Long-term partial cutting impacts on *Desmognathus* salamander abundance in West Virginia headwater streams
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**Abstract**

To understand long-term impacts of partial cutting practices on stream-dwelling salamanders in the central Appalachians, we examined pooled abundance of *Desmognathus fuscus* and *D. monticola* salamanders (hereafter *Desmognathus*) in headwater streams located within long-term silvicultural research compartments on the Fernow Experimental Forest, Tucker County, West Virginia. We sampled *Desmognathus* salamanders in 12 headwater streams within silvicultural research compartments that have been subjected to partial cutting for approximately 50 years. We used an information-theoretic approach to test five *a priori* models explaining partial cutting effects at the compartment-level on *Desmognathus* abundance and eight *a priori* models explaining stream reach-scale habitat effects on *Desmognathus* abundance. Our modeling efforts resulted in the selection of two competing models explaining partial cutting effects on *Desmognathus* abundance at the compartment-level. The VOLUME model, which incorporated cumulative timber volume harvested within compartments, received the greatest support and indicated that *Desmognathus* abundance was impacted negatively by increased timber volume removal. The second model, LASTDISTURB, incorporating the single variable of time since last harvest activity, indicated that *Desmognathus* abundance increased with time since last harvest at the compartment-level. For stream reach-scale habitat variables, the EMBEDDED model incorporating the percent of embedded substrate within streams, received the strongest support for explaining *Desmognathus* abundance. Our results suggest that long-term partial cutting suppresses *Desmognathus* abundance, possibly by increasing stream sedimentation and thereby reducing available cover for juvenile and adult salamanders. However, these practices do not appear to have threatened long-term persistence of *Desmognathus* in central Appalachian headwater streams.
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1. **Introduction**

In the eastern United States, stream salamanders are the most numerically abundant vertebrates in most headwater streams, often replacing fish as top predators (Petranka, 1983; Hairston, 1987; Lowe and Bolger, 2002). Because of their abundance and high trophic level position, these salamanders serve as keystone predators, thereby exerting disproportionate influence on biotic community structure in headwater stream habitats (Davic and Welsh, 2004). Although many species frequently forage in surrounding non-aquatic riparian areas (Petranka and Smith, 2005), aquatic habitats for breeding, larval growth, and refugia are necessary for survival (Petranka, 1998). Accordingly, aquatic salamanders often are sensitive to stream habitat alterations resulting from upland watershed disturbances, such as timber harvesting. Despite the importance of aquatic habitats for cover and reproduction, investigations of partial cutting impacts in the Central and Southern Appalachian Mountains have primarily focused on terrestrial environments (Harpole and Haas, 1999; Ford et al., 2000, 2002; Duguay and Wood, 2002; Knapp et al., 2003). These studies suggest that partial cutting practices somewhat adversely affect salamander capture rates and population demography, at least in the short-term. In New England, Lowe and Bolger (2002) observed that *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* density in headwater streams increased with increasing time since last harvest disturbance (e.g., clearcutting, commercial thinning, single-tree selection, and group selection). Also, Perkins and Hunter (2006) found *Eurycea bislineata* exhibited a trend of decreasing abundance with increasing harvest intensity within streams adjacent to...
riparian areas subject to partial cutting 4–10 years prior to sampling, clearcut with 35 m forested buffers along streams, and unmanipulated mature forest (>50 years since last harvest). Upland harvesting activities can negatively alter stream habitat components important to aquatic salamander species (de Maynadier and Hunter, 1995; Corn et al., 2003). Soil disturbance, skid road creation, and removal of streamside vegetation can increase stream siltation and stream temperature in the short-term (Reinhart et al., 1963; Patric, 1980; Kochenderfer et al., 1987), possibly reducing cover sites important to stream salamanders by filling interstitial spaces (Bury and Corn, 1988; Corn and Bury, 1989; Lowe and Bolger, 2002; Lowe et al., 2004). The degree to which streams are impacted, and subsequently recover, depends on a variety of factors including harvesting intensity and frequency, and road density within watersheds (Aubertin and Patric, 1974; Stuart and Edwards, 2006). Water quality measures outlined in many state best management practice (BMP) guidelines, such as retention of forested buffer zones and proper skid and logging road placement, can help mitigate many adverse timber harvesting effects on stream habitats (Kochenderfer et al., 1997; Kochenderfer and Edwards, 1990). However, because partial cutting practices often involve periodic timber removal operations every 10–20 years (Smith et al., 1997), repeated harvesting may produce chronic sedimentation effects that reduce stream habitat quality for aquatic salamanders. In the central Appalachians, Knapp et al. (2003) suggested that if salamander populations do not recover following partial cutting to precutting levels before successive cuts then populations may never reach precutting levels and could remain suppressed in the long-term.

