Polymerase Chain Reaction-Based Detection of *Spiroplasma citri* Associated with Citrus Stubborn Disease
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**ABSTRACT**


Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based detection of citrus stubborn disease was improved using primers based on sequences of the P89 putative adhesin gene and the P58 putative adhesin multigene of *Spiroplasma citri*. Real-time PCR also was developed with detection limits estimated to be between 10^4 and 10^5 ng by serial dilution of a recombinant *S. citri* plasmid DNA extracts from healthy Madam Vinous sweet orange. PCR for the detection of *S. citri* by these new primers was validated by comparing culturing of the pathogen, the traditional method of diagnosis, with PCR assays from samples taken from two citrus plots in Kern County, CA. Fruit columella was collected from 384 and 377 individual trees in each of two fields, respectively; one portion was used for culturing and the other for DNA extraction and PCR. PCR results matched those of culturing 85 to 100% of the time depending on the primers used. More importantly, PCR detected *S. citri* from culture-negative trees in 5 to 15% of the cases, suggesting that PCR performed as well or better than culturing for detection of *S. citri* in field samples. Real-time PCR proved to be the best method for detection. Differential reaction of the samples to the P58 primer pairs suggested that two populations of *S. citri* occur in historical and present-day field isolates. Citrus stubborn disease incidence was estimated to be 38.3 and 3.7% in the two orchards. The results presented here support the use of PCR for reliable detection of *S. citri* in field trees.
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Oklahoma State University: *S. floricola*, *S. kunkeli*, *S. melliferum*, and *S. phoeniceum*. Two strains of *Xylella fastidiosa* were kindly provided by Marta Francis, Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis as additional negative controls. These strains were *X. fastidiosa* Ann-1 (ATCC 700598), associated with oleander leaf scorch, and Dixon (ATCC 700965), associated with almond leaf scorch.

Two strains of *S. citri* (designated T4 and T9) were isolated and cultured from different trees in field 1 (Fig. 1) in Kern County, CA, and were examined in greater detail in this study.

**Primer design.** Data queries for membrane surface proteins from the *S. citri* genome were performed for the putative adhesin gene P89 and the putative adhesin-like multigene P58. BLAST search (version 202014; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) using the nucleotide sequences as queries indicated that both genes contain sites useful for specific detection of *S. citri*. As a result, PCR primers were designed, using Lasergene 7.0 (DNASTAR) software, within the P89 gene sequences (2) derived from GenBank accessions nos. AJ969073.1, AJ969072.1, AJ969071.1, AJ969070.1, and AJ969069.1; and within two P58-like regions, GenBank accessions nos. DQ344811 and DQ344812 (8). An additional primer pair was designed within the *S. citri* spiralin gene sequence derived from GenBank accession no. U13998 (11). The primers developed and tested included spiralin-f/r; P89-f/r; P58-1f/5r; and P58-6f/4r (Table 1). PCR with serial dilutions of positive *S. citri* cultures and DNA extracts from field trees were used to determine limits of the detection protocols.

Separate primers for real-time PCR were developed from sequences within two P58-like regions of the *S. citri* genome using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems). These primer pairs were P58-2f/2r and P58-3f/4r (Table 1).

**DNA extraction.** Two hundred mg of fresh tissue or 100 mg of desiccated or lyophilized tissue was homogenized in MiniBeadBeater-96 (Bio-Spec Product, Bartlesville, OK). DNA was extracted by a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method (9). DNA concentrations of the extracts were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, Delaware). The primers developed and tested included spiralin-f/r; P89-f/r; P58-1f/5r; and P58-6f/4r (Table 1). PCR with serial dilutions of positive *S. citri* cultures and DNA extracts from field trees were used to determine limits of the detection protocols.

**Separate primers for real-time PCR were developed from sequences within two P58-like regions of the *S. citri* genome using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems). These primer pairs were P58-2f/2r and P58-3f/4r (Table 1).**

**DNA extraction.** Two hundred mg of fresh tissue or 100 mg of desiccated or lyophilized tissue was homogenized in MiniBeadBeater-96 (Bio-Spec Product, Bartlesville, OK). DNA was extracted by a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method (9). DNA concentrations of the extracts were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, Delaware).

