Disease on Nursery Stock as Affected by Environmental Factors and Seasonal Inoculum Levels of Phytophthora ramorum in Stream Water Used for Irrigation
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ABSTRACT

A pear bait monitoring system was used to detect and quantify Phytophthora ramorum propagules in streams that flow through woodland areas with sudden oak death in Santa Cruz County, CA from 2001 to 2007. Stream propagules were detected most frequently or occurred in highest concentrations in winter and spring. The stream propagule concentration was characterized with statistical models using temperature and rainfall variables from 2004 to 2007. The highest concentrations of propagules occurred when stream sampling was preceded by about 2 months with low maximum daily temperatures and by 4 days with high rainfall. The occurrence of propagules in streams in the summer was mostly associated with infected leaves from the native host Umbellularia californica that prematurely abscised and fell into the water. When the stream water was used for irrigating rhododendron nursery stock from 2004 to 2007, disease occurred only three times in the two wettest springs (2005 and 2006) on plants sprinkler irrigated with stream water with relatively high concentrations of propagules. Disease incidence was described with a statistical model using the concentration of infective propagules as measured by pear baiting and consecutive hours of leaf wetness measured by electronic sensors at rhododendron height. The concentration of infective propagules was significantly reduced after water was pumped from the stream and applied through sprinklers.

Phytophthora ramorum is the causal agent of the disease known as sudden oak death (SOD). The pathogen causes trunk cankers and widespread mortality on tan-oak (Lithocarpus densiflorus) and oak (Quercus spp.) (19), and leaf spots and blights on numerous other native hosts in California and Oregon woodlands (1,5). The pathogen was described as a new Phytophthora species in 2001, but it was observed as early as 1993 to cause leaf blights and mortality on rhododendron and viburnum in nurseries and public gardens in Germany and the Netherlands (27). With the recognition that this newly identified pathogen caused SOD, intensive nursery stock and public garden inspections ensued, and P. ramorum was found in several European countries. In December 2000, P. ramorum was first discovered infecting rhododendron nursery stock in California (8). By 2003, agricultural inspectors found the pathogen infecting nursery stock in California, Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia, Canada. In 2004, the disease became a national concern when a large wholesale nursery in California shipped camellia plants infected with P. ramorum to nurseries and other customers in 40 states. Presently, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) Agency lists 110 plant taxa as proven or associated hosts (1). There are various state, federal, and international quarantine restrictions placed on the movement of plants or plant parts of these listed hosts.

Once propagules are produced by Phytophthora spp. in natural environments, they can be moved from affected drainages through rain, runoff, and in streams as well as other means (7,18). Perennial and intermittent streams often run through areas of high incidence of SOD in California and Oregon woodlands, and P. ramorum has been detected in these streams (6,24). Stream water is sometimes used for irrigation by nurseries and landowners, and consequently propagules might be pumped from streams, moved through irrigation systems, and dispersed onto nursery or landscape hosts.

