Impact of elevated ozone concentration on growth, physiology, and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): a meta-analysis
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**Abstract**

We quantitatively evaluated the effects of elevated concentration of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) on growth, leaf chemistry, gas exchange, grain yield, and grain quality relative to carbon-filtered air (CF) by means of meta-analysis of published data. Our database consisted of 53 peer-reviewed studies published between 1980 and 2007, taking into account wheat type, O<sub>3</sub> fumigation method, rooting environment, O<sub>3</sub> concentration ([O<sub>3</sub>]), developmental stage, and additional treatments such as drought and elevated carbon dioxide concentration ([CO<sub>2</sub>]). The results suggested that elevated [O<sub>3</sub>] decreased wheat grain yield by 29% (CI: 24–34%) and aboveground biomass by 18% (CI: 13–24%), where CI is the 95% confidence interval. Even in studies where the [O<sub>3</sub>] range was between 31 and 59 ppb (average 43 ppb), there was a significant decrease in the grain yield (18%) and biomass (16%) relative to CF. Despite the increase in the grain protein content (6.8%), elevated [O<sub>3</sub>] significantly decreased the grain protein yield (<sub>-18%</sub>). Relative to CF, elevated [O<sub>3</sub>] significantly decreased photosynthetic rates (<sub>-20%</sub>), Rubisco activity (<sub>-19%</sub>), stomatal conductance (<sub>-22%</sub>), and chlorophyll content (<sub>-40%</sub>). For the whole plant, rising [O<sub>3</sub>] induced a larger decrease in belowground (<sub>-27%</sub>) biomass than in aboveground (<sub>-18%</sub>) biomass. There was no significant response difference between spring wheat and winter wheat. Wheat grown in the field showed larger decreases in leaf photosynthesis parameters than wheat grown in <sub>&lt;5 L pots</sub>. Open-top chamber fumigation induced a larger reduction than indoor growth chambers, when plants were exposed to elevated [O<sub>3</sub)]. The detrimental effect was progressively greater as the average daily [O<sub>3</sub>] increased, with very few exceptions. The impact of O<sub>3</sub> increased with developmental stages, with the largest detrimental impact during grain filling. Both drought and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] significantly ameliorated the detrimental effects of elevated [O<sub>3</sub>], which could be explained by a significant decrease in O<sub>3</sub> uptake resulting from decreased stomatal conductance.
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**Introduction**

Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is currently considered to be the most important air pollutant affecting plant productivity in most parts of the world (Fowler et al., 1999a; Krupa et al., 2001; Feng et al., 2003; Ashmore, 2005; Wang et al., 2007). Not only because of its phytotoxicity (Krupa et al., 2001) but also because its concentration has risen at a rate of 0.5–2% per year during the past three decades (Vingarzan, 2004). Nearly one-quarter of the earth’s surface is currently at risk from elevated [O<sub>3</sub>] in excess of 60 ppb during mid-summer, with even greater concentrations occurring locally.
Models predicted that tropospheric [O3] could rise 20–25% between 2015 and 2050, and further increase by 40–60% by 2100 if current emission trends continue (Meehl et al., 2007).

There is abundant evidence that current ambient [O3] in many areas of the world is high enough to induce significant yield losses in crops such as wheat (Wahid et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2007b), potato (Clarke et al., 1990), soybean (Nali et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2003), and rice (Ainsworth, 2008). Ozone, a strong oxidant, primarily enters plants through the stomata where it can dissolve in the apoplastic liquid. Ozone can directly react with the plasmalemma through ozonolysis or it can be converted into reactive oxygen species (ROS) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), which alter cellular components and can lead to cell death, accelerated senescence, and the up- or down-regulation of genes (Long & Naidu, 2002; Fiscus et al., 2005). In the chloroplast, these reactions could directly or indirectly impair the light and dark reactions of photosynthesis (Fiscus et al., 2005). Physiological studies indicate that O3 damaged the photosynthetic machinery leading to reduced fixation and a progressive loss of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) activity despite no visible injury (Ojanpera et al., 1998; Fiscus et al., 2005). The deleterious effects of O3 on the grain yield have often been attributed to premature leaf senescence, decreases in light interception and photosynthesis, consequent reductions in assimilate availability, and alterations in assimilate partitioning (Heagle, 1989; Kobayashi & Okada, 1995; Kobayashi et al., 1995; Nouchi et al., 1995; Mulholland et al., 1998; Black et al., 2000; Feng et al., 2007).