**Table 1. Primers used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of Spiroplasma citri**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Expected amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional PCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiralin-f</td>
<td>Spiralin</td>
<td>GTCGGAACAACATCAGTGGT</td>
<td>55–74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiralin-r</td>
<td>Spiralin</td>
<td>TGTTTTTGGTGTTGCTAATG</td>
<td>710–729</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P89-f</td>
<td>Putative P89 adhesin gene</td>
<td>ATTGACTCAACAAACCGGGATATA</td>
<td>5,786–5,807</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P89-r</td>
<td>Putative P89 adhesin gene</td>
<td>CGCGCATTGTTAAATTTTTTGGTA</td>
<td>6,471–6,492</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-1f</td>
<td>Putative P58 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>CACCCGATAAACCATACTTATGGTAT</td>
<td>466–491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-5r</td>
<td>Putative P58 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>GTAGCGAAGATGAACCATGCAAGCA</td>
<td>1,145–1,166</td>
<td>701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-6f</td>
<td>Putative P58 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>GCGGACAAAAATGAATATAAAGAGAC</td>
<td>445–470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-4r</td>
<td>Putative P58 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>GCAAGACATCTGGAGAAGAC</td>
<td>874–894</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real-time PCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-2f used with P58-1f</td>
<td>Putative P89 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>TCCAGTCAATAAGATATATCTCTTC</td>
<td>526–551</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58-3f used with P58-4r</td>
<td>Putative P58 adhesin-like gene</td>
<td>GCACGACATTGAGCAGAC</td>
<td>776–796</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nucleotide position referred to the GenBank accession number U13998 (11).
* Nucleotide position referred to the GenBank accession number U969072.1 (2).
* Nucleotide position referred to the GenBank accession number DQ344811 (8).
* Nucleotide position referred to the GenBank accession number DQ344812 (8).
approximately 10 ng for further analysis.

Conventional PCR. PCR reactions were conducted with 1.0 µl of DNA template in 20 µl of reaction mix, which included 1× GoTaq Buffer, 0.4 µl each of 10 mM dNTPs (Sigma), 10 µM forward primer (Invitrogen), 10 µM reverse primer (Invitrogen), and 0.1 µl of GoTaq (Promega). Reactions were performed on a PTC-100 Thermocycler (MJ Research) as follows: 3 min of denaturation at 95°C; followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s; followed by 3 min of elongation at 72°C.

Real-time PCR. A real-time PCR assay was developed using the DNA binding fluorophore SYBR Green I. Reactions consisted of 0.8 µM each reverse and forward primers, 2 µl of plant DNA extract, or 1 µl of S. citri cell culture in a total volume of 25 µl of 1× iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad). Reactions were performed on a qQ5 Real-Time PCR System (BioRad). The amplification profile consisted of 95°C for 5 min followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 61.5°C for 45 s. Control samples in each run included DNA extracts from infected and healthy plants, S. citri culture, and nontemplate control.

To check the size and the specificity of the real-time PCR products, preliminary experiments included melting curves and electrophoretic analyses. Following threshold-dependent cycling, melting was performed from 60 to 95°C at melt rates of 0.5°C/10 s. Melting peaks were visualized by plotting the absolute value of the first derivative against the temperature. For electrophoretic analysis, real-time PCR products were separated on 5% polyacrylamide gel and bands visualized by staining with ethidium bromide.

Partial P58-like sequences were cloned in PEGM-Easy plasmid vector (Promega). Eight fourfold serial dilutions were prepared by mixing the recombinant DNA plasmid into healthy citrus (cv. Madam Vinous) total DNA extract. The dilutions generated a standard curve to estimate the S. citri DNA concentration in the unknown samples. Three replicates of each dilution were tested simultaneously in the same run and three independent assays performed. Variability is reported as the coefficient of variation within or between the assays.

Plots and samples. Samples from numerous trees in our survey resulted in positive cultures of S. citri and allowed us to select appropriate sites for field studies. Two 20-acre Navel orange groves were selected for our study. The groves are located in northeastern Kern County and are approximately 15 km apart. Grove 1 was planted in 1988 as Valencia on Carrizo rootstock (Fig. 1A). This field was topworked to Barnfield Navels in 1996. The grove has 1,798 trees planted in 58 rows with 31 trees per row and is surrounded by citrus and grape. Grove 2, planted in 1989, was TI Navel orange on Carrizo rootstock (Fig. 1B). This grove has 1,830 trees planted in 61 rows with 30 trees per row and is surrounded by citrus and foothills directly to the east. In 2000, young Newhall Navel orange trees were planted between the existing TI Navels within each row. For this study, both groves were partitioned into blocks of 64 contiguous trees in a configuration of 8 rows by 8 trees. To estimate citrus stubborn disease incidence, 384 individual trees from six different blocks located around each field (Fig. 1) were sampled and analyzed. The sample blocks took into account a possible edge effect as suggested by a previous report of beet leafhopper flight activity around citrus (16). This leafhopper is the key vector species of S. citri in central California (15). Samples were collected from July to November 2006.

