Design, synthesis, biological evaluation and docking studies of pterostilbene analogs inside PPARα
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Abstract—Pterostilbene, a naturally occurring analog of resveratrol, has previously shown PPARα activation in H4IIEC3 cells and was found to decrease cholesterol levels in animals. In this study, analogs of pterostilbene were synthesized and their ability to activate PPARα was investigated. Among analogs that was synthesized (E)-4-(3,5-dimethoxystyryl)phenyl dihydrogen phosphate showed activity higher than pterostilbene and control drug ciprofibrate. Docking of the stilbenes inside PPARα showed the presence of important hydrogen bond interactions for PPARα activation.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The stilbene scaffold is known to hold several biological activities considered beneficial for human health and for use in agriculture. The potential health benefits of this class of compounds have been extensively investigated in the past several years. Pharmacological properties of hydroxylated stilbenes include antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-leukemic, antibacterial, anti-fungal, anti-platelet aggregation, vasodilator, and antitumor activities. Due to the variety of their beneficial effects, including chemopreventive and chemoprotective activities, this relatively structurally simple group of compounds has attracted attention as a novel potential therapeutic class. Resveratrol 1 [(E)-1-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-2-(3,5-dihydroxyphenyl)ethene, Fig. 1], the most widely studied stilbene, is a naturally occurring polyphenol stilbenoid found in grapes, peanuts, and berries. This molecule is synthesized by plants in response to environmental stress and fungal infections and is known to be involved in defense mechanisms of plants.

Resveratrol has been extensively investigated because its occurrence in wine has been linked to lower risk of cardiovascular diseases among wine drinking population. The antioxidant activity of resveratrol has been proven in a number of studies. In addition to its antioxidant activity, resveratrol has also been demonstrated to reduce blood lipid levels in animals. Pterostilbene 2, a naturally occurring dimethylether analog of 1, has been shown to lower plasma lipid levels when fed to hamsters. Significant activation of PPARα was also observed with 2 in the same study, whereas none of the other naturally occurring stilbenes tested, including 1, showed activation of PPARα.

The peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the nuclear receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors that play important role in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. PPARα is expressed in the liver, heart, and muscles and is involved in the metabolism of fatty acids and lipids. PPARα is a known target for the treatment of dyslipidemia. Among the commonly used drugs for hypercholesterolemia (or hyperlipidemia), fibrates are known PPARα agonists. The triglyceride lowering and HDL-cholesterol increasing effects of fibrates are attributed to the activation of PPARα, which plays a role in lipoprotein catabolism.
This study was undertaken to investigate the effect of chemical modification of pterostilbene on PPARα activation. We kept the methoxy groups at the 3 and 5 positions and introduced different groups at 4' position. The docking of some of the natural and synthetic stilbenes into PPARα is also reported.

2. Chemistry

Pterostilbene derivatives 8a,b-10a,b were synthesized through Wittig reaction according to Pettit et al.21 (Scheme 1). Coupling of phosphonium salt 4 and aromatic aldehydes 5, 6, and 7 gave a mixture of cis and trans isomers, which were isolated by column chromatography. The configurations of the molecules were determined by comparing their spectral data with those reported in the literature.22 The nitro derivatives 8a and 8b were treated with sodium dithionite22 to give compounds 11a and 11b (Scheme 2). Hydrolysis of esters 9a and 9b afforded acids 12a and 12b (Scheme 3). To study the role of the vinyl double bond of 2 in the activation of PPARα, reduction was accomplished with Pd/C in MeOH generating the saturated compound 13. Phosphate derivative 15 was synthesized through reaction of 2 and dibenzylphosphite using DMAP, DIEA, followed by deprotection of the benzyl groups21 (Scheme 4). Wittig reaction between aldehyde 17 and phosphonium salt 1921 afforded cis and trans isomers 21 and 22 (Scheme 5).

3. Results and discussion

Based on previous observations of its significant PPARα activation, 2 was used as a lead compound for designing analogs with the aim of identifying molecules more active toward PPARα activation than the parent natural compound 2.