There are many important factors that should be understood to manage the risk involved with using contaminated irrigation water in a nursery or landscape. It is important to have an effective method to detect and quantify propagules in the stream and to understand the environmental conditions that are favorable to disease when stream propagules are present. We first monitored for the presence of P. ramorum propagules for over a year in several local streams flowing through areas where there was a high incidence of SOD to determine the general distribution of infested streams. Then for more than 4 years, we monitored propagule presence and concentration in one of these infested perennial streams. Concurrently, rhododendrons in an experimental layout that simulated nursery conditions were irrigated when needed with this stream water and noninfested domestic water. Each water source was applied with sprinkler and drip irrigation methods. The results from experiments will help determine the usefulness of stream baiting to detect and quantify propagules in streams and to assess the risk involved in irrigating with contaminated stream water, and provide information for the development of nursery management practices to control this disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Pear baiting of stream samples to detect and quantify propagules. For the stream survey (2001 to 2002) and irrigation experiment (2004), the stream water sample was collected and baited with two green (unripe) D’Anjou pears. Pears were purchased as needed in small lots and stored under refrigeration until used. For the irrigation experiment (2005 to 2007), each of the three 8-liter stream water samples was baited with three pears. First, the pears were washed with liquid dishwashing detergent, rinsed, and allowed to dry. The pears were then suspended in each water sample so that half of each pear was submerged in the water by means of plastic bird netting secured to the bucket with elastic cords. The pears were incubated in the water samples for 24 h and then gently rotated to expose the entire pear to the water samples that potentially contained propagules. After another 24 h, the pears were removed from the water and placed on absorbent paper towels for an additional 48 to 72 h at a mean temperature of 20°C in open air and natural light. After some experience with subculturing, lesions caused by Phytophthora species could easily be separated from those lesions caused by Pythium species (as described in Results section). Tissue from the margin of...
each discrete lesion caused by Phytophthora species was transferred to 10-cm petri dishes containing pimaricin-ampicillin-rifampicin-phenacetin-nitrobenzene selective agar (PARP) (7). Those colonies with the characteristic morphological features of P. ramorum were counted. For the stream survey (2001 to 2002) and 2004, only the presence or absence of P. ramorum in the water sample was recorded. For 2005 to 2007, the number of lesions that produced P. ramorum colonies on PARP—and therefore an estimate of the number of infective propagules—was determined for each water sample (infective propagules/pear/liter).

Survey of local streams for the presence of propagules. From April 2001 to April 2002, stream water was sampled weekly for the presence of P. ramorum propagules in seven rivers and creeks in Santa Cruz County, CA. The area of the study encompasses multiple types of mixed evergreen forests characterized by a Mediterranean climate, with cool, wet winters and hot, dry summers. The geography captures rainfall into the following four primary watershed basins: the San Lorenzo River (275 km²), Soquel Creek (83 km²), Apts Creek (65 km²), and Corralitos/Salsipuedes creeks (72 km²). All major watersheds, along with some tributaries, were represented in this study, including Lompico Creek, near Felton, CA, which later became the water source for the irrigation experiment. All streams were perennial except Bean Creek, which dried in late spring. All northern streams drained through woodland known to contain SOD. California bay (Umbellularia californica) was a common infected native host in these watersheds. The two most southern streams, Corralitos and Apts creeks, drained through woodland that contained hosts of SOD, but the pathogen had not been confirmed in those regions (Fig. 1). The samples were taken mostly well downstream from the suspected diseased watersheds. At some sampling sites, hosts of P. ramorum were visible. The samples were taken and transported to a laboratory and evaluated for the presence and concentration of P. ramorum as described earlier.

Irrigation experimental site and plant material. Experimental site. All irrigation experiments were conducted on a parcel of private land adjacent to Lompico Creek in Felton, CA. Lompico Creek drains a heavily SOD-infested watershed (Fig. 1). Infected hosts were visible from the point where the stream sample was taken.

Plant material. All experimental plants consisted of well-established 3.8-liter containerized rhododendrons, Rhododendron ‘Cunningham’s White’, obtained from a commercial nursery in one large lot at the beginning of each of the 4-year’s experiments. Plant height (including container) varied somewhat with annual lots, but ranged from 40 to 80 cm. Plants were maintained without the use of fungicides under 40% shade cloth, fertilized with 6 g/pot slow release fertilizer (21-5-6 Apex, J.R. Simplot) every 4 months, and irrigated when needed based on environmental demand.

Irrigation experiments and layout. Experimental treatments. There were four experimental periods in 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 (until July), each beginning in January with new rhododendrons placed in experimental plots. The nearby stream (Lompico Creek) was sampled weekly or biweekly, and samples were evaluated for the presence and/or concentration of P. ramorum propagules with the baiting techniques described earlier. Each year, the experiment used a randomized complete block experimental field design; there were six blocks, each treatment plot consisting of three rhododendrons spaced approximately 13 cm apart. Each treatment plot grouping was arranged in the center of a 95 × 82 × 16 cm (height) wooden pallet spaced 1 m apart. This arrangement eliminated pot contact with the soil and rain splash onto foliage from the soil. When plants required water, the plants in the

Fig. 1. Locations of stream sampling sites for Phytophthora ramorum and confirmed locations with sudden oak death in Santa Cruz County, CA.
experimental plot were irrigated with the appropriate irrigation treatment: (i) domestic water applied with sprinkler irrigation, (ii) domestic water applied with drip irrigation, (iii) stream water applied with sprinkler irrigation, and (iv) stream water applied with drip irrigation.