To validate the new PCR protocols with field samples, a direct comparison of culturing and PCR for citrus stubborn disease diagnosis was performed. Because the tissue that yielded positive cultures most frequently was fruit columella, columella tissue was sampled from three fruit per tree. Specifically, the fleshly central axis tissue immediately below the peduncle and sepul and the acropetal portion of the columella were used for culturing of the citrus stubborn agent. The remaining basipetal portion of the columella was used for DNA extraction and detection of S. citri using the different primer pairs in conventional and real time PCR.

RESULTS

PCR with spiralin primers. PCR using spiralin-f/r primers produced an amplicon 675 bp in size when high-titer samples, such as strain S616 cells from cultures or DNA extracts from infected plants maintained in a warm greenhouse, were used as templates (Fig. 2). The same primers, when used on samples having lower pathogen titer (such as DNA extracts from field trees), however, failed to produce amplicons even though the same samples were positive for S. citri based on culturing. An example of this type of discrepancy is shown in Figure 2. PCR with spiralin-f/r primers of tissue extracts from trees T4 and T9 produced no visible amplicons, but spiroplasmas were cultured from the same trees and PCR tests on 1-µl aliquots of those cultures were positive.

PCR with P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r primers. In these PCR tests, amplicons of the expected sizes of 707 and 450 bp were readily produced using P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r primer pairs, respectively, using S616 DNA as templates (Fig. 3A and B). By serial dilutions, amplicons were detected to a level of 0.2 pg DNA with these primers (Fig. 3A and B). Spiralin primers, in contrast, produced visible amplicons (675 bp) only to approximately 0.2 ng (Fig. 3C).

The high sensitivity of PCR with P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r, for S. citri detection also resulted in some weak, nonspecific annealing and amplification of DNA fragments from S. floricola, S. kunkelii, S. melliferum, S. phoeniceum, and X. fastidiosa (Fig. 4A and B). These weak bands were not observed when spiralin gene primers were used (Fig. 4C). In contrast, however, the amplicons produced by S. citri templates with P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r were always very strong and clearly positive.
PCR with P58-6f/4r versus P58-1f/5r primer pairs. A large number of *S. citri* isolates were cultured from infected trees in the test plots. PCR of these samples with P58-1f/5r primers yielded an amplicon of 701 bp (Fig. 5B). In PCR assays with our field isolates as well as the UCR strains S600, S616, and C189, differential reaction was noted using the two P58 primer pairs. In group 1, the isolates produced readily observable amplicons with both P58-6f/4r (450 bp) and P58-1f/5r primers (701 bp) (Fig. 5A and B). These same isolates produced amplicons when the P89-f/r primers were used (*data not shown*). Group 1 isolates were represented by T9, C189, and S616 (Fig. 5A and B). Group 2 isolates, exemplified by T4 and S600, produced the 450-bp amplicon with P58-6f/4r primers; however, no amplification occurred with P58-1f/5r primers (Fig. 5B).

Real-time PCR. Quantitative detection of *S. citri* was achieved utilizing both P58-base primer pairs tested. Melt curves showed a single peak from DNA analyzed from infected samples whereas extracts from healthy tissue and no-template controls were always negative (flat line), indicating that no primer-dimer or non-specific reactions occurred (Fig. 6A). Amplicons from real-time PCR were electrophoresed to show that the amplicons were of the expected size (86 and 119 bp; Fig. 6B and C).

Using P58-3f/4r, amplicons of expected size were produced from *S. citri* cultures and DNA extracts from columella of trees T4 and T9 from field 1 were detected (Figs. 7 and 8B). Use of primer pair P58-1f/2r resulted in a cycle threshold (Ct) value of 14 with T9 but produced no fluorescence with T4 (Ct = 0; Fig. 8A), a result similar to that observed with conventional PCR. In real-time PCR, T9 reacted like a group 1 isolate, whereas strain T4 reacted as a group 2 isolate. Hence, in conventional and real-time PCR, primers P58-6f/4r and P58-3f/4r were equivalent and primer pairs P58-1f/5r and P58-1f/2r were equivalent.