A better understanding of how stream salamander populations are affected by partial cutting is needed as many regions in the eastern United States are experiencing increased timber harvesting following maturation of second- and third-growth forests. Public concern over clearcut harvesting effects on wildlife habitat and aesthetics has, in part, prompted increased use of partial cutting practices, such as single-tree selection, patch cutting, and diameter-limit cutting, regardless of ownership. For both public and privately owned forests, partial cutting practices provide a continuous canopy cover, thereby enhancing aesthetic value, and satisfies economic objectives by generating periodic financial returns throughout the rotation (Miller, 1993). Currently, partial cutting is the most commonly practiced harvesting method on non-industrial private forests (NIPF) in the central Appalachians (Fajvan et al., 1998; Fajvan, 2006). Because NIPF account for the majority of commercially utilized forestlands in the East, the effects of timber harvest practices implemented on these forests have important consequences for wildlife conservation in the region (Fredericksen et al., 2000).

To determine cumulative effects of partial cutting practices on stream salamanders in the Allegheny Mountain region of the central Appalachians in West Virginia, we examined the pooled abundance of Desmognathus fuscus and D. monticola salamanders (hereafter Desmognathus) in headwater streams within long-term silvicultural research compartments that have been subjected to repeated partial cutting over the past 50 years. The long life span, small home ranges, and limited dispersal ability of Desmognathus (Barbour et al., 1969; Barthalamus and Bellis, 1972) makes them well-suited as indicators of disturbance in forested landscapes (Welsh and Ollivier, 1998; Welsh and Droge, 2001). Accordingly, our objective was to determine how repeated partial cutting over an approximate 50-year period affected Desmognathus abundance. Specifically, we examined (1) the influence of variables associated with partial cutting on Desmognathus abundance within adjacent headwater streams and (2) the importance of reach-scale stream variables in determining Desmognathus abundance. We hypothesized that Desmognathus abundance within sampled streams would decrease with increased amount of cumulative timber volume removed. In addition, we hypothesized that stream reaches with greater sedimentation impacts, such as greater percentages of fine sediments and embedded substrate, would exhibit reduced Desmognathus abundance.

2. Study site and methods

2.1. Site description

We conducted our study on the Fernow Experimental Forest (FEF) in Tucker County, West Virginia. The FEF is a 1902-ha experimental forest located wholly within the Un glaciated Allegheny Mountains subsection of the Appalachian Plateau Physiographic region. Broad ridge tops, narrow valleys, steep side-slopes ranging from 10 to 60%, and high-gradient streams characterize the topography of the FEF. Soils are predominantly of the Calvin and Dekalb series originating from sandstone parent material or the Belmont series originating from limestone parent material. All types are well-drained, medium-textured loams and silty loams, with an average depth of 1 m. The climate is cool and moist with mean annual precipitation approximating 145 cm and being evenly distributed throughout the year. Elevations range from 533 to 1112 m. Forest cover is primarily second-growth mixed mesophytic hardwood type consisting of sugar maple (Acer saccharum), red maple (A. rubrum), northern red oak (Quercus rubra), chestnut oak (Q. prinus), yellow-poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera), American beech (Fagus grandifolia), sweet birch (Betula lenta), black cherry (Prunus serotina), and basswood (Tilia americana; Madarish et al., 2002). The majority of the FEF initially was logged between 1903 and 1911. In the 1930s approximately 25% of the standing tree volume was reduced as a result of chestnut blight (Cryptohectria parasitica) (Trimble, 1977). The FEF is managed by the USDA Forest Service’s Northern Research Station for long-term silvicultural watershed and ecological research (Schuler and Gillespie, 2000). Research stands on the FEF are managed using a variety of silvicultural practices including even-aged, patch cutting, diameter-limit, and uneven-aged single-tree selection.