The crystal structure of PPARα ligand-binding domain (LBD) (PDB code 1K7L) revealed that the ligand
GW409544 (Fig. 2) makes several hydrogen bond interactions with Ser280, Tyr314, Tyr464, and His440 that are known to be the key interactions for PPAR agonists.23 GW409544 is a L-tyrosine analog of PPARc agonist farglitazar (Fig. 2) and its synthesis was first described in 2000 by Collins et al.24 for treatment of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. This molecule is a full PPARa (EC50 = 2.3 nM) and PPARc (EC50 = 0.28 nM) agonist.23 GW409544 adopts a conformation where the acidic head group of the ligand functions as hydrogen bond donor and interacts with Tyr314 on helix 5 and Tyr464 on the AF-2 helix. These interactions stabilize the receptor in a conformation that leads to the transcriptional activation of the receptor via recruitment of co-activator proteins.

In addition, the tyrosine moiety of GW409544 is oriented toward the hydrophobic pocket formed by helices 3, 6, and 10, adjacent to the C-terminal AF-2 helix.23 Based on these observations we decided to introduce acidic and polar groups at the 4’ position of 2. These polar groups are anticipated to form hydrogen bond and interact with the critical polar amino acid residues on the AF-2 helix such as Tyr464 considered important for PPARx activation.

Analogs (8–22) were evaluated for their activity as PPARx agonist in H4IIEC3 cells at concentrations ranging from 0.1 μM to 100 μM. Their activities were compared with ciprofibrate (Fig. 3).

The nitro compounds (8a and 8b) and the amine compounds (11a and 11b) did not exhibit a significant activation of PPARα. Among the cis isomers, compound 10b had significant activity as PPARα agonists (>2-fold activation at 1–100 μM). Introduction of an ester group (9a) also resulted in increase in activity (>2-fold activation at 10–100 μM). Interestingly, reduction of the vinyl double bond in 2 resulted in loss of its activity as represented by 13, indicating that the double bond is essential for PPARα activation for stilbenes. Saturation of the double bond causes conformation changes of the molecule resulting in loss of its activity. The most active compound was the phosphate derivative 15, which exhibited a sixfold induction of PPARα at the highest concentration tested (100 μM) with twofold or more induction at

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of 12a and 12b. Reagents and condition: (a) NaOH, MeOH, reflux, 4 days.](image-url)

GW409544 (Fig. 2) makes several hydrogen bond interactions with Ser280, Tyr314, Tyr464, and His440 that are known to be the key interactions for PPARα agonists. GW409544 is a L-tyrosine analog of PPARγ agonist farglitazar (Fig. 2) and its synthesis was first described in 2000 by Collins et al. for treatment of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. This molecule is a full PPARα (EC50 = 2.3 nM) and PPARγ (EC50 = 0.28 nM) agonist. GW409544 adopts a conformation where the acidic head group of the ligand functions as hydrogen bond donor and interacts with Tyr314 on helix 5 and Tyr464 on the AF-2 helix. These interactions stabilize the receptor in a conformation that leads to the transcriptional activation of the receptor via recruitment of co-activator proteins.

In addition, the tyrosine moiety of GW409544 is oriented toward the hydrophobic pocket formed by helices 3, 6, and 10, adjacent to the C-terminal AF-2 helix. Based on these observations we decided to introduce acidic and polar groups at the 4’ position of 2. These polar groups are anticipated to form hydrogen bond and interact with the critical polar amino acid residues on the AF-2 helix such as Tyr464 considered important for PPARα activation.

Analogs (8–22) were evaluated for their activity as PPARα agonist in H4IIEC3 cells at concentrations ranging from 0.1 μM to 100 μM. Their activities were compared with ciprofibrate (Fig. 3).

The nitro compounds (8a and 8b) and the amine compounds (11a and 11b) did not exhibit a significant activation of PPARα. Among the cis isomers, compound 10b had significant activity as PPARα agonists (>2-fold activation at 1–100 μM). Introduction of an ester group (9a) also resulted in increase in activity (>2-fold activation at 10–100 μM). Interestingly, reduction of the vinyl double bond in 2 resulted in loss of its activity as represented by 13, indicating that the double bond is essential for PPARα activation for stilbenes. Saturation of the double bond causes conformation changes of the molecule resulting in loss of its activity. The most active compound was the phosphate derivative 15, which exhibited a sixfold induction of PPARα at the highest concentration tested (100 μM) with twofold or more induction at