At the whole-plant level, chronic O3 exposure can lead to visible leaf injury and reductions in biomass and yield (Heagle, 1989; Kobayashi et al., 1995; Benton et al., 2000; Feng et al., 2003; Fuhrer & Booker, 2003; Morgan et al., 2003; Ashmore, 2005; Wang et al., 2007b), although the observed responses vary depending on the species and exposure conditions (Heagle, 1989; Fuhrer & Booker, 2003; Fiscus et al., 2005; Mills et al., 2007). A wide variation in the magnitude and direction of O3 responses has been reported within a single species (Morgan et al., 2003). For example, visible leaf damage varied from no discernable damage to >80% loss of leaf green area for spring wheat (Finnan et al., 1998; Booker, 2004). Rape seed yield ranged from a decrease of >35% (Adaros et al., 1991) to an increase of >20% (Kollner & Krause, 2003). Variability results from differences in genetic background and developmental stage, as well as O3 concentration patterns and exposure dose. When crops were exposed to O3 from the vegetative stage until maturity, sensitivity of seed crops to O3 was greatest during the period between flowering and seed maturity (Lee et al., 1988), which was supported by experimental studies of beans (Phaseolus vulgaris; Younglove et al., 1994) and spring wheat (Ewert & Pleijel, 1999). Ewert & Pleijel (1999) summarized spring wheat cv. Minaret grown in open-top chambers (OTCs) at different sites throughout Europe for up to 3 years at each site, and found that O3 exposure reduced the leaf area index (LAI) by ca. 9% at anthesis, but had hardly any effect during the stem elongation stage.

Rising [O3] is often studied along with other environmental factors such as elevated [CO2] and drought. Factors that influence the stomatal conductance can alter the flux of O3 into mesophyll. Numerous studies demonstrated that water stress or elevated [CO2] significantly decreased the relative impact of O3 in many crops and natural vegetation (Mulholland et al., 1998; Fuhrer & Booker, 2003; Khan & Soja, 2003; Valkama et al., 2007; Wittig et al., 2007).

Wheat is an important crop worldwide and is grown on about 200 million hectares in a range of environments, with an annual production of more than 619 million metric tons (FAO, 2007). Global wheat production must continue to increase 2% annually until 2020 to meet future demands imposed by population and prosperity growth (Singh et al., 2007). Attaining this goal is made more difficult under the reduced water availability, global warming, and atmospheric pollution predicted for the future. Wheat is known to be among the most [O3]-sensitive crops (Mills et al., 2007) and is frequently used as a model annual C3 crop to assess future food security. A large number of studies have investigated the response of its growth, physiology, and yield to elevated [O3] and other environmental factors that may impact the O3 response. In the work presented here, we have used meta-analyses to determine the mean responses of wheat growth and production to the current and future elevation of [O3].

The objectives of this paper are (1) to summarize and synthesize the results of the numerous studies on physiology, growth, grain yield and its components, and grain quality of wheat in response to elevated [O3], and (2) to reveal the sources of variation in the wheat responses to elevated [O3]. We thereby addressed the following questions: (1) To what extent is the grain yield of wheat reduced by elevated [O3], and which parameters are associated with the yield reduction? (2) Are wheat responses to O3 dependent on growth stages, wheat type, fumigation method, and rooting environment? (3) Does elevated [CO2] or drought modify the effects of elevated [O3] on wheat growth? (4) To what extent do current ambient and future [O3] affect wheat yield?
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Materials and methods

