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**ABSTRACT**


The Pi-ta gene in rice prevents the infection by *Magnaporthe grisea* strains containing the *AVR-Pita* avirulence gene. The presence of Pi-ta in rice cultivars was correlated completely with resistance to two major pathotypes, IB-49 and IC-17, common in the U.S. blast pathogen population. The inheritance of resistance to IC-17 was investigated further using a marker for the resistant Pi-ta allele in an F2 population of 1,345 progeny from a cross of cv. Katy with experimental line RU9101001 possessing and lacking, respectively, the Pi-ta resistance gene. Resistance to IC-17 was conferred by a single dominant gene and Pi-ta was not detected in susceptible individuals. A second F2 population of 377 individuals from a reciprocal cross between Katy and RU9101001 was used to verify the conclusion that resistance to IC-17 was conferred by a single dominant gene. In this cross, individuals resistant to IC-17 also were resistant to IB-49. The presence of Pi-ta and resistance to IB-49 also was correlated with additional crosses between ‘Kaybonnet’ and ‘M-204’, which also possess and lack Pi-ta, respectively. A pair of primers that specifically amplified a susceptible pi-ta allele was developed to verify the absence of Pi-ta. We suggest that Pi-ta is responsible for resistance to IB-49 and IC-17 and that both races contain *AVR-Pita* genes.
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Plant resistance genes play central roles in crop protection. Understanding molecular mechanisms of resistance should accelerate the development of resistant cultivars (8,12,38). Rice blast, caused by the fungal pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea* (Herbert) Borr. (anamorph *Pyricularia oryzae* Cavara), is one of the best-characterized models for understanding molecular mechanisms of natural defense response (41). Resistance to infection by *M. grisea* follows a classic gene-for-gene theory (35). The *Pi-b* and *Pi-ta* genes are two major blast resistance (*R*) genes that have been characterized molecularly (2,45). The rice (*Oryza sativa*) *Pi-b* gene is a member of a small gene family and encodes a predicted nucleotide binding site leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) protein (45). *Pi-ta*, a single-copy gene, encodes a putative cytoplasmic NBS-type receptor that appears to bind the putative processed *AVR-Pita* gene product to activate the defense response (2,16,17,33). Four haplotypes, one conferring resistance and three conferring susceptibility, have been uncovered at the *Pi-ta* locus in rice germ plasm (16). *AVR-Pita* is a putative metalloprotease possessing properties similar to bacterial effector proteins (17). The cloned *Pi-ta/AVR-Pita* pair in the rice blast system provides an excellent tool for understanding molecular mechanisms of plant disease resistance (2,16,17,33). Molecular characterization of rice blast *R* genes has been enhanced by the completion of rough drafts of the rice genome, an integrated physical and genetic map, and a large expressed gene map (5,11,46,48).

Rice blast is one of the most destructive diseases of rice worldwide (24). Incorporating major resistance genes through marker-assisted selection (MAS) has been successful in controlling the disease (14). To date, over 20 major resistance genes and 10 quantitative trait loci for blast have been described and some of them have been tagged for MAS (4,10,13,15,21,23,26,32,36,37,39,44,49,50). Three blast-resistance genes recently have been pyramided into a commercial rice cultivar by MAS (14). Research on *Pi-ta/AVR-Pita* has led to the development of three markers for the resistant *Pi-ta* allele for MAS (19), but markers for the susceptible *pi-ta* allele have not been developed.

*Pi-ta* has been introgressed into diverse japonica rice cultivars to control rice blast disease (2,20,22,31,34). The *Pi-ta* gene initially was mapped between random amplified polymorphic DNA markers SP4B9 and SP9F3 and was cloned by map-based cloning (2). The *Pi-ta* gene was mapped among restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers XNP 088, 079, and Rubss (34). To date, it has been unclear whether the defense against *M. grisea* isolates involves the combined actions of both the *Pi-ta* and *Pi-ta*2 genes or if the difference in resistance spectrum was due to different *M. grisea* races that were used for pathogenicity assays in several rice-growing countries. The *Pi-ta* gene was reported to be required for the function of the *Pi-ta*2 gene and both genes were inseparable in 1,000 F2 individuals of a cross with these two genes (23).