![Scheme 4. Synthesis of 13 and 15. Reagents and conditions: (a) Pd/C MeOH, overnight; (b) DMAP, DIEA, CH3CN, dibenzyl phosphate, CCl4, –10 °C → rt, 12 h; (c) BrSi(CH)3, DCM 0 °C → rt, 2 h.](image-url)
the lower concentrations. This observation shows that introduction of an acidic group leads to a compound more active than 2. It is possible that phosphate 15 may serve as a prodrug with improved cell permeability that leads to the observed increase in its activity. In general, compounds 9a, 10a, and 10b were also more effective than ciprofibrate in their ability to activate PPARα.

Considering that compounds 8–12 and 15 all have methoxy groups at 3 and 5 positions, the different groups at 4′ position determine the activity. Thus methoxy, ester, and phosphate are preferred. In the case of compounds with hydroxyl groups at 3 and 5 positions compound 21 did not show significant activation of PPARα at any concentration tested. Compound 22 showed activation...
of PPARα (>2-fold) only at the highest concentration indicating that methoxy groups at 3 and 5 positions are important for activity. Among the active compounds 9a, 10a, 10b, and 15, compound 10b was the only cis isomer suggesting that the configuration trans is more favorable for activating PPARα.

To investigate in detail these molecules with different scaffolds from the known PPARα agonists, the stilbenes were docked into the PPARα ligand-binding domain. Docking studies revealed that the inactive cis isomers were mostly docked in the hydrophobic pocket formed by helices 20, 3, and β sheet and did not interact with polar amino acids located on the left most part of the T-shaped cavity known to be important for activation of the receptor (Fig. 4). This lack of polar interactions can possibly explain the lack of activity of the cis isomers. Compound 10b was the only cis isomer that showed activity but the docking results are not consistent with the observed activity of this molecule in particular, as it was docked in very similar manner as the other inactive cis isomers. However, although active, 10b showed only moderate activity and it may bind to the receptor in different mode.

In general, docking of the trans isomers revealed very similar interactions to 2 as shown in Figure 5. The two methoxy groups at 3 and 5 positions of 2 make hydrogen bond interactions with Ser280 and Tyr464 which were considered as key interactions for activation of the receptor. The remaining part of the molecule fitted very well into the hydrophobic pocket formed by helices 3, 6, and 10 adjacent to the AF-2 helix, also known as ‘benzophenone’ pocket. Unlike GW409544 that forms favorable hydrophobic interactions with Phe351, the hydroxy group on the 4’ position of 2 displayed a hydrogen bond interaction with this amino acid residue (Fig. 5).

The phosphate derivative 15 was the most active compound of this series. Docking of this molecule showed that the phosphate group forms hydrogen bonds and interacts with Ser280 and His440, with the two phenyl rings docked into the hydrophobic pocket formed by helices 2’, 3, and β sheet (Fig. 6). As the compounds presented similar interactions with amino acids in the PPARα active site and the activities among the compounds were close, docking in this particular case could not explain the difference in activity between analogs but shall shed light on the structural basis for structure–activity relationship of stilbenes in general.

4. Conclusion

The stilbene comprises a unique template as PPARα agonist. Here we have described the synthesis of 14 stilbenes and their activities as PPARα agonists. Phosphate 15 was discovered as PPARα agonist with potency higher than ciprofibrate. In general, the trans analogs were more active than the cis isomers. Docking studies revealed that the trans isomers interact with amino acids residues essential for activation of the receptor while the cis isomers do not. Based on previous results with 2, we succeeded to design a stilbene (15) which is more active as PPARα agonist.

5. Experimental

All solvents were redistilled prior to use. All reactions occurred under inert atmosphere. All round bottom
flasks were kept inside the oven or dried under vacuum and heated prior to use. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel (Kieselgel 60 F254, Merck). NMR data were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz 50 MM, Bruker 400 MHz Ultra Shield or Varian AS400 and the analyses conducted in CDCl3,

**Figure 5.** Proposed binding pose of 2 (colored by atom type) superimposed on the cocrystal structure of GW409544 (magenta) inside PPARα ligand-binding domain. The protein backbone is shown as green ribbon. Important residues are shown in yellow and hydrogen bond interactions are shown as yellow dotted line.