Database

Using the Web of Science (Thompson-ISI, Philadelphia, PA, USA) and AGRICOLA databases (National Agricultural Library, Beltsville, MD, USA), a survey of all peer-reviewed literature published between 1980 and 2007 was made on wheat photosynthesis, growth, yield and its components, and grain quality responses to elevated [O₃]. Articles and experiments were excluded if (1) only aggregate means were reported across treatments or cultivars, (2) ozone fumigation was less than 10 days, (3) the experimental control was ambient air, (4) elevated [O₃] was less than 30 ppb during exposure, (5) the data were previously or more completely reported in another article. After excluding articles based on these criteria, 53 articles were used for the meta-analysis (Appendix A). The articles were examined for any of the plant growth parameters listed in Table 1. The mean value, the standard deviations, and the replication in carbon-filtered air (CF) and elevated [O₃] were recorded in a database together with the categorical information. Data from the figures were digitized using data extraction software (GRAFULA 3 v.2.10, Wesik SoftHaus, St Petersburg, Russia). If gas exchange measurements were made over the diurnal course, only values for light-saturating conditions were recorded in the database. Meta-analytic methods require that individual observations be statistically independent. Parameter values were considered independent if they were made on different cultivars, O₃ concentrations, additional treatments, or if the measurements were made on different dates in the same experiment, following previous meta-analyses (Curtis & Wang, 1998; Ainsworth et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2003; Wittig et al., 2007).

Sources of variation

To explain the variation in the response of wheat to elevated [O₃], seven categories were included as follows: (1) wheat type (spring wheat vs. winter wheat); (2) fumigation method (OTC, growth chamber, and greenhouse); (3) developmental stage (seedling, booting–anthesis, grain filling, and maturity); (4) rooting environment (field, <5 L pot, and ≥ 5 L pot); (5) duration of leaf fumigation (3–10, 11–20, 21–30, and ≥ 31 days); (6) mean [O₃] (30–59, 60–89, 90–119, and ≥ 120 ppb); and (7) additional treatments (no additional treatments, elevated [CO₂], or drought). Note that the results of 3–10 day leaf exposure were extracted from studies that had a total fumigation period of more than 10 days. The mean [O₃] in the control and in the treatment was defined for the period of O₃ fumigation, which ranged from 7 to 12 h day⁻¹.

Meta-analyses

Following the techniques of Curtis & Wang (1998), the meta-analysis was conducted using a meta-analytical
software package (METAWIN 2.1.3.4, Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, MA, USA) (Rosenberg et al., 2000). To estimate the treatment effect, the natural log of the response ratio \( r = \text{variable in elevated} [O_3]/\text{variable in CF air} \) was used as the metric for analysis (Hedges et al., 1999; Rosenberg et al., 2000) and reported as the percentage changes from control as \( (r - 1) \times 100\% \) (Curtis & Wang, 1998; Ainsworth et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2003; Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Wittig et al., 2007). Negative percentage changes indicate a decrease in the variable in response to elevated \([O_3]\) treatment, while positive values indicate an increase.

A limited number of manuscripts reported data that would allow computation of sample variance (standard deviations or standard errors with replicate size). Therefore, most response variables except leaf starch and sucrose were analyzed using an un-weighted approach, in which the variance of the effect size was calculated using resampling techniques after 9999 iterations (Adams et al., 1997; Gurevitch & Hedges, 1999; Rosenberg et al., 2000; Ainsworth et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2003). Confidence limits around the effect size were calculated using a bootstrap method (Rosenberg et al., 2000). Estimates of the effect size were assumed to be significant if the 95% confidence intervals (CI) did not overlap zero (Curtis & Wang, 1998). The difference between categorical variables was considered significant if the 95% CI did not overlap (Curtis & Wang, 1998; Ainsworth et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2003). Levels of each category were included in this analysis if there were at least 10 observations, or three independent articles. Because of limited observations in different leaf fumigation periods and in combined effects of elevated \([O_3]\) and drought, two independent articles with less than 10 measurements were also used in these two categorical analyses.