**Water sources.** The experiments received natural rainfall and in dry periods were irrigated with water supplied from either domestic or stream water. Domestic water (chlorinated at 0.3 to 0.7 ppm) was pumped off of a nearby water supply originating from the local San Lorenzo Water District. Stream water was pumped from the nearby perennial stream (Lompico Creek) with a 560 W electric impeller pump and filtered at the pump through a fiberglass window screen and sediment filter. The water was pumped from the stream at an initial pressure of 525 to 595 kPa (75 to 85 psi) through a 1.9-cm black poly tube for approximately 200 m upslope to the irrigation experiment where the irrigation systems operated at 245 to 280 kPa (35 to 40 psi).

**Irrigation methods.** Each sprinkler irrigation plot utilized brass nozzle sprinkler heads (Champion SF-9, 140 kPa [20 psi], 6 liters/h) on inverted “J” shaped risers allowing the sprinkler heads to be installed upside down and therefore restricted water application to the foliage and pots of the experimental plot. Drip irrigation plots utilized pressure compensated drippers (Pepco #12503117, 1.9 liters/h/point), and water was applied directly to the soil and not to the foliage. Irrigations were made when approximately half of the available soil water had been used by the plant as measured by a gravimetric method. Water was applied until container capacity was reached and an additional 20% leaching fraction was added. On average, this took approximately 45 min for sprinkler and 1 h for drip irrigations.

**Occurrence and concentration of propagules in stream water.** **Stream survey.** One 8.0-liter water sample was collected weekly from April 2001 to April 2002 from each of the seven selected streams and transported on the same day to our local laboratory in Watsonville, CA for processing.

**Irrigation experiment.** Three 8.0-liter samples were collected approximately biweekly in 2004 and weekly from January 2005 to July 2007 from Lompico Creek near Felton, CA near the point where the stream water was pumped for the stream irrigation treatments. To assess if there was any loss of propagule viability as the stream water was pumped from the stream to the sprinkler-irrigated plots, three additional 8.0-liter stream water samples were collected by dipping the running sprinkler heads into the collection buckets from 1 April 2005 to 20 October 2006.

Samples were collected in 13.3-liter polyethylene buckets that had been disinfected with 70% ethanol and then triple-rinsed in the source water before sampling. Water samples were collected by dipping the container carefully into the surface of the stream to help exclude plant matter and other debris from the sample. The infective propagules present in the stream water were determined with pear baiting as described earlier for both stream and sprinkler locations.

**Evaluation of disease incidence and severity in irrigation experiments.** Every 2 weeks, the rhododendrons in the experimental plots were monitored for disease symptoms. Leaf lesions characteristic of that caused by *P. ramorum* were removed and plated on PARP and incubated in the dark at a mean temperature of 20°C for identification. The number of infected plants and infected leaves on each plant were recorded for each observation date for each treatment plot.

**Environmental monitoring and correlation with disease and *P. ramorum* in streams.** Rainfall (mm), ambient temperature (°C), and leaf wetness (%) (after 2004) at plant height were measured at the Lompico Creek/irrigation experimental site with digital sensors (respectively S-RGA-M002, S-TMB-M002, and S-LWA-M003, and recorded on either H21-001 or -002 data loggers, Onset Computer Co., Pocasset, MA).

**Statistical analysis.** Comparing infective propagules in stream and sprinkler irrigation water. The infective propagule counts were analyzed with Poisson regression models from the family of the generalized linear models (GLM) for over-dispersed Poisson distributed responses (counts) to address potential overdispersion arising from the day effect (12). Treatment, year, and treatment–year interaction were explanatory variables:

\[
\text{Expected propagules/pear/liter}_j = \epsilon_{\text{Rain-effect}} = Aver_rain = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{daysd}} \text{R}^i_{\text{rain}}}{\text{ndaysd}} + \epsilon_{\text{Temperature-effect}} = Aver_temp = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{daysd}} \text{T}^i_{\text{temp}}}{\text{ndaysd}} \]

where \(i = 1\) to total number of days since the experiment start, “\(\text{daysd}\)” means “conditioned to”, and \(\epsilon\) is the overdispersion error.