**Figure 6.** Proposed binding pose of 15 (colored by atom type) inside PPARα ligand-binding domain. The protein backbone is shown as green ribbon. Important residues are shown in yellow and hydrogen bond interactions are shown as yellow dotted line.
DMSO-d$_6$, or MeOD. Purification was performed using Automated Flash Purification (Biotage Horizon) and flash chromatography using silica gel (Sorbert Technologies 40-60 μM). Mass spectra were collected using a JEOL AccuTOF JMS-T100LC.

5.1. General procedure for stilbenes synthesis

To a cold solution (−78 °C) of phosphonium salt (1.0 equiv) in THF was added n-butyllithium (1.6 mol in hexanes, 1.0 equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred under inert atmosphere for 2 h. A solution of aldehyde (1.0 equiv) in THF was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The resulting suspension was poured into water and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was combined and dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified through automated flash purification eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (97:3). The cis isomer was eluted first followed by the trans isomer.

5.1.1. 1,3-Dimethoxy-5-(4-nitrostyryl)benzene (8a and 8b). Reaction of (3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)triphenylphosphonium 4 (300 mg, 0.608 mmol) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde 3 (200 mg, 0.608 mmol) in acetone/water (10:5 mL) was stirred for 45 min, poured into water, and extracted with dichloromethane. The solution was heated to 50 °C for 30 min. Sodium dithionite (686 mg, 0.608 mmol) was added to the mixture and heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooled to room temperature, the mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The crude product was purified through flash chromatography eluting with hexanes.

5.1.2. Methyl 4-(3,5-dimethoxybenzoate 9a and 9b. Reaction of (3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)triphenylphosphonium 4 (300 mg, 0.608 mmol) and methyl 4-formylbenzoate 6 (99 mg, 0.608 mmol) afforded 9b and 9a. Compound 9a as a white solid: 50 mg (22%). 1H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 3.73 (s, 3H); 6.14 (s, 3H); 6.39 (s, 2H); 6.47 (s, 2H); 6.79–6.85 (m, 3H); 6.96 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 7.38 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz); 7.70 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 13C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 58.5, 105.6, 110.7 (2C), 126.8 (2C), 131.9, 143.2, 144.0, 162.4 (2C), 163.5. HRMS: Calcd for [M]+ Na C$_{35}$H$_{36}$NaO$_8$ Na 593.18998, found 593.18987.

5.1.3. 1,3-Dimethoxy-5-(4-methoxystyryl)benzene 10a and 10b. Reaction of (3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)triphenylphosphonium 4 (300 mg, 0.608 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde 7 (75 μL, 0.608 mmol) 10b and 10a. Compound 10a as a white solid: 15 mg (9%). 1H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 3.83 (s, 9H); 6.39 (s, 1H); 6.67 (s, 2H); 6.89 (s, 2H); 6.92 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz); 7.02 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz); 7.45 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 55.3 (2C), 99.6, 104.3 (2C), 114.1 (2C), 126.5, 127.8 (2C), 128.7, 129.9, 139.7, 159.4, 161.0 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [2M+Na] C$_{36}$H$_{36}$NaO$_8$ 563.24906, found 563.24919. Compound 10b as a viscous liquid: 58 mg (35%). 1H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 3.69 (s, 6H); 3.78 (s, 3H); 6.37 (s, 1H); 6.46–6.49 (m, 3H); 6.55 (d, 1H, J = 12 Hz); 6.80 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.26 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 55.1 (2C), 99.6, 106.6 (2C), 113.5 (2C), 128.7, 129.5, 130.2 (2C), 130.3 (2C), 139.5, 158.8, 160.6 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [2M+Na] C$_{36}$H$_{36}$NaO$_8$ 563.24906, found 563.24936.