2.2. Methods

We identified 12 silvicultural research compartments, ranging in size from 13 to 73 ha, that had been subjected to
partial cutting practices over an approximate 50-year period. Partial cutting treatments represented a range of intensities commonly employed in the Central Appalachian Mountain region. Cutting practices included: Patch Cutting (n = 3): Stands subject to patch cutting with initial cuts between 1955 and 1958 with the last harvest entry 5–8 years prior to sampling; cumulative harvest volume ranged from 109 to 149 m$^3$/ha. Patch cutting involved removing all stems $>2.54$ cm diameter at breast height (DBH) within 0.16 ha circular plots covering about 12% of the stand during each cutting cycle of 10–15 years; Single-tree selection (n = 4): Stands subject to single-tree selection with initial cuts between 1950 and 1958 with the last entry 2–14 years prior to sampling; cumulative harvest volume ranged from 52 to 137 m$^3$/ha; and Diameter limit harvesting (n = 1): Diameter-limit harvesting involved removal of all trees $>46$ cm DBH with the last harvest entry 7 years prior to sampling; cumulative harvest for the diameter limit compartment was 78 m$^3$/ha. Additionally, we sampled four compartments containing mature second-growth stands logged during the initial exploitative harvesting in the early 20th Century (Trimble, 1977) but that have not been subjected to any further cutting. See Schuler (2004) for further details regarding treatment descriptions. We measured road density within compartments using ArcView 3.8 software (ESRI, Redlands, California). Road densities ranged from 0 to 35.5 m/ha.

We sampled small, perennial headwater streams ($\leq2.5$ m width) within each compartment between June and August 2005 by establishing three, 10 m reaches at the upper, middle, and lower portions of the stream separated by 50 m or greater. Sampled streams fell completely within silvicultural research compartments. Reaches ranged in elevation from 593 to 810 m. Prior to salamander sampling within each reach, we randomly selected six “unoccupied” cover objects at least 16 mm $\times$ 16 mm within the streambed by selecting two numbers from a random numbers table to serve as coordinates with the bank serving as the $x$-axis and the bottom portion of the stream reach as the $y$-axis (Smith and Grossman, 2003). We selected an alternative cover object if the random site was occupied by a salamander. We placed a 0.5 m$^2$ plot over each randomly selected cover object and ocularily estimated percent substrate size class (fine substrate $<2$ mm, gravel 2–16 mm, cobble $>16$–256 mm, and boulder $>256$ mm; Allan, 1995), cover type (rock, debris, coarse woody debris), percent water, percent water class (pool, riffle, run), and percent embedded rock (percentage of the vertical surface of substrate buried in sand or silt). We classified sand and silt together as fine sediment (Gordon et al., 1992). Stream width was measured at 5 m intervals within each 10 m reach (n = 3). Percent slope was recorded for each reach using a clinometer (Suunti, Vantaa, Finland) and water pH was estimated using a pH51 meter (Automated Aquarium Systems, Tustin, California). We measured basal area along each reach by establishing a 10 m $\times$ 10 m plot along each bank. Diameter at breast height was recorded for trees $>7.5$ cm in each plot.

We systematically searched the streambed of each reach by overturning cover objects greater than 16 mm $\times$ 16 mm and raking the substrate. We identified captured salamanders to species. Because it is often difficult to capture every salamander uncovered, individuals were first identified to genus before making any capture attempt to ensure that as many individuals as possible located within the reach were recorded. Microhabitat data was not recorded for uncaptured individuals. We recorded length (cm) and width of cover objects where salamanders were captured and aforementioned habitat variables within 0.5 m$^2$ plots at each cover object location. We recorded habitat variables for each occupied site immediately following capture of an individual to minimize search disturbance effects on stream microhabitat variables. Neitherbrook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) or any other species of fish were present in sampled streams (K. Hartman, Personnel Communication, West Virginia University, Division of Forestry and Natural Resources).