Severe outbreaks of rice blast disease occurred in the 1980s in the southern United States. Katy, a U.S. rice cultivar, has had effective resistance to the blast disease since its release in the late 80’s (29). Katy continues to have effective resistance to blast in...
Arkansas. Arkansas is the largest rice-producing state, where 49% of U.S. rice is grown (30). The presence of the Pi-ta gene in Katy was verified by the markers for the resistant Pi-ta allele and presumably was transferred from a Vietnamese cultivar, Tetep, through classical plant breeding (19, 29). Surveys have indicated that races IB-49 and IC-17 are predominant in Arkansas (47). Previously, a factor in Katy conferring resistance to IB-49 and IC-17 was determined to be a single gene trait (28). However, it still is unclear whether or not Pi-ta in Katy is responsible for resistance to both races. The resistance factor to IC-17 previously was mapped between two simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers RM155 and RM7102 near the centromere (5, 9). The location of the Pi-ta gene with respect to RM155 and RM7102 has not been determined.

Rapid changes of avirulence genes in M. grisea have challenged the effectiveness of a single R gene (41). Determination of the presence of Pi-ta in U.S. rice cultivars not only facilitates the development of blast resistant cultivars by MAS but also allows the prediction of stability of resistance in deployed rice cultivars. The objectives of this study were to (i) determine whether Pi-ta confers resistance to races IB-49 and IC-17 using two large F2 mapping populations, (ii) develop a marker for the susceptible pi-ta allele, and (iii) determine the map position of the Pi-ta gene using SSR markers RM155 and RM7102.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and growth.** A set of rice cultivars reportedly containing Pi-ta/Pi-ta′ and lacking both genes was used to determine the correlation of the Pi-ta gene with resistance to predominant U.S. pathotypes. Twenty rice cultivars for this study were provided by the National Small Grains Collection, Aberdeen, ID, U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agriculture Research Service (USDA-ARS) and were evaluated for resistance and presence of Pi-ta (Table 1). Rice cv. Katy (Pi-ta/Pi-ta′) is resistant to races IB-49 and IC-17 of M. grisea and contains the Pi-ta gene (2, 19, 28, 29). The rice experimental line RU9101001 (pi-ta/pi-ta′) is susceptible to IB-49 and IC-17 (Z. Wang and Y. Jia, unpublished data). An F2 population of 1,345 individuals from the cross of RU9101001 with Katy was used to determine the association of the resistance to IC-17 and the Pi-ta gene. A reciprocal cross of 377 F2 individuals was evaluated for Pi-ta presence and resistance to IB-49 and IC-17. F2:F3 families were produced at the USDA-ARS Dale Bumper National Rice Research Center.

Cv. Kaybonnet (Pi-ta/Pi-ta′) is resistant to IB-49. Cvs. M-204 and Maybelle (pi-ta/pi-ta′) are susceptible to IB-49 (Z. Wang and Y. Jia, unpublished data). An F2 population of 440 individuals of a cross between Kaybonnet and M-204 and an F2 population of 335 individuals of a Kaybonnet and Maybelle cross were used to determine the map position of the resistance factor to IB-49 and IC-17.

Seeds were pregerminated on moistened filter paper for 2 days at 30°C. Plants were grown in a greenhouse at 24 to 30°C with 16 h of light for 2 to 4 weeks until plants were at the four-leaf stage, at which point they were used for disease reaction testing and for DNA isolation.

**Disease reactions.** M. grisea races IC-17 (isolate ZN57) and IB-49 (isolate ZN61) from the southern United States were used as inoculum (6). Disease reactions of F2 populations and F3 families were performed using standard pathogenicity assays (42), except for F2 progeny from a cross of Katy with RU9101001. For standard pathogenicity assays, plants were inoculated with spore suspensions (2.5 × 10⁷ spores/ml) at 15 ml/tray using an airbrush. Plants were incubated in a dark dew chamber for 24 h at 25°C. After 24 h, the plants were returned to the greenhouse. Disease reactions were assessed 7 days after inoculation. A resistant reaction was based on no visible infection and no conidia produced from inoculated tissue. A susceptible reaction was based on a lesion size greater than 3 mm in length and the presence of conidia in the lesions (42).

Detached leaf inoculation was used to inoculate 377 F2 progeny from a cross of Katy with RU9101001 with both IB-49 and IC-17 by a method described by Jia et al. (18) with the following modifications. Leaves were detached at the tiller stage (7). For one set, four separate leaf segments of each plant were inoculated with five 10-μl droplets of IB-49 conidial suspension (5.0 × 10⁴ spores/ml). For another set, four separate leaf segments of the same plant were inoculated with five 10-μl droplets of IC-17 conidial suspension (5.0 × 10⁴ spores/ml) (18).