In these tests, the real-time PCR assay was able to detect less than 10⁻⁶ ng (from picogram to fg levels) of *S. citri* DNA (Fig. 9). The standard curve had an average regression coefficient (*R*²) of 0.982 with a slope of –3.39 and its amplification effi-

![Fig. 3.](image-url) *Fig. 3.* Sensitivity of different primers for *Spiroplasma citri* detection by polymerase chain reaction. A, P89-f/r primers yields amplicon of size 707 bp; B, P58-6f/4r primers produce 450-bp amplicon; C, Spiralin-f/r (Spln) produce 675-bp amplicon. Initial quantity of *S. citri* DNA in lane 2 is 20 ng; dilution in lanes 3 to 8 is 10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵, and 10⁻⁶, respectively. Lanes 1 and 9, DNA 1-kb-plus ladder; lane 8, deionized water control (DI). Arrow indicates last visible band.

![Fig. 4.](image-url) *Fig. 4.* Comparison of the specificity of the different primer pairs for the polymerase chain reaction detection of *Spiroplasma citri*. A, P89-1f/2r primer; B, P58-6f/4r primer; C, Spiralin-f/r primer. Sample DNA (10 ng) loaded per lane in lanes 2 to 8: Sp = *Spiroplasma phoeniceum*; Sm = *S. melliferum*; Sf = *S. floricola*; Sk = *S. kunkelii*; XfO = Xylella fastidiosa, Ann-1 (ATCC 700598) oleander leaf scorch strain; and XfA = *X. fastidiosa* Dixon (ATCC 700965) almond leaf scorch strain. S616 = *S. citri*. Lane 1, DNA 1-kb-plus ladder; lane 9, deionized water control (DI). Arrows at left indicate amplicon sizes.
ciency was over 97%, indicating a good association between the amount of template and the C\textsubscript{T} values. Thus, an accurate estimation of S. citri DNA in the infected plant tissues was achieved.

The overall coefficient of variation obtained from replicate samples (n = 9) was 1.9 and indicated excellent reproducibility of the assay.

**Validation of PCR to detect S. citri in field samples.** Conventional PCR results with the new primers on DNA extracts from field trees showed a close association with culturing for S. citri from the same tissue sampled (Table 2). S. citri was cultured from 197 of the 761 trees tested in both field plots. From culture-positive trees, positive PCR results were obtained from 94.2% (180 trees) with primers P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r, respectively. However, with culture-negative trees, bright PCR amplicons were present in 10 and 33 trees, respectively, with P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r, suggesting that cultivation was not 100% efficient. In contrast, PCR negatives were obtained from culture-positive samples in only 14.6% (29 trees) and 5% (11 trees) with P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r primers, respectively. Hence, if using culturing as the standard, P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r were 85 and 94% efficient. However, considering PCR positives determined by P58-6f/4r as the standard, PCR with P89-f/r is 90% efficient and culturing is 86% efficient. The PCR positives obtained from culture-negative samples far outnumbered the PCR negatives obtained from culture-positive trees. Considering all of the data, including those confirming healthy trees (negative for both culture and PCR), there was an overall agreement of 90% (685 of 761 trees) and 93.7% (713 of 761 trees) for PCR with the P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r primers, respectively. Hence, if using culturing as the standard, P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r were 85 and 94% efficient. However, considering PCR positives determined by P58-6f/4r as the standard, PCR with P89-f/r is 90% efficient and culturing is 86% efficient. The PCR positives obtained from culture-negative samples far outnumbered the PCR negatives obtained from culture-positive trees. Considering all of the data, including those confirming healthy trees (negative for both culture and PCR), there was an overall agreement of 90% (685 of 761 trees) and 93.7% (713 of 761 trees) for PCR with the P89-f/r and P58-6f/4r primers, respectively.