2.3. Statistical analyses

We tested assumptions of normality for salamander abundance data and all habitat measures at the compartment-level (n = 12) and stream reach-scale (n = 36) using the Shapiro-Wilks test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1987). Because only a certain proportion of a salamander population is active on the surface during a given period, our abundance estimates are only a relative measure of actual salamander population abundance within streams. However, surface captures are often significantly correlated with mark-recapture abundance estimates (Smith and Petranka, 2000). All proportional variables were arcsin square-root transformed prior to analysis (Sokal and Rohlf, 1987). If variables still deviated from normality following transformation, we performed analysis on ranked data. Untransformed values for stream-reach variables are reported (Table 1). To identify broad habitat use patterns for Desmognathus at the reach-scale we pooled microhabitat variables recorded for D. fuscus and D. monticola. We calculated means for occupied and unoccupied microhabitat variables in each reach and then compared them using two sample t-tests (n = 36). We set the significance level for all tests at $\alpha = 0.05$. We performed all statistical analyses using SAS v 9.1 (SAS$^\text{\textregistered}$, 2003).

Because stream widths were similar among sampled streams (Analysis of variance; $F_{11,24} = 0.42, P = 0.935$) and salamander abundance was not influenced by stream width (Spearman’s rank; $r_s = -0.05, P = 0.76$) we analyzed reach-scale and compartment-level effects on total salamander abundance. We examined the relation between abundance of Desmognathus with compartment-level cutting disturbance variables (n = 12) and reach-scale habitat variables (n = 36) with a series of linear regression models in an information-theoretic approach. We used Akaie’s Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AIC$^c$) as overall sample size divided by total parameter units examined was <40 (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Prior to model selection, we examined fit of global models following recommendations of Burnham and Anderson (2002). We constructed a series of a priori models based on two criteria: (1) a review of pertinent published literature on Desmognathus habitat relations, and (2) evaluation
of our stream habitat results (Russell et al., 2005). We constructed the following five models to predict cutting disturbance abundance effects at the compartment-level: (1) VOLUME (cumulative m³/ha timber removed over 50 year period), (2) ROAD (density (m/ha) of permanent graveled roads within stream watersheds), (3) LASTDISTURB (years since last harvest in compartment), (4) DISTURBANCE (VOLUME + ROAD), and (5) GLOBAL (a global model containing all parameters). To describe stream reach-scale habitat effects on Desmognathus abundance, we constructed the following eight models: (1) SUBSTRATE (percent embedded rock + percent cobble + cover object surface area), (2) GRADIENT (percent slope of sampled stream reach), (3) BUFFER (basal area of forest along stream reach), (4) ELEVATION (reach elevation), (5) PH (pH of stream reach sampled), (6) EMBEDDED (percent embedded stream substrate), (7) PHYSICAL (SUBSTRATE + ELEV + PH + SLOPE), and (8) GLOBAL (a global model containing all parameters). Prior to linear regression analyses, we determined that no continuous variables were highly correlated using Spearman’s rank correlation with values of $r_s > 0.7$ as thresholds. For partial cutting disturbance models, the time since last disturbance and road density variables were multiplied by −1 and inverse square-root transformed to approximate normality. We ranked all candidate models according to their AIC scores. Although models within 4–7 units of AICmin are believed to have some empirically-based, explanatory support, we drew primary inference from competing models within two units of AICmin (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). We evaluated models based on AICmin differences ($\Delta$AICc) and Aikake’s weights ($w_i$). Aikake weights estimate the probability that a particular model is the best model in the candidate set (Burnham and Anderson, 2002).
than of the LASTDISTURB model \( \left( \frac{w_{\text{volume}}}{w_{\text{lastdisturb}}} \right) \), suggesting some uncertainty in selection of the best candidate model. The DISTURBANCE and ROAD models also had some empirical support for explaining \textit{Desmognathus} abundance (Table 2).