5.1.4. 5-(4-Methoxybenzyl)benzene-1,3-diol 21 and 22. Reaction of 3,5-bis(tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyloxy)benzaldehyde 17 (408 mg, 1.11 mmol) and (4-methoxybenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide 19 (500 mg, 1.11 mmol) afforded 452 mg (86% yield) of mixture of cis and trans stilbenes 20. Due to difficulties found in separating the two isomers, deprotection of TBS group was followed without isolation of the isomers. Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (2.5 mL, 2.5 mmol) was added to a mixture of (E) and (Z)-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,3-phenylenebis(oxy)bis(tert-butyl(dimethyl)silane) 20 (452 mg, 0.96 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (10 mL). The solution was stirred for 45 min, poured into water, and extracted with ether. After removal of the solid, the resulting crude mixture was purified using flash chromatography (7:3 hexanes/ethyl acetate) and afforded cis 21 and trans 22. Compound 22 23 mg (8%). 1H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz): δ 3.73 (s, 3H); 6.19 (s, 1H); 6.47 (s, 2H); 6.79–6.85 (m, 3H); 6.96 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 7.38 (d, 2H, J = 12 Hz). 13C NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz): δ 58.5, 105.6, 108.7 (2C), 117.9 (2C), 130.5, 131.5 (2C), 131.9, 134.2, 144.0, 162.4 (2C), 163.5. HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C$_{22}$H$_{23}$O$_3$ 380.16847, found 380.16836. Compound 21 57 mg (22%). 1H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz): δ 3.70 (s, 3H); 6.18 (s, 1H); 6.32 (s, 2H); 6.33 (d, 1H, J = 12); 6.47 (d, 1H, J = 12); 6.73 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.18 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz): δ 58.4, 105.2, 111.1, 117.3 (2C), 132.5, 133.5, 133.7 (2C), 134.1 (2C), 143.9, 162 (2C), 162.9. HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C$_{21}$H$_{21}$O$_3$ 380.16847, found 380.16835.

5.1.5. General procedure for the reduction of nitro derivatives 8a and 8b. A solution of trans nitro derivative 8a (45 mg, 0.15 mmol) in acetone/water (10:5 mL) was heated to 50 °C for 30 min. Sodium dithionite (686 mg, 3.75 mmol) was slowly added and the mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooled to room temperature the mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was combined and dried over MgSO$_4$ and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified using automated flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/
ethyl acetate (75:25). Compound 11a as a yellow solid: 14.7 mg (36%). 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 3.82 (s, 6H); 6.39 (s, 1H); 6.65–6.68 (m, 4H); 6.86 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 7.01 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 7.33 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 55.5 (2C), 99.6, 104.4 (2C), 115.5 (2C), 125.2, 128, 128.1 (2C), 129.5, 140.2, 146.4, 161.2 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C 16H 18NO 2 256.13375, found 256.10757.

Compound 11b as a yellow solid (47%). 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 3.68 (s, 6H); 3.78 (s, 6H); 6.32–6.35 (m, 4H); 6.76 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz); 7.30 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 55.4 (2C), 100, 107 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 129.7 (3C), 130.3, 132, 138.5, 141.7, 160.7 (2C), 167.8. HRMS: Calcd for [M−H] C 17H 15O 4 283.09703, found 283.09726. Compound 12b as a white solid (24%). 1H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz): δ 3.56 (s, 6H); 6.31–6.34 (m, 3H); 6.64 (s, 2H); 7.30 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.80 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz): δ 55.4 (2C), 100, 107 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 129.7 (3C), 130.3, 132, 138.5, 141.7, 160.7 (2C), 167.8. HRMS: Calcd for [M−H] C 17H 15O 4 283.09703, found 283.09681.

5.1.7. 4-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)phenol 13. Pd/C (catalytic) was added to a solution of pterostilbene 2 (50 mg, 0.195 mmol) in methanol. The mixture was left stirring overnight under H 2 at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified using flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:3) to afford 49 mg (98%) of 4-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)phenol 13. 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 2.84 (s, 4H); 3.78 (s, 6H); 6.34–6.35 (m, 4H); 6.76 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.05 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 36.7, 38.4, 55.2 (2C), 97.9, 106.6 (2C), 115.1 (2C), 129.5 (2C), 133.8, 144.2, 153.7, 160.6 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [M−H] C 18H 17O 3 277.11777, found 275.11889.