Results

Overall effects of elevated \([O_3]\)

Across all studies, elevated \([O_3]\) with a range of 31–200 ppb decreased wheat grain yield by 29%, with a 95% CI of 24–34% (Fig. 1). The large yield loss was caused by a combination of decreases in individual grain weight (−18%), ear number per plant (−6%), and grain number per ear (−11%). Harvest index was decreased by ca. 9%, although the decrease in above-ground dry weight at maturity (−18%) contributed more to the 29% yield loss. Elevated \([O_3]\) increased the grain nutritional content, such as protein (+7%), Ca (+11%), and K (+9%), whereas it reduced the starch content by 8%. The increase in the grain protein content was not large enough to compensate for the yield loss, and the grain protein yield was reduced significantly by 18%. Elevated \([O_3]\) accelerated the senescence relative to CF treatment, as shown by a shorter (−4%) duration from sowing to maturity.

LAI and SLA (specific leaf area) were not significantly affected by elevated \([O_3]\), whereas a 20% decrease in leaf photosynthetic rate and a 21% increase in leaf dark respiration rate were induced. Lower photosynthetic rates may have resulted from combined effects of decreases in Rubisco activity (−19%), \( V_{c_{\text{max}}} \) (−18%), and stomatal conductance (−22%), and a large decrease in chlorophyll content (−40%) and light use efficiency (−11%). Along with the decrease in leaf \( F_{\text{v}}/F_{\text{m}} \) (−6%), leaf photosynthetic light and dark reactions capacity was decreased, which should have resulted in less available carbon for growth and grain formation when exposed to elevated \([O_3]\). Leaf chemistry responses...
revealed that protein content was decreased by 29% while starch content was increased by 40% at elevated [O$_3$]. There was a trend toward increased leaf TNC (total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration) and sucrose at elevated [O$_3$], although the effect was not significant.

For the whole plant, elevated [O$_3$] induced a larger decrease in belowground (−27%) than in above-ground (−18%) biomass, regardless of the total biomass accumulation or growth rate. Correspondingly, the root-to-shoot ratio was decreased by 15% under elevated [O$_3$] relative to the CF treatment. Moreover, the O$_3$ exposure caused lower RGR (−11%) and height (−5%).

**Difference between wheat types in the effects of elevated [O$_3$]**

Significant differences were found only in stomatal conductance and leaf chlorophyll concentration between spring wheat and winter wheat (Fig. 2). The chlorophyll result is confounded by the fact that only one study was conducted in the seedling stage for winter wheat. Although [O$_3$] during winter wheat fumigation was higher than that for spring wheat, most variables showed little difference between winter wheat and spring wheat in the responses to elevated [O$_3$].

**Effect of ozone fumigation methods**

The experiments with OTCs were associated with larger decreases for most variables compared with those with growth chambers or greenhouses with significant differences being found in individual grain weight, harvest index, and leaf protein concentration (Fig. 3).

**Effect of rooting environment**

Relative to plants grown in <5 L pots, those grown in the field showed greater decrease due to elevated [O$_3$] in leaf photosynthesis parameters: about twofold in $A_{sat}$, 4.5-fold in leaf chlorophyll, and 2.3-fold in leaf protein concentrations (Fig. 4). However, there was a larger decrease in aboveground biomass for wheat grown in large pot size (5–15 L) than those grown in the field.

Fig. 3 Effects of elevated [O$_3$] on wheat with different ozone fumigation methods, excluding studies that involved additional treatments. Ozone fumigation method using open-top chamber ( ), using indoor growth chamber ( ), and greenhouse ( ). Number of measurements and studies are shown in parentheses, respectively, and average [O$_3$] is given on the y-axis. Abbreviations for the parameters are described in Table 1.