Of the eight linear regression models we constructed for reach-scale variables, the best approximating model \( (w_i = 0.57) \) explaining \textit{Desmognathus} abundance was EMBEDDED (Table 4). This model indicated that increased proportion of embedded substrate within streambeds had a negative impact on salamander abundance (Table 5). The PH and SUBSTRATE models also had some empirical support for explaining \textit{Desmognathus} abundance (Table 4).

### 4. Discussion

Our modeling efforts resulted in the selection of two competing models explaining partial cutting effects on \textit{Desmognathus} abundance. The VOLUME model included the single variable of cumulative timber removal (m³/ha) within silvicultural compartments and suggests that increasing removal of timber volume results in lower salamander abundance, supporting our initial hypothesis and similar to the work in New England with \textit{G. porphyriticus} and \textit{E. blislineata} (Lowe and Bolger, 2002; Perkins and Hunter, 2006). However, differences in stream habitat were not compared among treatments in the former nor were differences in cutting practices noted in the latter, thus limiting full comparisons to our work. Adverse impacts on stream habitat associated with timber harvesting, particularly increased sedimentation, are generally greater with increasing timber removal (Kreutzweiser and Capell, 2001), therefore, salamander populations within heavily harvested watersheds may require greater recovery periods to reach pre-disturbance densities. In part, this relationship is attributable to increased sedimentation during harvest events and subsequent recovery of stream habitat as sediments are flushed from streambeds.

Of our eight stream reach-scale models explaining \textit{Desmognathus} abundance, the best-approximating model included the single variable of the percent of embedded substrate (Table 4). Additionally, our SUBSTRATE model that also included the percent of embedded substrate, received limited empirical support further suggesting that the streams’ physical habitat conditions influence \textit{Desmognathus} abundance. Large substrate (gravel, cobble, and boulders) becomes embedded when fine sediments fill spaces along the vertical surface of the substrate (Lowe and Bolger, 2002; Suttle et al., 2003). Although variable, increases in the proportion of embedded substrate often result from increased deposition of fine sediments in streambeds from upland cutting activities in disturbed forests (Waters, 1995). Interstitial spaces and accessible cover objects are important components of stream habitat for both adult and juvenile \textit{Desmognathus} (Petranka, 1998; Lowe et al., 2004), to maintain moist conditions for sufficent respiratory exchange and to avoid desiccation particularly during dry ambient conditions (Spight, 1968; Spotila, 1972). Effects on larvae may be exacerbated by changes in pH, as larval \textit{Desmognathus} are especially susceptible to more acidic conditions (Gore, 1983). Abundance of \textit{D. quadramaculatus} was positively associated with cobble density in first-order streams in oak (\textit{Quercus} spp.)-hickory (\textit{Carya} spp.) forests in the southern Appalachians (Davic and Orr, 1987). Similarly, we observed that \textit{Desmognathus} in our study occupied larger cover objects relative to unoccupied cover objects. Additionally, the percent of embedded substrate was lower in occupied areas relative to unoccupied areas (Table 1). Sedimentation has been hypothesized as a dominant cause for suppressed stream salamander populations both in the Pacific Northwest (Corn and Bury, 1989; Stoddard and Hayes, 2001).
Negative impacts of increased sedimentation resulting from upstream harvest activities on stream amphibians also are well documented in many forested systems (Burby and Corn, 1988; Corn and Bury, 1989; Welsh and Ollivier, 1998; Lowe and Bolger, 2002; Stoddard and Hayes, 2005; Ashton et al., 2006). In the central Appalachians, timber harvesting typically results in some degree of soil disturbance (Weitzman and Trimble, 1955; Patric, 1976, 1980; Kochenderfer, 1977). In the short term, this can result in greater silt runoff to streams (Aubertin and Patric, 1974; Kochenderfer et al., 1987, 1997). However, increased sedimentation levels associated with harvesting activities are believed short-lived, and negligible within a few years following initial disturbance if proper erosion control methods are used, such as carefully planned skid roads in the region (Reinhart et al., 1963). Nonetheless, repeated cutting entries within a short time period could produce a “chronic” effect by intermittently supplying low-level inputs of sedimentation into streambeds through increased skid road density before previous inputs are flushed.