The choice of the functions of temperature and rain as candidate explanatory variables were based on exploratory analyses and known biological parameters of *P. ramorum*. The models’ parameters were estimated with the SAS (v. 9.1.3) GENMOD procedure (21). The best model was selected using the Akaiki QAIC criterion (3). The significance of the explanatory variables (temperature and rain) was evaluated by their P values and the sign of the coefficient.

An explanatory model for disease incidence. Disease incidence (number of plants with foliar infection) was analyzed with the GLM Poisson regression models for over-dispersed Poisson distributed responses (counts) to address potential overdispersion arising from unknown sources. The two candidates for explanatory variables were (i) the maximum number of stream infective propagules (propagules/pear/liter) selected from the actual spline-smoothed data during the selected irrigation period prior to the disease evaluation date, and (ii) the maximum number of consecutive hours with leaf wetness less than a given percent (50, 10, and 5%) and within a given temperature
minimum (2 or 5°C) and maximum (12 or 20°C) on the selected irrigation date having the maximum propagule concentration. Periods of 7, 14, 21, and 28 days prior to the disease evaluation date were tested, and leaf wetness hours and temperatures for periods (2 or 3 days) at each irrigation date prior to the disease evaluation date. Leaf wetness and temperature periods were “shifted” to begin on the selected irrigation date at 6 P.M. This facilitated testing the models using data during a period where the greatest number of consecutive “wet” leaf wetness hours would be expected, which was during the evening and night period.

The statistical model is:

\[
\text{Expected}[\text{plant disease count}|e] = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{leaves with propagules per pear} \times \text{pear leaf wetness hours} \times \text{max temperature} \times \text{rain} + \varepsilon}
\]

The choice of the functions as candidate explanatory variables was based on exploratory analyses and known biological parameters of \(P. \text{ramorum}\), where \(i = 1\) to total number of days since the experiment start, \(|\)" means “conditioned to”, and \(\varepsilon\) is the overdispersion error. The periodic spline-smoothing of the maximum number of stream infective propagules was done with the \(R\) \text{bs.per.ek} function (15). The model’s parameters were estimated with the GENMOD procedure (21). The best model was selected using the Akaike QAIC criterion (3).

**RESULTS**

Occurrence of propagules in local streams. Necrotic lesions on baited pears resulting from \(P. \text{phytophthora}\) and \(P. \text{pythium}\) spp. infections had distinctive characteristics, and they easily could be separated visually. Lesions caused by \(P. \text{phytophthora}\) species were firm, often diffuse, and in a rosette pattern. Lesions caused by \(P. \text{pythium}\) species were soft, not diffuse, sunken, often with a broken skin, and comparatively fast-growing. All \(P. \text{phytophthora}\)-like lesions that were not contaminated with other organisms were subcultured, and \(P. \text{ramorum}\) was identified in approximately 90% of the isolates from those lesions. Other \(P. \text{phytophthora}\) isolates were not identified to species.

\(P. \text{ramorum}\) was detected during the survey period (April 2001 to April 2002) in all streams except the two most southern streams, Corralitos Creek and Aptos Creek. These two streams were the only ones sampled where \(P. \text{ramorum}\) had not been confirmed in their watersheds (Fig. 1). The pathogen was regularly detected during the period following the beginning of winter rainfall and through early spring (January 2002 through March 2002). It was only detected once in the San Lorenzo River during the characteristic warm, dry summer months of the survey period following a relatively long and heavy thunderstorm (18 September 2001) in the river’s drainage (Table 1).