Fig. 2 Effects of elevated [O$_3$] on spring wheat ( ) and winter wheat ( ), excluding studies that involved additional treatments. Number of measurements and studies are shown in parentheses, respectively, and average [O$_3$] is given on the y-axis. Abbreviations for the parameters are described in Table 1.
**Effect of ozone concentration**

The detrimental effect of O$_3$ was progressively greater as the average daily [O$_3$] increased, with very few exceptions (Fig. 5). In some variables (e.g. shoot biomass, individual grain weight, and grain yield), the decrease induced by elevated [O$_3$] was significantly greater in high [O$_3$] than that in lower [O$_3$] ranges. It is also noteworthy that many plant parameters showed significant response to elevated [O$_3$] relative to CF at the lowest [O$_3$] range of 30–59 ppb. For example, shoot biomass, individual grain weight, and grain yield were significantly decreased by more than 10% relative to CF (Fig. 5), which is consistent with lower carbon fixation capacity, indicated as a large decrease in $A_{\text{sat}}$ (−37%), chlorophyll concentration (−53%), and $F_{v}/F_{m}$ (Fig. 5). This range of [O$_3$] can be found in many locations currently during the wheat-growing season.

**Effect of leaf ozone exposure duration**

To clarify if leaf exposure days affected the response of wheat to elevated [O$_3$], we classified data reported by original papers into four categories. There was a progressive decrease with increasing duration of leaf exposure to elevated [O$_3$] in leaf photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and chlorophyll concentration, with few exceptions (Fig. 6), which suggested significant O$_3$ accumulation effects.

**Effect of developmental stage**

All variables showed the largest decrease in the grain-filling stage, suggesting either accumulation of O$_3$ damage over the growing season or a higher sensitivity of wheat plants to O$_3$ during this stage. There was a progressive decrease with wheat development and significant difference between stages in photosynthesis rate, leaf chlorophyll, and protein contents when wheat was exposed to elevated [O$_3$] (Fig. 7).
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Farmington, you're a helpful assistant! This text describes the effects of elevated [O$_3$] on wheat, including the detrimental effect of O$_3$, and categorizes data reported by original papers into four categories. The detrimental effect of O$_3$ was progressively greater as the average daily [O$_3$] increased, with very few exceptions (Fig. 5). In some variables, the decrease induced by elevated [O$_3$] was significantly greater in high [O$_3$] than that in lower [O$_3$] ranges. It is also noteworthy that many plant parameters showed significant response to elevated [O$_3$] relative to CF at the lowest [O$_3$] range of 30–59 ppb. For example, shoot biomass, individual grain weight, and grain yield were significantly decreased by more than 10% relative to CF (Fig. 5), which is consistent with lower carbon fixation capacity, indicated as a large decrease in $A_{\text{sat}}$ (−37%), chlorophyll concentration (−53%), and $F_{v}/F_{m}$ (Fig. 5). This range of [O$_3$] can be found in many locations currently during the wheat-growing season.

To clarify if leaf exposure days affected the response of wheat to elevated [O$_3$], we classified data reported by original papers into four categories. There was a progressive decrease with increasing duration of leaf exposure to elevated [O$_3$] in leaf photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and chlorophyll concentration, with few exceptions (Fig. 6), which suggested significant O$_3$ accumulation effects.

All variables showed the largest decrease in the grain-filling stage, suggesting either accumulation of O$_3$ damage over the growing season or a higher sensitivity of wheat plants to O$_3$ during this stage. There was a progressive decrease with wheat development and significant difference between stages in photosynthesis rate, leaf chlorophyll, and protein contents when wheat was exposed to elevated [O$_3$] (Fig. 7).
Fig. 6  Response of wheat leaf to elevated [O₃] at different exposure durations (●: 3–10 days; □: 11–20 days; ▲: 21–30 days; and ▼: >30 days), excluding studies that involved additional treatments. Only studies that reported leaf exposure days are included. Number of measurements and studies are shown in parentheses, respectively, and average [O₃] is given on the y-axis. Abbreviations for the parameters are described in Table 1.

