protocol (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ).

Amplification specificity of P-PAV and NY-RPV coat proteins. RT-PCR experiments with oat plants treated with viruliferous aphids produced the expected amplicons representing the coat protein genes of P-PAV and NY-RPV. The predicted RT-PCR product length was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis (data not shown). In all cases, a single fragment was amplified which was cloned and sequenced. This sequencing confirmed that the PCR products were the expected viral sequences (data not shown). Dissociation curve analysis done at the end of the real-time RT-PCR also demonstrated that each of the primer pairs tested (Table 1) amplified a single PCR product with a distinct melting temperature (Tm) (Fig. 2A and B). The fluorescence (–dF/dT) was plotted against temperature to produce a dissociation curve for each sample. Each double-stranded DNA product has its own specific Tm, because 18S rRNA, P-PAV, and NY-RPV primer pairs each amplified products that had the same Tm, nonspecific products or primer dimers were not observed in our experiments. This demonstrated that the primers for P-PAV and NY-RPV were appropriate to use in real-time detection and quantification of virus in plants.

ELISA. To compare the virus coat protein accumulation, an ELISA was done for the oat and wheatgrass experiments. The P-PAV titer began to increase from 4 days postinfestation (dpi), after which there was an appreciable increase in the titer through 10 dpi and then a slight decline by 12 dpi (Table 2). However, the RPV titer did not show any significant increase until 8 through 12 dpi. There was no detectable P-PAV and NY-RPV titer in wheatgrass (Table 2).

Quantitative evaluation of viral replication in YDV-resistant and -susceptible plants. Utilizing the comparative Ct (2^ΔΔCt) method for relative quantification of viral RNAs required that the P-PAV and NY-RPV primers be validated with respect to the endogenous control primers, 18S rRNA. The absolute values for P-PAV and NY-RPV in this validation were 0.08 and 0.02, respectively, which demonstrated that the efficiencies of viral and 18S rRNA amplification were nearly identical (Fig. 1A and B). The Ct of all the reactions run simultaneously, usually in a 96-well PCR plate, was determined by moving the dark horizontal line to an arbitrarily chosen point in the log phase of PCR amplification (Fig. 2C). The Cts for PAV, RPV, and 18S rRNA were used to monitor and quantify YDV replication in a resistant wheatgrass and susceptible oat line (Table 3) and resistant and susceptible wheat lines, P29 and 8138, respectively (Fig. 3).

For relative quantification of P-PAV and NY-RPV, we chose the maximum Ct that determined a positive detection limit. The time points where there was no detectable amplification were not considered because, at these time points, the arbitrary horizontal line to measure the Ct did not cross the amplification plot. P-PAV was first detected at 0 h postinfestation (hpi) in both the oat and wheatgrass. Consequently, in Table 3, the amounts of P-PAV RNA present at subsequent time points are relative to 0 hpi, which was set at 1. The 0-hpi sample was collected immediately after insecticide spraying to kill the aphids. In the experiments with oat and wheatgrass, the amount of P-PAV RNA was 11- to 32-fold higher than NY-RPV RNA in the early sampling times of 2 to 4 hpi. P-PAV accumulation also was faster than that of the NY-RPV in a susceptible plant (Table 3). An 11-fold increase in P-PAV RNA occurred as early as 2 hpi, with a peak of 15,181-fold at 8 dpi followed by a decline to 5,429-fold by the final 12 dpi. However, in ELISA, P-PAV titer was detected only after 4 dpi and increased until 8 dpi, when it reached a plateau for the remainder of the time course (Table 2). NY-RPV RNA increased more slowly than P-PAV in susceptible oat; however, at 12 dpi, it was near the maximum level of P-PAV (Table 3). In a susceptible oat, NY-RPV was first detected at 4 hpi. In a resistant wheatgrass, both the P-PAV and NY-RPV RNA amounts were very low when compared with the susceptible inoculated oat. In wheatgrass, there appeared to be
a minimal amount of P-PA V in the earlier sampling times (2 to 12 h). This may be attributed to the presence of dead viruliferous aphids still present on the sampled leaves or a slight amount of viral replication. NY-RPV RNA was not detected in the earlier sampling times.