Disease reactions of 20 plants of each F3 family were evaluated to confirm the genotypes of F3 individuals. In each F3 family, if all individuals were resistant, the genotype of F3 was designated Pi-ta/Pi-ta′; if all individuals were susceptible, the genotype of F3 was designated pi-ta/pi-ta′; if both resistant and susceptible individuals were observed, then the genotype of F3 was Pi-ta/pi-ta′.

**DNA extraction.** Rice leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. DNA was extracted from frozen leaves using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions or a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) technique (9). For the CTAB technique, 900 μl of PEX/CTAB extraction buffer (6.25 mM potassium ethyl xanthogenate, 0.5% CTAB, 700 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, and 100 mM Tris, pH 7.5) was added to lyophilized leaf tissue cut up into small pieces and put in 2-ml Eppendorf tubes, then lightly vortexed. The tubes were placed in a 65°C water bath for 1 h, mixed with 700 μl of 100% chloroform, and centrifuged for 10 min. The aqueous layer was collected and 800 μl of isopropanol was added to precipitate the nucleic acids. Nucleic acid pellets were washed with 400 μl of 100% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 100 μl of Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 0.5 mM EDTA).

**The Pi-ta gene markers.** Primers for the resistant Pi-ta allele YL155′ (5′-AGCAGGTATAAGCTAGGCC-3′)/YL87′ (5′-CTACCAACAGTGTCATCAAA-3′) (19) and YL100′ (5′-CAATGCG-GAGTGTGCAAAGG-3′)/YL102′ (5′-TCAGGTTGAAAGTAGCA-3′) were designed using DNA sequence information of the Pi-ta gene.

**TABLE 1. Resistance to predominant pathotypes of Magnaporthe grisea is correlated completely with the presence of the Pi-ta gene in rice cultivars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Pi-ta present</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drew</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta/Pi-ta′²</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso 144</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR-36</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR-64</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katy</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta/Pi-ta′²</td>
<td>(2,16,19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta′</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta′</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-5</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta′</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reino</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta/Pi-ta′²</td>
<td>(2,16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimokita</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta′</td>
<td>(21,30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeda</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta/Pi-ta′²</td>
<td>(2,16,34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetep</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pi-ta/Pi-ta′²</td>
<td>(2,49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C101A51</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(2,14,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(4,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujiminoi</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norin-29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimei</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewake</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuyuake</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(15,16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Disease reactions were determined by single inoculation with IC-17 and IB-49 in different experiments; R = resistant and S = susceptible. The same disease reaction was obtained from IC-17 and IB-49.

b Pi-ta presence was determined by the use of a marker for the resistant Pi-ta allele YL155′/YL87′ and the absence of Pi-ta was verified by the use of a marker for susceptible pi-ta allele YL183′/YL87′; Pi-ta presence = yes and Pi-ta/pi-ta′ absence = no.

c The presence of Pi-ta was determined by pathogenicity assays using different M. grisea isolates in different laboratories.
TAGC-3'), and primers for the susceptible pi-ta allele YL183 (5'-AGCAGGTATAAGCTAGCTAT-3')/YL87 (5'-CTACCAACAGTTCTACAAA-3') were developed to detect the Pi-ta/pi-ta alleles from genomic DNAs of F2 and F3 plants by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Each PCR was performed with 5 to 10 ng of total DNA, 5 µl of Taq PCR master mix (2x concentrated, containing 0.5 unit of Taq DNA polymerase, and Qiagen PCR buffer with 3 mM of MgCl2 and 400 µmol of each dNTP), 1 µl of 25 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 µl of each primer in a final reaction volume of 10 µl. The PCRs were performed in a Peltier Thermal Cycler (PTC-20; MJ Research, Waltham, MA) with the following program: 3 min at 95°C for an initial denaturation, followed by 29 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, 30 s each at 72°C; and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products (10 µl) were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% (wt/vol) agarose gels in 1x Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer, stained in ethidium bromide, and visualized using an ultraviolet transilluminator. All PCR reactions for each sample were repeated at least once with the same result.