Real-time PCR performed even better than either set of primer in conventional PCR. This protocol resulted in positive C\textsubscript{T} values for all culture positives and identified 40 additional positive samples from culture-negative trees (Table 2). Thus, both conventional and real-time PCR protocols with the newly developed primers were validated for S. citri detection in comparison with culturing. Comparing the primer sets tested, P89-f/r (conventional PCR) was less than 90% as effective as P58-6f/4r (conventional PCR) and P58-3f/4r (real-time PCR) for field sample detection of S. citri (Table 2).

Citrus stubborn disease incidence was estimated to be 58.3 and 3.7% in field 1 and field 2, respectively (Table 2).

**Incidence of pattern 1 and pattern 2 strains in field plots.** Differential field sample reactions in PCR were observed with the different P58 primer pairs with the field samples. In all, 235 trees were positive with P58-6f/4r versus 50 trees positive with P58-1f/5r in conventional PCR (Table 2). Thus, the P58-1f/5r primers did not detect S. citri in 185 trees (21.2%) that were positive with P58-6f/4r.

On a per-plot basis, field 1 had 78.2% (175 of 222 trees) positive with both P58-6f/4r and P58-1f/5r. Similarly, field 2 had 76.9% (10 of 13 trees) positive with both P58 primer pairs in conventional PCR. These differential test results support a hypothesis that two groups of S. citri occur in the groves, as represented by group 1 and group 2 PCR patterns. These results provide field validation of our earlier finding of differential PCR reactions among the historical CCP isolates S600, S616, and C189 maintained in the greenhouse.

**DISCUSSION**

Lee et al. (13) used nested PCR and primers based on S. citri 16S rDNA sequence for the detection of S. citri in carrots suffering from stunting and purple to yellow-purple leaves in Washington State. They further confirmed the presence of S. citri using spiroplasma virus-based primers. Barros et al. (1) reported developing PCR detection for corn stunt spiroplasma from unique regions of the nucleotide sequence for the S. kunkelli spiralin gene. Wei et al. (20) reported use of unique adhesin-like gene sequences in developing primers for real-time detection of S. kunkelli. For citrus stubborn disease, however, culturing has remained the primary method for detection of this pathogen in field samples.

Grafting experiments demonstrated that S. citri distribution in planta is erratic and the pathogen titer is generally low (12). For this reason, the authors recommended that inoculum collection for transmission tests and sampling to detect S. citri be limited to the hot summer months when S. citri titer is generally highest. In the past, researchers (11,18) used primers derived from...
from the gene for spiralin, which is present as a single copy in the chromosome of all *S. citri* strains examined (11), to detect *S. citri*. The data presented in the present report demonstrate that use of the spiralin primers in PCR provides suboptimal sensitivity, limiting its use for epidemiology studies in California to only the hot summer months. Therefore, we explored other gene sequences of *S. citri* for primers that would have greater sensitivity and would permit a rapid, season-independent method to identify *S. citri*-infected trees.

The *S. citri* putative adhesion-related protein, P89 (Sarp1), is present on a plasmid as well as the pathogen genome (2,10,21) in all *S. citri* strains studied. P58, a putative adhesin multigene of *S. citri*, likely is present in multiple copies in the *S. citri* genome as a result of multiple viral inserts (8). For these reasons, primers were developed against GenBank sequences from both of these genes and selected primer pairs for *S. citri* specificity by BLAST analysis.

![Fig. 6. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product analyses. A, Melting peaks obtained using primers P58-3f/4r showing a single peak in the infected samples and the absence of peaks in the healthy and nontemplate controls. B, and C, Electrophoretic analysis in 5% polyacrylamide gel stained with ethidium bromide of real-time PCR products obtained using primers P58-1f/2r and P58-3f/4r, respectively. Lane 1, 1-kb-plus ladder; lane 2, healthy control; lane 3, nontemplate control; lane 4, T9 *S. citri* culture; lane 5, DNA from T4 columella; and lane 6, DNA from T9 columella.](image)

![Fig. 7. Detection of Spiroplasma citri in field samples by real-time polymerase chain reaction using P58-3r/4f. A, T4 and T9 from cultures (average cycle threshold [Ct] = 14.5 ± 0.4 [standard deviation]) and DNA extracts from fruit columella (average Ct = 26.2 ± 3.1; range 22.7 to 32). Ct values from 10 to 32 are positive for *S. citri* infection. HC = healthy control and NTC = no template control.](image)
Both the P89- and the P58-based primers were at least 1,000 times more sensitive than the spiralin primers. The close association of PCR with these primers with culture results validates the protocol for S. citri detection from field samples. In fact, the data suggest that PCR was better than culturing in identifying citrus stubborn-infected trees. In addition, the real-time PCR assay proved to be sensitive, fast, and as reliable as conventional PCR. Through the selection of the primers, SYBR Green I successfully differentiated between group1 and group 2 strains. In cases where the incidence of citrus stubborn disease is low or when the PCR amplicons are weak or Ct values are high (i.e., >35), the sample should be recollected and tested again. Because S. citri is not a phytoplasma and can be cultured, all dubious samples along with a few strong PCR positives should be confirmed by culturing.