The results with susceptible wheat line 8138 and resistant wheat line P29 validated our findings with oat and wheatgrass (Fig. 3 and Table 3). For relative quantification of P-PA V and NY-RPV, we identified the maximum Ct that determined a positive detection limit, set that value to one, and calculated the fold increase or decrease relative to that. The increase in the amount of P-PA V RNA was appreciable in 8138 compared with the increase in P29. In P29, there was significantly less P-PA V RNA compared with the susceptible wheat line 8138. In this experiment, an inoculation access period of 24 h with viruliferous aphids was used, which is in contrast to the experiment with oat and wheatgrass, where the infestation period was 3 h. The reason for this change in protocol was to ensure that P29 was inoculated with NY-RPV. The results obtained with wheat resembled the results observed in wheatgrass. There was a slight increase of NY-RPV RNA in P29 compared with the zero time control, which then decreased to a basal level thereafter. As in wheatgrass, it is unclear if this RPV increase is due to the presence of RPV RNA in the aphid or a low level of viral replication. These results do demonstrate that the high CYDV-RPV resistance in wheatgrass was transferred to P29, whereas only partial resistance to P-PA V was transferred from wheatgrass into this wheat line.

**DISCUSSION**

Wheatgrass species such as *Thinopyrum intermedium*, *Thinopyrum elongatum*, *Thinopyrum pulcherrimum*, and *Aegilops* spp. have been found to be resistant to a wide array of pathogens and pests, such as YDVs (14,27,34), *Wheat streak mosaic virus* (27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Oat</th>
<th>Wheatgrass</th>
<th>Oat</th>
<th>Wheatgrass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−0.006</td>
<td>−0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>−0.016</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>−0.014</td>
<td>−0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.015</td>
<td>−0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>−0.014</td>
<td>−0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>−0.016</td>
<td>−0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>−0.017</td>
<td>−0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>−0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>1.580</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>−0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>−0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> ELISA values were the average of two readings.
<sup>b</sup> Time postinfestation; control = no aphid.

---

**Fig. 2.** Dissociation curve analysis of A, P-PA V and 18S rRNA amplicons and B, NY-RPV and 18S rRNA amplicons in oat and wheatgrass produced from RNA isolated at different times after virus inoculation. All of the PAV, RPV, and 18S rRNA dissociation curves are grouped at a common melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) for each amplicon, demonstrating that the same PAV, RPV, and 18S rRNA amplicon was produced in all of their respective polymerase chain reaction (PCRs). Equal loading of cDNA of all time points was shown by all of the 18S rRNA dissociation curves being grouped very closely together and having equal peak heights. The varying peak heights of the P-PA V and NY-RPV dissociation curves at their respective T<sub>m</sub> is due to the change in amounts of template viral RNA present at different times after inoculation. C, amplification plot of oat and wheatgrass with, or without, yellow dwarf virus. The dark horizontal line (threshold bar) shows the cycle threshold at an arbitrary point during the log phase of PCR amplification.
powdery mildew, leaf rust, hessian fly (9), and green bug (8). To utilize this source of resistance, researchers crossed several wheatgrass species to wheat to obtain fungal- and virus-resistant wheat. In a previous report, Anderson et al. (2) showed by ELISA that a 7E/7D substitution line, P29, had a significant reduction in BYDV-P-PAV titer and no detectable CYDV-NY-RPV compared with the susceptible cv. Abe. In this study, we used the more sensitive method, Q-RT-PCR, to examine the temporal pattern of BYDV or CYDV accumulation in susceptible and resistant plants. As our model for resistant and susceptible plants, we chose the highly resistant wheatgrass Thinopyrum intermedium, a highly susceptible oat (cv. Clintland 64), a partially resistant wheat line (P29) that carries a single wheatgrass chromosome, and a susceptible wheat line, 8138.