### Table 1. Positive detection (+) of \(P. \text{phytophthora ramorum}\) in streams of Santa Cruz County, CA sampled weekly from April 2001 to April 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bean Creek</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soquel Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lompico Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branciforte Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptos Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corralitos Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with previous years, there were relatively higher concentrations of propagules in the spring. Propagules were detected until just before the experiment was concluded 1 July 2007. This was a relatively dry spring with little appreciable rainfall after the end of February. Rhododendron stock was regularly irrigated beginning 27 February. No disease was detected in any of the irrigation treatment plots (Fig. 2).

**Propagule concentration after pumping and application with sprinklers.** When propagules were detected in the stream, they were also detected in the stream water after it had been pumped from the stream, moved through the irrigation pipe, and applied at the sprinkler heads. However, when the levels were compared in 2005 and 2006, the irradiation water samples significantly contained 39% fewer viable propagules than were found directly from the stream (0.09 versus 0.23, respectively, mean infective propagules/pear/liter) \((P < 0.0001)\) (Fig. 3).

An explanatory model for stream inoculum concentration. There was a strong association of temperature and rainfall amounts to the stream propagule concentration (Fig. 2). Statistical modeling helped determine the relationship of time to those environmental parameters. The best model to explain the stream propagule concentration (lowest QAIC\(_c\)) used the mean maximum temperature in a 7-day period, 62 to 68 days, prior to sampling and the mean daily rainfall 4 days prior to the sampling date:

\[
\text{Stream propagules} = 4.8 + 0.049 \times \text{Rain} - 0.15 \times \text{max Temp}
\]

The estimated coefficients were statistically significantly different from 0 at \(\alpha\)-level = 0.05, where \(\text{Rain}\) is the actual mean rainfall (mm) 4 days prior to the stream sampling date and max Temp is the mean maximum daily temperature (°C) 62 to 68 days prior to the stream sampling date (Fig. 4).

An explanatory model for disease incidence caused by \(P. \text{ramorum}\) on rhododendron irrigated with stream water by sprinklers. In the 4 years of irrigation experiments, there were only three times...
that disease was detected on rhododen-
dron, and only on those plants that were
sprinkler irrigated with stream water.
When disease occurred, it was found on
multiple leaves of multiple plants in mul-
tiple treatment blocks. There was an asso-
ciation of temperature, leaf wetness,
stream inoculum concentration, and irriga-
tion date to that of disease occurrence (Fig.
2). Statistical modeling was limited since
there were only three disease detections.
However, the best model (lowest QAICc)
used the maximum stream concentration
during irrigations as one variable and
maximum consecutive hours where high
leaf wetness occurred (50% or greater) as
another variable:

\[
\text{Number plants diseased} = e^{12.2 + 0.26 \text{hours LW} + 9.9 \text{conc. propagules}}
\]

These parameters gave the best model fit
when applied under conditions with a rela-
tively cool temperature range (2 to 12°C)
and when applied to periods 7 or 14 day
before disease detection, although the best
fit was for 7 days. The estimated coeffi-
cients were statistically different from 0 at
\(\alpha\)-level = 0.05. \text{conc. propagules} is the
maximum number of stream infective
propagules (propagules/pear/liter) selected
from the actual spline-smoothed data dur-
ing the selected irrigation period prior to
the disease evaluation date, and \text{hours LW}
is the maximum number of consecutive
hours with leaf wetness 50 or greater. Us-
ing this disease explanatory model, a table

![Graph showing rainfall, ambient maximum temperature, leaf wetness, and disease occurrence over years 2004 to 2007.]

\text{Fig. 2.} Rainfall (mm), ambient maximum temperature (°C), leaf wetness (%), \textit{Phytophthora ramorum} stream propagules (infective propagules/pear/liter), irrigation dates, and disease occurrence for each year of the experiment: 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007.
was developed to better illustrate how disease incidence on rhododendron was affected by different possible combinations of leaf wetness hours and propagule concentration in our experiment (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Streams flowing through watersheds with SOD can contain viable *P. ramorum* propagules year-round. Single stream samples with two pear baits, a consistent laboratory incubation environment, and a standardized sample volume of stream water were sensitive enough to identify watersheds with or without SOD. When this monitoring system was intensified—three stream samples baited with three pears each—the infective propagule concentration could be estimated. For nearly 4 years, this robust pear bait monitoring system gave consistent, epidemiologically valuable data. Pear bait monitoring systems are considered to be highly useful for detecting *Phytophthora* species from various bodies of water in the field (9,13,29) and for detecting *P. ramorum* in stream water (6). Another monitoring system using membrane filters can be sensitive in detecting and quantifying *Phytophthora* species in water sources (11,17,20). However, membrane filters can easily become clogged by stream colloidal particles and other debris before sufficient water has been filtered for the procedure, and large numbers of propagules could be inadvertently caught by prefilters, although the selection of the filter may ameliorate this problem (10). Rhododendron leaf baits used for in-stream monitoring for *P.*