5.1.8. (E)-Dibenzyl 4-(3,5-dimethoxy styryl)phenyl phosphate 14. To a cold mixture (−10 °C) of 2 (200 mg, 0.67 mmol) and N,N-(dimethylamino)pyridine (10.2 mg, 0.083 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (10 mL) was added carbon tetrachloride (323 μL, 3.35 mmol) and DIEA (245 μL, 1.4 mmol). The mixture was left stirring at −10 °C for 30 min and dibenzyl phosphate (224 μL, 1 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature and poured into 0.5 M monobasic potassium phosphate solution. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic phase was combined and dried over MgSO 4. The solvent was evaporated, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:3) and gave 360 mg (80%) of (E)-dibenzyl 4-(3,5-dimethoxystyryl)phenyl phosphate 14. 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 3.83 (s, 6H); 5.13 (d, 4H, J = 8 Hz); 6.41 (s, 1H); 6.67 (d, 2H, J = 16 Hz); 7.15 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.34 (s, 10H); 7.43 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 55.6 (2C), 70.2 (2C), 100.3, 104.8 (2C), 120.5 (2C), 128 (2C), 128.2 (4C), 128.8 (4C), 128.9 (2C), 129, 134.5, 135.6, 135.7, 139.4, 150.2, 150.3, 161.2 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C 30H 30O 6P 517.17800, found 517.17444.

5.1.9. (E)-4-(3,5-dimethoxystyryl)phenyl dihydrogen phosphate 15. Bromotrimethylsilane (80 μL, 0.61 mmol) was added to a solution of dibenzyl phosphate 14 (150 mg, 0.29 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (10 mL) at 0 °C. After 2 h stirring at room temperature, water was added and the solution was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic phase was combined and dried over MgSO 4. The solvent was removed to afford 15, 38.6 mg (39%). 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 3.63 (s, 6H); 6.23 (s, 1H); 6.40 (s, 2H); 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 6.71 (d, 1H, J = 16 Hz); 7.02–7.14 (m, 4H); 9.11 (br s, 2H). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 58.6 (2C), 103.5, 108.2 (2C), 124.4, 131.4 (3C), 131.8, 132.1, 137.2, 143.6, 156, 165.1 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C 30H 30O 6P 517.17800, found 517.17444.

5.1.10. 3,5-Bis(tert-butyl dimethylsilyloxy)benzaldehyde 17. To a solution of 3,5 dihydroxy benzaldehyde 16 (500 mg, 3.62 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added DIEA (126 μL, 7.24 mmol). The solution was stirred for 15 min when TBDMSCl was added dissolved in DMF. After stirring for 18 h, the mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was combined and dried over MgSO 4 and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified using column chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (9:1) and afforded 17, 50.1 mg (24%). 1H NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 1.83 (s, 6H); 5.19 (t, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.11 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz); 7.34 (s, 10H); 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl 3, 400 MHz): δ 55.8 (2C), 70.2 (2C), 100.3, 104.8 (2C), 120.5 (2C), 128, 128.2 (4C), 128.8 (4C), 128.9 (2C), 129, 134.9, 134.9, 150.2, 150.3, 161.2 (2C). HRMS: Calcd for [M+H] C 30H 30O 6P 517.17800, found 517.17444.

5.2. Molecular docking

The compounds were sketched and converted into three-dimensional structures using the program Sybyl. Minimization was carried out for these compounds, which employed 1000 steps each of steepest descents followed by conjugate gradients and finally BFGS method up to a gradient of 0.01 kcal/mol/Å. Docking of pterostil-
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5.3. Cell-based reporter gene assay for PPARγ in rat liver cells

Rat hepatoma cells (H4IIEC3) were cultured in 
DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with FBS (10%) and 
and antibiotics (penicillin G sodium 100 U/mL and 
streptomycin 100 µg/mL) at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 
95% humidity and 5% CO₂. At about 75% confluence, 
cells were harvested by trypsinization and transfected 

with firefly Luc reporter gene construct containing 
PPRE-AB (peroxisome proliferator response element 
with rat fatty acyl CoA β-oxidase AB promoter region 
sequence). For transfection, 25 µg of DNA was added to 
500 µL cell suspension (5 × 10⁵ cells) and incubated 
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were plated in 96-well plate at a density of 
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ted with different concentrations of test compounds for 
24 h followed by addition of 40 µL 1:1 mixture of Luc 
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magnesium. Luciferase activity was determined in terms 
of Light output measured on a TopCount microplate 
reader (Packard Instrument Co. Meriden, CT) in a single 
photon counting mode.
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