Until now, ELISA has been used to detect and quantify BYDV and CYDV titers in plants. Although very useful, this assay does not have the level of sensitivity required to study the kinetics of BYDV or CYDV accumulation in plants following infestation with viruliferous aphids. RT-PCR is a sensitive alternative that can detect minute quantities of virus. Previously, Canning et al. (5) used RT-PCR to detect YDV in individual aphids and showed that the detection in that instance was below the threshold level of ELISA. RT-PCR, when combined with real-time kinetics to measure the quantity of the PCR product during amplification, has significantly improved sensitivity of virus detection and precision of quantification. Consequently, this method was used here to further our understanding of BYDV and CYDV accumulation in different hosts.

For wheatgrass, P-PAV and NY-RPV were not detectable by ELISA during the period of experiment. In oat, there was no appreciable PAV titer by ELISA until approximately 4 dpi. In contrast, real-time quantification indicated that replication starts as early as 2 hpi; P-PAV RNA accumulation reaches a plateau by 8 dpi and then falls significantly by 12 dpi (Table 3). One possible explanation could be that the viral RNA is being degraded by the plant as a defense mechanism through the viral-induced gene-silencing pathway (3,32). A second possible explanation is that there is a faster rate of plant growth relative to virus accumulation that would reduce the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. However, ELISA indicates only a very slight reduction in the level of sensitivity required to study the kinetics of BYDV or CYDV accumulation in plants following infestation with viruliferous aphids. RT-PCR is a sensitive alternative that can detect minute quantities of virus. Previously, Canning et al. (5) used RT-PCR to detect YDV in individual aphids and showed that the detection in that instance was below the threshold level of ELISA. RT-PCR, when combined with real-time kinetics to measure the quantity of the PCR product during amplification, has significantly improved sensitivity of virus detection and precision of quantification. Consequently, this method was used here to further our understanding of BYDV and CYDV accumulation in different hosts.

For wheatgrass, P-PAV and NY-RPV were not detectable by ELISA during the period of experiment. In oat, there was no appreciable PAV titer by ELISA until approximately 4 dpi. In contrast, real-time quantification indicated that replication starts as early as 2 hpi; P-PAV RNA accumulation reaches a plateau by 8 dpi and then falls significantly by 12 dpi (Table 3). One possible explanation could be that the viral RNA is being degraded by the plant as a defense mechanism through the viral-induced gene-silencing pathway (3,32). A second possible explanation is that there is a faster rate of plant growth relative to virus accumulation that would reduce the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. However, ELISA indicates only a very slight reduction in the level of sensitivity required to study the kinetics of BYDV or CYDV accumulation in plants following infestation with viruliferous aphids. RT-PCR is a sensitive alternative that can detect minute quantities of virus. Previously, Canning et al. (5) used RT-PCR to detect YDV in individual aphids and showed that the detection in that instance was below the threshold level of ELISA. RT-PCR, when combined with real-time kinetics to measure the quantity of the PCR product during amplification, has significantly improved sensitivity of virus detection and precision of quantification. Consequently, this method was used here to further our understanding of BYDV and CYDV accumulation in different hosts.

For wheatgrass, P-PAV and NY-RPV were not detectable by ELISA during the period of experiment. In oat, there was no appreciable PAV titer by ELISA until approximately 4 dpi. In contrast, real-time quantification indicated that replication starts as early as 2 hpi; P-PAV RNA accumulation reaches a plateau by 8 dpi and then falls significantly by 12 dpi (Table 3). One possible explanation could be that the viral RNA is being degraded by the plant as a defense mechanism through the viral-induced gene-silencing pathway (3,32). A second possible explanation is that there is a faster rate of plant growth relative to virus accumulation that would reduce the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. However, ELISA indicates only a very slight reduction in the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. Moreover, ELISA is not detectable by ELISA until approximately 4 dpi. In contrast, real-time quantification indicated that replication starts as early as 2 hpi; P-PAV RNA accumulation reaches a plateau by 8 dpi and then falls significantly by 12 dpi (Table 3). One possible explanation could be that the viral RNA is being degraded by the plant as a defense mechanism through the viral-induced gene-silencing pathway (3,32). A second possible explanation is that there is a faster rate of plant growth relative to virus accumulation that would reduce the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. However, ELISA indicates only a very slight reduction in the amount of virus RNA per unit of total RNA. Moreover, ELISA is not detectable by ELISA until approximately 4 dpi. In contrast, real-time quantification indicated that replication starts as early as 2 hpi; P-PAV RNA accumulation reaches a plateau by 8 dpi and then falls significantly by 12 dpi (Table 3).