Fig. 7  Response of wheat to elevated [O₃] at different developmental stages, excluding studies that involved additional treatments. Developmental stages include seedling (●), from booting to anthesis (□), grain filling (▲), and maturity (▼). Only studies that reported developmental stage data are included. Number of measurements and studies are shown in parentheses, respectively, and average [O₃] is given on the y-axis. Abbreviations for the parameters are described in Table 1.

Fig. 8  Interactive effect of elevated [O₃] on wheat with no additional treatments (●), with drought treatment (□), and with elevated [CO₂] (>500 ppm) treatment (▲). Number of measurements and studies are shown in parentheses, respectively, and average [O₃] is given on the y-axis. Abbreviations for the parameters are described in Table 1.

Effect of drought and elevated [CO₂]

Across all studies of the interaction between elevated [O₃] and additional treatments, most focused on elevated [CO₂] or drought; therefore, studies were grouped into three categories: those with no additional treatments, studies with combined drought treatments and elevation of [O₃], and studies with the combined elevation of [O₃] and [CO₂] (Fig. 8). For those studies with no additional treatments, elevated [O₃] induced more than a 15% decrease in yield parameters, growth, and photosynthesis, with an [O₃] range from 60 to 90 ppb (Fig. 8), which is in accordance with average decrease across all studies (Fig. 1). Elevated [CO₂] significantly ameliorated or moderated the deleterious effects of O₃ for most parameters (Fig. 8). For example, reduction of leaf photosynthetic rate induced by elevated [O₃] was lessened by 79% under elevated [CO₂] relative to ambient [CO₂], which mainly resulted from a significant decrease in the ozone impacts on \( V_{cmax} \).
(49%) and stomatal conductance (50%). Based on the mean effect, water stress also lessened the effect of ozone on wheat, as shown in the significantly smaller losses of yield and aboveground biomass (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

To what extent is the grain yield of wheat reduced by elevated \([O_3]\), and which parameters are associated with the yield reduction?

Numerous studies have shown that seed and fruit yields are commonly reduced in a wide range of agricultural and native species, not only when \(O_3\) levels are experimentally increased, but also by the prevailing \(O_3\) climate in many parts of the world (reviews: Black et al., 2000; Fuhrer & Booker, 2003; Ashmore, 2005). This meta-analytic evaluation of peer-reviewed literature indicates that the increase of surface \([O_3]\) decreased wheat grain yield by 29% (\(n = 90\)), with a 95% CI of 24–34% in spite of the variation in the response observed between studies. The average 7 or 8-h \([O_3]\) was 72 ppb, with a range of 30–200 ppb, across all the reported studies on effects of chronic \(O_3\) treatment.

The exposure–response relationship allowed the yield losses for different crops to be estimated for a given \(O_3\) exposure (Ashmore, 2002). For a seasonal 7-h mean \([O_3]\) of 72 ppb, the estimated yield reduction for wheat was 31% based on the linear dose–response model (Mills et al., 2000), suggesting an agreement between the two methods. The yield losses induced by different levels of \([O_3]\) were within the range of estimates by dose–response relationship (Mills et al., 2000), with an exception of the highest level \([O_3]\), which exceeded the upper limit (ca.125 ppb) of the dose–response equation.

From our meta-analysis, the most important yield component responsible for grain yield reduction was the decrease in individual grain weight, although both ears per plant and grains per ear showed significant decreases at elevated \([O_3]\). It is suggested that, among the growth processes, grain filling was damaged most by elevated \([O_3]\). It is unclear, however, if this is because of the higher ozone sensitivity at the growth stage, or simply because of the accumulation of ozone damages through to this stage, as mentioned later. Similar results have been reported in many studies with wheat (Fuhrer et al., 1989; Feng et al., 2007), barley and rape (Adaros et al., 1991), and bean (\(P. vulgaris\)) (Sanders et al., 1992).