![Fig. 3. Comparison of infective propagules of *Phytophthora ramorum* in stream and after pumping at the sprinkler head.](image)

![Fig. 4. Actual versus model-fitted stream propagule concentration of *Phytophthora ramorum*. The best mathematical model used the mean maximum temperature (°C) in a 7-day period, 62 to 68 days, prior to sampling and the mean daily rainfall (mm) 4 days prior to the sampling date.](image)
Table 2. Percent diseased Rhododendron plants as affected by stream propagate concentration of Phytophthora ramorum and consecutive leaf wetness hours as measured at plant height

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propagules/pear/liter</th>
<th>Leaf wetness hours at 2 to 12°C</th>
<th>Estimated percent diseased plants (95% confidence intervals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.6 (5.6,11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.6 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.6 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.1 (5.6,22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.7 (11.1,33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.8 (11.7,50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.0 (27.8,88.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent diseased plants estimated from explanatory statistical model with 95% confidence intervals.
the foliage, for example, with subsequent turbulent rain episodes. Root infections are possible on rhododendrons when soil becomes infested with *P. ramorum* (16, 22). At the conclusion of the last experimental year (summer 2007), roots were sampled from six plants that received stream water for drip and sprinkler irrigation, but no root infection was detected. This, however, was the same year that disease was not detected on the rhododendron foliage and propagule concentration was low during this period, so no conclusions should be drawn that root infections are not possible with stream water used for irrigation.

The natural levels of *P. ramorum* inoculum found in the stream varied from 0 to nearly 2.5 infective propagules/pear/liter, although during most irrigation periods it ranged from 0 to less than 1.0 propagules/pear/liter. Propagules were reduced significantly, by 39%, by the time they were pumped from the stream and applied to the plants during the period these two water sources were compared. The reduced propagule concentration at the sprinkler head can be explained by the movement of propagules through the tortuous irrigation system at considerable pressure. Others have observed lower numbers of *Phytophthora* species exiting irrigation systems than entering those systems (4). *Catharanthus roseus* were infected by spraying zoospore suspensions of *Phytophthora nicotianae* at pressures comparable to irrigation systems, and there was a consistent reduction in level of disease with increased application pressure regardless of the zoospore concentration (2). In our experiment, relatively low pressure sprinkler heads were used (245 to 280 kPa [35 to 40 psi]). However, commercial nurseries, operating pressures can commonly be around 420 kPa (60 psi), and these higher pressures could reduce the propagule level even further and decrease disease occurrence.

Monitoring of streams with pear baits can be useful for detecting periods when infective propagules are present. With this fairly simple protocol, nursery operators could monitor stream propagule levels with the aid of a suitable laboratory and trained personnel either on site or through commercial services. When propagules are present or, at least, conditions are favorable for high propagule concentrations, nursery operators or landowners would need to sanitize the water before using it for irrigation purposes or use noninfested sources of irrigation water such as well water. Drip irrigation would greatly reduce the risk of foliar infection, but we do not have sufficient knowledge to suggest that root infections would not occur. Water sanitation could consist of various useful methods such as UV radiation, ozone, chlorination, or various particle and biological filtration methods (26). Greatest risk of disease could exist if hosts are sprinkler irrigated when inoculum levels are high as occurred in the spring in Santa Cruz County. Long hours of consecutive leaf wetness with cool temperatures would be particularly conducive to disease incidence.
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