### Table 3. Relative quantification of P-PAV and NY-RPV by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction in oat and wheatgrass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Oat</th>
<th>Wheatgrass</th>
<th>Oat</th>
<th>Wheatgrass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>PAV</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1 (0.84–1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>11.2 (6.8–18.3)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.72–1.4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>21.16 (14–29)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.6–1.7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>32 (19–52)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.9–1.3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>54 (42–68)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.6–2.3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>111 (90–136)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.12–0.34)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>498 (433–572)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.25–0.42)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>4,420 (4,269–4,576)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.05–0.18)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>9,195 (7,573–11,165)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.06–0.11)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>15,181 (14,462–15,935)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02–0.09)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>12,854 (11,268–14,664)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.09–0.21)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>5,429 (4,502–6,547)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.08–0.14)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The point at which target gene amplification was first detected was set at 1 and samples at subsequent time points were quantified as fold difference in relation to this time point. The range of fold difference is given in parentheses; ND = no detection of target gene.

**Time postinfection; control = no aphid.**
make it clear that additional research is required to understand the dynamics of BYDV replication.

In the experiment with susceptible wheat line 8138 and resistant wheat line P29, there were very large increases and subsequent decreases of both PAV and RPV in the susceptible wheat line (Fig. 3). The temporal pattern of PAV RNA accumulation was the same for P29 and 8138; however, there was significantly less PAV RNA in P29 compared with 8138. Because previous data demonstrated that P29 was very resistant to RPV (2), in this experiment, an inoculation access period of 24 h with viruliferous aphids was used to allow the aphids to transmit as much virus as possible to the plant and ensure that NY-RPV was transmitted to P29. Short virus transmission periods, such as the 2-h period in the wheatgrass and oat infestations, are sufficient to inoculate a plant with BYDV or CYDV; however, a longer virus transmission period, such as the 24 h used in the wheat experiment, increases transmission frequency (23). The results show, however, that in P29 the resistance to RPV is sufficiently strong that RPV RNA either could not be detected or was detected at very low levels throughout the experiment. Similar to the pattern in oat, increases in RPV RNA in wheat occurred after that of PAV. It is interesting that, in both oat and wheat, PAV replicated faster than RPV.

In *Thinopyrum intermedium* and P29, only very low amounts of NY-RPV RNA were detected, confirming the high level CYDV-RPV resistance observed by Anderson et al. (2). Nevertheless, in the same study, it was shown that NY-RPV was able to replicate in mesophyll protoplasts of P29, suggesting that the CYDV-RPV resistance does not function at the single-cell level. It is possible, therefore, that the small amount of RPV RNA detected soon after inoculation was due to a low level of replication. However, more research is required to determine if RPV replication is occurring in this case. Although P-PAV RNA amounts in P29 were relatively low compared with the susceptible line, P29 is not as resistant to PAV as wheatgrass. From this study and previous data of Anderson et al. (2), it is apparent that the complete BYDV resistance in wheatgrass was not transferred to P29, indicating that additional loci are involved in BYDV-PAV resistance (17,27,29).

Using real-time fluorescence RT-PCR, we were able to detect and quantify viral RNA in susceptible and resistant inoculated plants as early as 2 hpi and show that BYDV and CYDV viral RNA accumulation differed both in concentration and over time. This method, therefore, will be invaluable for studying the dynamics of BYDV and CYDV replication and subgenomic RNA production. Linking these data with PAV and RPV resistance and generalized plant defense responses also will allow experimentation into the mechanism of the wheatgrass-derived YDV resistance.
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