**SSR amplification and marker analysis.** Three mapped SSR markers—OSM89, derived from GenBank accession D17586 and mapping to the same position as RM101 (40); OSM 91, derived from RM155 (40), and RM7102 (27), located near RFLP marker Y6854R on the long arm near the centromere of chromosome 12—were amplified following published protocols (1,9). Genomic DNA from resistant Kayonnet, M204, Maybelle, and their progenies were used as templates for PCR using the SSR markers OSM89 (forward: 5'-TTTGTTCAAAAGTACATGGAAGG-3' and reverse: 5'-TTTGAACCGGTGGCCACAGT-3'), RM155/OSM91 (forward: 5'-ACCGTCGCTCTTCAGAGGCG-3' and reverse: 5'-CTCACGTTGAGGCGGTCG-3'), and RM7102 (forward: 5'-TTTGAACGGGTGTTAGGATG-3' and reverse: 5'-TCGTGTATCTTGGTACCTG-3') (9). PCR products were analyzed by vertical gel electrophoresis or capillary electrophoresis. Amplification products were loaded on non-denaturing 8% polyacrylamide (29:1, acryl/bis) 1x TBE gels, run overnight at 220V, and stained directly on separated, water-rinsed plates for 10 min with a 50-ml solution of Geltstar (BioWhittaker Medical Applications, Rockland, MA) nucleic acid stain diluted 10,000-fold in 1x TBE. Marker genotypes were determined and compared with disease reactions to estimate the linkage between the Pi-ta gene and SSRs, with genetic distance reported as a recombination fraction (Morgan map units) using desktop computer software, Map Manager QTX (25), to estimate genetic distances.

**RESULTS**

Resistance to two major U.S. pathotypes was conditioned by the Pi-ta gene. Disease reactions of a set of rice cultivars to two major U.S. races, IB-49 and IC-17, were evaluated. All cultivars containing Pi-ta/Pi-ta were resistant to both pathotypes and the presence of the Pi-ta gene was detected only in resistant cultivars and not in susceptible cultivars (Table 1).

To investigate whether the Pi-ta gene was responsible for resistance to IC-17, an F2 population of 1,345 progeny from a cross of RU9101001 with Katy, segregating for the Pi-ta gene, was used to investigate the inheritance of resistance. The disease reaction of each F2 progeny was determined by standard pathogenicity assays (Table 2). The genotype of each F2 progeny, whether homozygote or heterozygote for Pi-ta, was determined by F3 progeny analysis (Table 2; and data on disease reaction of F2 progeny, data not shown). A 1:2:1 ratio of homozygous resistant/heterozygous resistant/homozygous susceptible was observed (Table 2). The segregation data support the model that resistance in Katy to IC-17 is conferred by one single dominant gene (Table 2). The presence of the PCR product, estimated to be 1,042 bp, indicates the presence of the Pi-ta gene (Fig. 1A). All resistant F2 individuals

### TABLE 2. Cosegregation ratios of resistance to two Magnaporthe grisea races and genotypes in segregating F2 rice populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pedigree</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Pi-ta/Pi-ta (R)</th>
<th>Pi-ta/pi-ta (Rs)</th>
<th>pi-ta/pi-ta (S)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RU9101001/Katy</td>
<td>IC-17</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katy/RU9101001</td>
<td>IC-17</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB-49</td>
<td>IC-17</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Disease reactions of twenty F3 individuals derived from each F2 population were evaluated for determination of genotypes of F2; R = number of homozygous resistant; Rs = number of heterozygous resistant, S = number of homozygous susceptible.

b Ratio of 1:2:1 (homozygous resistant/heterozygous resistant/homozygous susceptible) was expected.

data Represented results from pathogenicity assays using IC-17 by standard method; presence of Pi-ta was determined by the use of a marker for the resistant Pi-ta allele YL155/YL87 and presence of pi-ta was determined by the use of a marker for the susceptible pi-ta marker YL183/YL87.

data Represented results from pathogenicity assays of IB-49 and IC-17 by detached leaf method.

---

Fig. 1. Identification of the Pi-ta genes in F2 and F3 progeny of mapping populations using a marker for the resistant Pi-ta allele YL155/YL87 and a marker for the susceptible pi-ta allele YL183/YL87. A, Primers YL155 and YL87 of a resistant Pi-ta marker and B, primers YL183 and YL87 of a susceptible pi-ta allele were used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The DNA templates for PCR were the parental lines Katy (lane 2) and RU9101001 (lane 3), F2 progeny of Katy and RU9101001 (lanes 4 to 11), F3 progeny of Katy and RU9101001 (lanes 12 to 19), and the water control (lane 20). Samples for lanes 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, and 15 are resistant to IC-17 and samples for lanes 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are susceptible to IC-17. PCR products were separated in 1x Tris-borate-EDTA gel. The gel was stained in ethidium bromide. The size of the fragment was estimated using the 1-kb ladder (KBM) shown at left.
contained Pi-ta, whereas all susceptible F2 individuals did not, suggesting that Pi-ta was responsible for resistance to IC-17 (Fig. 1A; Table 2).