Because water flow in headwater Appalachian streams is controlled largely by precipitation, most streams have limited capacity to flush large amounts of sediments transported in through periodic flood events (Kochenderfer et al., 1997). A few large storm events produce heavy flows that can transport the majority of streams’ annual sediment inputs. However, these events generally flush this sediment, and previously stored sediment, downstream. Conversely, moderate storms transport sediment into stream channels but lack the force to flush stored sediments downstream, thereby allowing it to accumulate in the streambed (Kochenderfer et al., 1997). Although our road density estimates for watersheds were overly conservative in that they did not include the temporary skid roads used during harvesting that produce the majority of sediment inputs (Waters, 1995), the ROAD and DISTURBANCE models, both including the road density variable, received some empirical support. Accumulation of sediments may be exacerbated by increased skid road density associated with extensive harvest events resulting in a greater proportion of embedded substrate within streambeds. Disturbance resulting in reduced availability of or access to large cover objects may reduce the ability of headwater streams to sustain high Desmognathus densities.

Desmognathus can often occur at high densities in undisturbed Appalachian stream habitats (Petranka, 1998). Hall (1977) estimated 0.74/m² D. fuscus occurring in central Appalachian streams and Kleeberger (1984) reported a range of 0.72–1.4/m² for D. monticola in similarly optimal conditions in the southern Appalachians. Conversely, in disturbed landscapes, Desmognathus densities are reduced or even extirpated (Orser and Shure, 1972; Willson and Dorcas, 2003; Price et al., 2006). For example, many streams within heavily urbanized areas of the Piedmont in the southeastern United States support either low densities of D. fuscus or had experienced extirpation due to expansive agricultural and urban development within many watersheds (Orser and Shure, 1972; Price et al., 2006). Still, many of these types of landscape change represent more drastic, if not permanent forms, of disturbance relative to the partial cutting conditions we examined. Nonetheless, we observed that mean Desmognathus density was approximately 30% higher in streams within mature second-growth undisturbed over the past 90 years as compared to streams in disturbed compartments. Although we lack preharvest data for salamander abundances, suppressed Desmognathus densities in harvested stands likely reflect long-term cutting impacts. The long duration of partial cutting activities within our study compartments should account for any population lag associated with disturbance including unsuccessful reproduction and/or delayed immigration of resident individuals. However, persistence of Desmognathus, albeit at reduced densities, within disturbed compartments suggests that headwater stream Desmognathus populations in central Appalachian mixed-mesophytic forests do demonstrate some tolerance to long-term partial cutting.

5. Conclusion

Currently, West Virginia BMP guidelines recommend a 30.5 and 7.6 m forested buffer zone around intermittent/ perennial and ephemeral streams, respectively (West Virginia Department of Natural Resources, 2006). The ability of these buffers to reduce stream sedimentation following forest harvesting activities has been demonstrated conclusively in the region (Kochenderfer et al., 1997; Kochenderfer and Hornbeck, 1999). Although adherence to BMP’s in West Virginia, particularly use of streamside management zones (SMZ’s) is increasing, regulatory guidance on appropriate road and landing layouts is lacking (Wang et al., 2004). This is of particular concern because skid road density increases with increasing partial cutting intensity (Kochenderfer, 1977). Therefore, planning efforts should focus on proper skid road and landing placement and maintenance to minimize sediment input into streams (Kreutzweiser and Capell, 2001).

Our study indicates that Desmognathus salamander abundance in West Virginia headwater streams has responded negatively over time to habitat degradation from increased sedimentation resulting from timber harvesting. Stream habitat degradation is often associated with declines in long-lived, keystone species, such as stream salamanders (Odum, 1985). In the near-term, density reduction of Desmognathus can result in increased prey species density and biomass, and subsequent shifts in invertebrate community composition and allochthonous input retention (Davic, 1983; Davic and Welsh, 2004). Although our results indicate that impacts of partial cutting practices do not threaten long-term viability of Desmognathus populations per se, further investigations concerning how reduced abundance of these predators affects prey species diversity, trophic cascades, nutrient cycling, and resistance-resilience pathways in central Appalachian headwater stream does seem warranted.
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