Yield loss has often been attributed to reduction in photosynthetic activity and to lower supply of assimilates that support reproductive development and seed growth (Black et al., 2000; Fiscus et al., 2005). Our results supported this reasoning, coupling a reduction of 20% in photosynthetic rate and that of 18% in aboveground biomass. From this analysis, the decrease in the Rubisco activity (20%) coincided with the reduction in \(A_{sat}\), suggesting that lower Rubisco activity is the prevailing cause of lost photosynthetic rate induced by elevated \([O_3]\). This agrees with the results in \(O_3\)-exposed leaves of Plantago major (Zheng et al., 2002).

Are wheat responses to \(O_3\) dependent on growth stages, wheat type, fumigation method, and rooting environment?

Growth stages. From the analysis of published data on gas exchange and leaf chemistry, we found a progressive decrease in most parameters with developmental stage (Fig. 7), in agreement with the results of a meta-analysis on soybean (Morgan et al., 2003). This could be explained by cumulative effects that build over the growing season. But these results could also be explained by a greater sensitivity in the later stages of development. Most studies of the effects of \(O_3\) on the performance of agricultural crops have involved exposure from vegetative to reproductive stages, making it impossible to distinguish direct effects, if any, of the latter developmental stage from indirect effects accumulated from the vegetative stages via injury to the vegetative organs and alterations in the production and partitioning of assimilates (Black et al., 2000). Pleijel et al. (1998) exposed field-grown spring wheat to the same \(O_3\) dose (2500 ppb h above 40 ppb) before and after the onset of anthesis, and reported that \(O_3\) exposure is much more effective in decreasing the grain yield after than before the anthesis. Similar results were also found in bean (Younglove et al., 1994). However, due to limited sample size, we could not test if leaves are more sensitive to \(O_3\) after anthesis than before anthesis in this meta-analysis.

**Other factors.** Another important factor determining the variation in wheat to elevated \([O_3]\) is the type of ozone exposure. Plants exposed to elevated \([O_3]\) in OTCs showed larger decreases in most parameters than those in indoor growth chambers, although significant differences were only detected in individual grain weight, harvest index, and leaf protein concentration (Fig. 3). This can be explained by the fact that wheat plants grown in indoor growth chambers are more ozone resistant than those in OTCs, as the former are characterized by lower stomatal density and lower stomatal conductance due to lower effective light (Oksanen et al., 2005). Similar phenomenon was also found in trees response to elevated \([O_3]\) (Valkama et al., 2007; Wittig et al., 2007). On the other hand, plants in OTC are better coupled with the surrounding air than those in the field, and hence the former plants take up
O₃ at a higher rate than the latter ones under the same [O₃] (Nussbaum & Fuhrer, 2000). It is unclear, however, if the plants in indoor chambers are less coupled with the air than those in OTC.

It was assumed that the response of spring wheat to elevated [O₃] was different from that of winter wheat. A prior comparison of OTC experiments in Europe and USA showed that European spring cultivars are more sensitive than North American winter cultivars when exposed to a 7–8 h seasonal mean of 60–120 ppb O₃ (Miller, 1993). However, our meta-analysis across all studies excluding additional treatments indicated that there was no significant difference between spring wheat and winter wheat in response to elevated [O₃] in terms of investigated parameters, with the exception of stomatal conductance, although the average [O₃] in winter wheat was higher than that in spring wheat. Similar results were also found in the study of Mills et al. (2007), where there were no statistical differences in the slope of the response relationship between relative yield and AOT40 for European and USA cultivars of wheat grown in the field.

Does elevated [CO₂] or drought modify the effects of elevated [O₃] on wheat growth?