An F2 population of 377 individuals from a RU9101001/Katy reciprocal cross was used to confirm the inheritance of resistance to IC-17. Disease reactions of F2 progeny to IC-17 were determined by a detached leaf method. In another test, the same disease reaction to IB-49 for each F2 progeny also was determined by a detached leaf test. The genotype of each F2 progeny, whether homozygote or heterozygote for Pi-ta, also was determined by F1 progeny analysis (Table 2; data on disease reaction of each F1 progeny, data not shown). A ratio of 1:2:1 homozygous resistant/heterozygous resistant/homozygous susceptible was detected in both races IC-17 and IB-49 (Table 2). The presence of the Pi-ta gene was not detected in susceptible individuals and was detected only in resistant individuals in F2 populations and F3 families, confirming that Pi-ta confers resistance to both IC-17 and IB-49 (Fig. 1A, Table 2).

A marker for the susceptible pi-ta gene. A marker for the susceptible pi-ta allele in all samples not containing Pi-ta PCR products. A pair of primers specific to a susceptible pi-ta haplotype was designed to verify that the absence of PCR amplification products in susceptible individuals was not due to a problem with the genomic DNA sample. Primer YL183, specific to a susceptible pi-ta haplotype at the last four nucleotides, 5′-CTAT-3′ (YL183) and 5′-GCC-3′ (YL155). A portion of the susceptible pi-ta allele (1,043 bp, GenBank accession no. AY196754) was amplified from susceptible individuals and not from resistant ones by the primers YL183 and YL87 (Fig. 1B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross, SSR marker</th>
<th>No. of recombinant plants</th>
<th>Genetic distance (cM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maybelle/Kaybonnet F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSM89</td>
<td>21/334</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM155</td>
<td>5/335</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM7102</td>
<td>7/334</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaybonnet/M-204 F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSM89</td>
<td>13/440</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM7102</td>
<td>5/437</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recombinant plants were determined if an individual was resistant without SSR markers linked to Pi-ta or susceptible without SSR markers linked to pi-ta in a plant.

Map position of the Pi-ta gene. Two crosses involving Kaybonnet, another Pi-ta-containing rice cultivar, were used to map the Pi-ta gene using codominant SSR markers OSM89, RM155, and RM7102. The markers for the Pi-ta and pi-ta genes also were used to determine the presence or absence of the Pi-ta genes in both F2 progeny (Table 3). Again, the presence of Pi-ta was identified only in resistant individuals. The Pi-ta gene was mapped between RM155 and RM7102 with 0.8 cM to RM155 at one side and 1.2 cM to RM7102 at another side (Table 3; Fig. 2). From the crosses of Katy and RU9101001 and its reciprocal, however, two F2 individuals containing Pi-ta were susceptible and two F2 individuals lacking Pi-ta were resistant to both IB-49 and IC-17. These individuals were evaluated further using flanking markers RM155 and RM7102. Susceptible individuals contained homozygous susceptible alleles of both RM155 and RM7102 and resistant individuals contained homozygous resistant alleles of both RM155 and RM7102. This suggested that they were either outcrosses or unknown mixed seed. The possibility of a double crossover is very low due to the absence of single crossover events in all of mapping populations discussed in the present study; therefore, double crossover events were left out of the analysis.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we determined that the rice blast resistance gene Pi-ta confers resistance to major pathotypes of the U.S. rice blast pathogen. Continued incorporation of the Pi-ta gene with other major resistance genes and quantitative trait loci into advanced breeding lines is a major focus of rice cultivar improvement in the United States. A pair of DNA primers that specifically amplifies a portion of the susceptible pi-ta allele developed in this study should be able to confirm DNA quality. PCR based markers for the Pi-ta gene developed previously (19) and markers for the recessive pi-ta gene developed in this study can be used to accelerate the introgression of Pi-ta into advanced breeding lines by MAS. MAS can identify R genes accurately and is performed independently of environment and pathogen and, thus, reduces costs of physical and human resources for R gene identification. MAS is particularly attractive to rice blast resistance breeding because it can avoid the following problems. (i) The avirulence genes in any uncharacterized *M. grisea* race generally are unknown. Thus, it is difficult to identify R genes using differential *M. grisea* races. (ii) Each R gene triggers a complete resistance to an *M. grisea* race expressing an avirulence gene, thus masking expression of other R genes (35). Tagging Pi-ta using the Pi-ta gene markers should not prevent the identification of other R genes.