The differentiation of S. citri groups using the protocols of the two P58-based primer pairs was unexpected. Two distinct groups were readily detected in samples when DNA purified from infected plants was used as the template in conventional PCR or real-time PCR. Further, S. citri was cultured from all these samples. The data presented indicated that group 1 isolates represent a strain having general reaction to P58-6f/4r; whereas group 2 isolates represent a different population that lacks homology in the P58 region characterized in the S. citri strain BR3-G (DQ 344811). Additional isolates tested in the Oklahoma lab using P58-6f/4r and P58-1/5r primers in PCR were separated into two reaction categories: (i) strong amplicons with P58-6f/4r and (ii) weak amplicons with P58-1/5r (data not shown). Nevertheless, the two different PCR reaction groups confirmed the presence of genetic diversity in S. citri populations evaluated in Parlier and Oklahoma.

Detection of both S. citri groups in the field samples show that the two populations coexist in nature. A higher proportion of samples were group 1 than group 2. Similar diversity was observed among S600, S616, and C189 and suggested that these groups or populations have been present for more than 50 years in California and represent a stable level of genetic diversity previously unrecognized.

Early citrus stubborn researchers in California observed variations in symptom severity among citrus stubborn-infected trees. Calavan (6) suggested that this may be due in part to various strains of the pathogen. Numerous researchers studying the molecular biology of S. citri have reported variations of the pathogen with respect to plasmids, viral bacteriophage and mutants (5). Rangel et al. (17) mentioned some apparent genetic variability of S. citri populations collected from different portions of California based on preliminary amplified fragment length polymor-

![Fig. 8. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results from cultures of T4 and T9 strains of *Spiroplasma citri* showing differential specificity between the primer pairs. A, Primer P58-1f/2r and B, primer P58-3f/4r. NTC = no template control.](image1)

![Fig. 9. Standard curve generated by serial fourfold dilution of plasmid DNA of *Spiroplasma citri*, showing relationship between starting DNA template spiked into healthy extracts and cycle threshold.](image2)
phism marker data. However, to our knowledge, this study is the first to document stable genetic diversity from field populations of citrus stubborn-infected trees.

In summary, this report describes newly developed primers which greatly increased the sensitivity of PCR for the detection of *S. citri* and also distinguished between two genetic strains of *S. citri*. Culturing for *S. citri* detection is expensive, laborious, and time consuming. In contrast, the PCR protocols described here are rapid and sensitive. In addition, with greater acceptance of PCR platforms in detection technologies, reagents recently have become more economical. Hence, PCR-based detection of *S. citri* from large numbers of field trees is now feasible and can be employed in future epidemiology studies of citrus stubborn disease.
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Table 2. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results and comparison with culturing of *Spiroplasma citri* for citrus stubborn disease detection in trees from two Navel orange groves located in Kern County, CA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR type</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Positive PCR</th>
<th>LD8 missed</th>
<th>PCR missed</th>
<th>Positive PCR</th>
<th>LD8 missed</th>
<th>PCR missed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>P58-1f/5r</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>P58-6f/4r</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real time</td>
<td>P58-3f/4r</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>P58-1f/5r</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Samples collected in August 2006.

b Number of trees with positive cultures of *S. citri* in LD8 liquid medium.

c Field 1. PCR group 2 pattern (amplicon with P58-6f/4r primers pair but no amplicon with P58-1f/5r primer pair) was present in 47/222 = 21.2% of the population. Therefore, group 1 pattern (amplicons with P58-6f/4r primers pair and with P58-1f/5r primer pair) was present in 175/222 = 78.2% of the population.

d Field 2. PCR group 2 pattern = 3/13 = 23.1% of the population. Therefore, group 1 pattern was present in 10/13 = 76.9% of the population.