The detrimental effects of O₃ vary with flux into the mesophyll via the stomata; therefore, a popular notion is that any environmental factors that decrease stomatal conductance will decrease flux of O₃ into intercellular spaces of the leaf. It is well documented that high vapor pressure deficit, drought, and elevated [CO₂] decrease stomatal conductance. Consequently, it may be expected that the decrease in photosynthesis or biomass growth caused by O₃ exposure will be less under stress conditions than under nonstress conditions (Morgan et al., 2003; Fiscus et al., 2005; Wittig et al., 2007). This notion was supported by our analysis. Elevated [CO₂] significantly ameliorated the large decrease in stomatal conductance induced by elevated [O₃] (Fig. 8), implying that O₃ flux into leaves was reduced significantly and thus the damage to the photosynthetic apparatus was limited when elevated [CO₂] was present. Our result was consistent with Wittig et al. (2007) who investigated the effects of elevated [CO₂] on the response of stomatal conductance of trees to elevated [O₃]. However, a meta-analysis on soybean indicated that elevated [CO₂] significantly increased the reduction in stomatal conductance induced by elevated [O₃], relative to ambient [CO₂] (Morgan et al., 2003). Vandermeer et al. (2002) examined the results with potato in OTCs at many experimental sites from the European CHIP-program and concluded that elevated [CO₂] counteracted the adverse effect of elevated [O₃] on photosynthesis via a reduction in stomatal conductance.

In accordance with smaller reduction in stomatal conductance, the mean decrease in photosynthetic rate was 7% in the combination of elevated [CO₂] and [O₃], compared with a 33% loss for wheat grown at elevated [O₃] and current [CO₂] (Fig. 8). This is in agreement with the meta-analysis of Amthor (2001) who synthesized the effects of atmospheric [CO₂] on wheat yield across five different methods of controlling [CO₂]. However, in elevated [O₃], biomass and yield of most crops were increased significantly under elevated [CO₂] but the variability of the responses remained large or even opposite (e.g. inhibition by O₃ was altered little by CO₂ enrichment for some highly O₃-susceptible lines of potato and snap bean (Heagle et al., 2002, 2003). Similarly, water stress significantly modified the decrease in aboveground biomass and yield induced by elevated [O₃], but did not alter the change in individual grain weight, ears per plant, and harvest index caused by elevated [O₃], which suggested that water stress may ameliorate the decrease in grains per ear. However, in some studies, no clear interactions were also observed between ozone and water stress (Temple, 1986; Fangmeier et al., 1994).

To what extent do current ambient and future [O₃] affect wheat yield?

Given current emission trends, tropospheric [O₃] is projected to rise globally by 20–25% between 2015 and 2050, and 40–60% by 2100 (Meehl et al., 2007). Therefore, projections imply an increase in [O₃] from a current 40 ppb to 48–50 ppb by 2050 and to 56–64 ppb by 2100 for temperate regions of the Northern hemisphere (Wittig et al., 2007). In our meta-analysis, the average for the [O₃] range from 30 to 50 ppb was 43 ppb (Level A), and that for the [O₃] range from 62 to 82 ppb was 73 ppb (Level B). We can assume that Level A represents current ambient [O₃], and that Level B represents future [O₃]. Although the Level B [O₃] is higher than the projection, the 7-h mean [O₃] during wheat growth is more than 70 ppb in many developed countries and in some parts of developing countries in Asia (Wahid et al., 2008 The Authors Journal compilation © 2008 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, 14, 2696–2708).
1995; Benton et al., 2000; Wang & Mauzerall, 2004; Wang et al., 2005). Our results indicated that current ambient [O₃] is depressing grain yield in wheat by 17.5%, with a 95% CI of −11% to −24% (Fig. 5), which is in agreement with the dose–response estimates (Mills et al., 2000). This is based on 22 independent measurements across 10 cultivars and seven countries excluding all additional treatments. The wheat yield loss is clearly higher than average seed yield loss in soybean (10%) (Morgan et al., 2003), which was largely driven by a decrease (37%) in A_sat. Yield loss at ambient [O₃] in crops has already occurred in most countries in Europe according to the investigation of UN/ECE ICP-Vegetation Project (Benton et al., 2000). Based on this current meta-analysis, future surface [O₃] could drive a further decrease in yield relative to current [O₃] (Fig. 5). However, O₃ is not the only element of global change, and it will interact with other factors such as drought stress, increasing [CO₂], and temperature.
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META-ANALYSIS OF WHEAT RESPONSES TO ELEVATED OZONE


**Appendix A. Articles used in meta-analysis of elevated ozone effects**
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