**Fig. 2.** A fine map of the Pi-ta gene. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci near the Pi-ta gene from cv. Kaybonnet using combined data of crosses segregating for resistance to *Magnaporthe grisea* race IB-49 and presence of the dominant Pi-ta gene marker. Genetic distances in Morgan map units of recombination are displayed on the bottom. Pi-ta was mapped between RM155 and RM7102 near the centromere of chromosome 12 (genetic distances, SSR markers OSM89, RM155, and RM7102 shown).
genes in rice. (iii) The instability of avirulence genes in *M. grisea* often makes it difficult to confirm *R* genes (41).

All *Pi-ta*-containing cultivars also contain the *Pi-ta* genes, as shown in this study and previous studies (2). The *Pi-ta* gene is located near the centromere of chromosome 12 (2) and recombination suppression observed near the centromere region (5) is a likely explanation for the inability to separate the two. Wang et al. (43) presented preliminary data indicating that a putative *Pi-ta2* gene was located near the *Pi-ta* gene, near the centromere of chromosome 12. Both genes may be involved in durable resistance observed under field conditions. On the other hand, differences in the resistance spectrum of the *Pi-ta* gene and the *Pi-ta2* gene also could be due to the different isolates that were used for pathogenicity assays, and the inability of sharing isolates may prohibit a clear understanding of the resistance spectrum of a particular *R* gene in different laboratories.

Characterization of an endemic avirulent race of *M. grisea* is an important step in predicting the stability of resistance in deployed cultivars. *Pi-ta* confers resistance to IB-49 and IC-17, suggesting that both races contain functional *AVR-Pita* alleles. A putative product of the *AVR-Pita* allele from Chinese isolate 0-137 has been shown to interact with the product of *Pi-ta* both in vitro and in yeast. Transient expression of both *AVR-Pita* and *Pi-ta* in rice seedlings triggered a *Pi-ta*-specific cell death (2). The *AVR-Pita* alleles in IB-49 and IC-17 have been detected by Southern blots and PCR using *AVR-Pita*-specific DNA primers (Y. Jia, P. Singh, and J. Correll, unpublished data). Analysis of structural and functional relationship of alleles of *AVR-Pita* from IB-49 and IC-17 not only will provide more insight into the protein–protein interaction of *Pi-ta/AVR-Pita*, but also will address the stability of resistance conferred by *Pi-ta* in deployed rice cultivars.

The resistance conferred by *Pi-ta* in Katy has been effective commercially since the late 1980s. Typically, resistance mediated by a single *R* gene is short-lived under field conditions due to the instability of an avirulence gene. Isolates overcoming the *Pi-ta* gene have been recovered in both fields and laboratories (F. Lee and J. Correll, unpublished data). Therefore, the longevity of *Pi-ta* effectiveness in the field is likely due to either (i) the fact that conditions for epidemics have been marginal, (ii) *M. grisea* isolates overcoming the *Pi-ta* resistance may be less fit, or (iii) both. It is equally possible that other resistance genes at the *Pi-ta* locus in Katy also confer resistance to both pathotypes. In the past, seven *R* genes in a cluster were predicted in Katy to be responsible for resistance (3,28). However, no obvious *R* gene candidates were identified by sequencing the 850-kb region surrounding *Pi-ta* (2) and, consequently, no obvious *R* gene candidates near *Pi-ta* have been inferred from draft sequences of the rice genome (11, 48). *Pi-ta* is located near the centromere and an unbalanced ratio of physical and genetic distance is not unexpected (5). If the *Pi-ta* locus is involved in resistance, it is unlikely that other resistance genes are proximal to *Pi-ta*.

In the present study, we determined that *Pi-ta* confers effective resistance to two predominant races of the rice blast fungus in the United States. One way to control blast in the United States is to pyramid other resistance genes with overlapping resistance spectra into *Pi-ta*-containing advanced rice breeding lines using marker-assisted *Pi-ta* selection. For the long term, establishment of a *Pi-ta/AVR-Pita* system should lead to a better understanding of molecular mechanisms of the gene-for-gene system. The resulting knowledge should provide better molecular strategies for the control of the rice blast disease.
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