Prevalence and molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium and Giardia species and genotypes in sheep in Maryland

Mónica Santín, James M. Trout, Ronald Fayer *

Environmental Microbial Safety Laboratory, Animal and Natural Resources Institute, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Building 173, BARC-East, 10300 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

Received 2 October 2006; received in revised form 19 January 2007; accepted 23 January 2007

Abstract

In the United Kingdom and Australia sheep have been implicated as sources of Cryptosporidium and Giardia that infect humans, but no such studies have been conducted in North America. Therefore, a study was undertaken to investigate the prevalence of these parasites in sheep on a farm in Maryland. Feces were collected from 32 pregnant ewes 1, 2, and 3 days after parturition and from each of their lambs 7, 14, and 21 days after birth. The presence of Cryptosporidium oocysts and Giardia cysts was determined by both immunofluorescence microscopy and PCR/gene sequence analysis. PCR was consistently more sensitive than microscopy. The prevalence, by PCR, of Cryptosporidium in ewes and lambs was 25 and 77.4%, respectively. Three species/genotypes of Cryptosporidium were identified: C. parvum, a novel C. bovis-like genotype, and Cryptosporidium cervine genotype. Cryptosporidium parvum and the cervine genotype have been reported worldwide in human infections. The novel C. bovis-like genotype is reported here for the first time. The prevalence of Giardia in ewes and lambs was 12 and 4%, respectively. Most infections were Assemblage E which is not zoonotic; however, one ewe was infected with zoonotic Assemblage A. The identification of only two lambs infected with C. parvum and one ewe infected with G. duodenalis Assemblage A suggests a low prevalence of these zoonoses. However, the high prevalence of the zoonotic cervine genotype indicates that sheep should be considered a potential environmental source of this human pathogen.

# 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Keywords: Cryptosporidium; Genotyping; Epidemiology; Giardia; PCR; Sheep

1. Introduction

Cryptosporidium has been reported in a wide variety of vertebrate hosts (Fayer et al., 2000a). There is now strong evidence that there are numerous genetically distinct Cryptosporidium species and genotypes which are morphologically identical to C. parvum (Xiao et al., 2002, 2004). In cattle, for example, molecular studies have identified four species and one genotype of Cryptosporidium (Santín et al., 2004; Fayer et al., 2006). Although most prevalence studies of Cryptosporidium infection in farm animals have focused on cattle, Cryptosporidium has been reported in sheep worldwide (Majewska et al., 2000; McLauchlin et al., 2000; Alonso-Fresan et al., 2005; Ryan et al., 2005). In sheep, however, most prevalence information is based on microscopy and thus there is little information on Cryptosporidium species or genotypes. In Australia, C. hominis, C. andersoni, C. suis, a novel Bovine B genotype, cervine genotype, marsupial genotype, pig II genotype, and a novel previously unidentified genotype
have been reported (Ryan et al., 2005). In the United Kingdom, C. parvum and a novel genotype were reported in sheep as well (Chalmers et al., 2002).

*Giardia duodenalis* (syn. G. lambia, G. intestinalis) is a commonly identified intestinal parasite of mammals, including humans. *G. duodenalis* isolates from different host species are morphologically indistinguishable from each other and have been grouped into assemblages (genotypes) based on molecular characteristics (Thompson et al., 2000). Assemblages A and B infect a variety of mammals and are the only genotypes reported in humans. Assemblages C through G appear to be host-specific (Monis et al., 1999, 2003; Thompson et al., 2000). Assemblages C through G are distinguishable from each other and have been grouped from different host species are morphologically indis-similar from each other and have been grouped

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sources and collection of specimens

Thirty-two ewes and 31 lambs, located on a farm in Carroll County, Maryland, were included in this study; all were of the Hampshire breed. Fecal samples from ewes were collected for 3 consecutive days after parturition, and samples from lambs born to those ewes were collected at 7, 14, and 21 days of age.

Ewes ranged in age from 2 to 6 years old and were housed in groups in a barn. Feces were collected directly from the rectum of each ewe and lamb into a plastic specimen cup that was immediately capped, labeled, and placed on ice in an insulated container. Feces were transported to the USDA laboratory in Beltsville, MD, and processed within 1–3 days of collection.

2.2. Cleaning of specimens from feces

Feces from ewes were processed as previously described (Fayer et al., 2000b). Briefly, 15 g of feces from each specimen cup were transferred to a 50 ml centrifuge tube containing approximately 35 ml dH2O. The contents of each tube were thoroughly mixed (Vortex-Genie, Scientific Industries, Bohemia, New York), passed through a 45 μm pore size screen into a second 50 ml tube, and the final volume adjusted to 50 ml with dH2O. The tubes were centrifuged at 1800 × g for 15 min, and the supernatant was discarded; the pellet was suspended in 25 ml dH2O and mixed well by vortexing. Twenty-five milliliters of CsCl (1.4 g/l) was added to each tube, mixed thoroughly, and centrifuged at 300 × g for 20 min. Four milliliters of supernatant, aspirated from the top of each suspension, was transferred to a 15 ml centrifuge tube, and dH2O added to reach a final volume of 15 ml. Specimens were centrifuged at 1800 × g for 15 min and washed twice with dH2O before the final pellet was suspended in 500 μl of dH2O. Portions of the 500 μl suspension were examined by immunofluorescence microscopy and molecular analysis as described below.

The quantity of lamb feces was often insufficient for processing as described above. In such cases, a fecal smear was prepared on a glass slide for microscopic examination and the remainder of the specimen was subjected to direct DNA extraction for molecular analysis.

2.3. Microscopic examination

For feces from ewes, a 100 μl aliquot of fecal suspension was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube and washed once with dH2O. The pellet was resuspended in 50 μl of premixed Merifluor reagents (Meridian Diagnostics, Cincinnati, OH) and 2 μl was transferred to a three-well (11 mm diameter) glass microscope slide. For direct smears from lambs in which less than 15 g of feces were present, premixed Merifluor reagent (Meridian Diagnostics) was pipetted directly onto the surface of the smear. In all cases, the slide was covered with a 24 mm × 50 mm coverslip and examined by fluorescence microscopy at 400× using a Zeiss Axioskop equipped with epifluorescence and an FITC-Texas Red™ dual wavelength filter.

2.4. DNA extraction

Total DNA was extracted from each CsCl-cleaned fecal specimen using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with a slightly modified protocol. A total
of 50 μl of fecal suspension was mixed with 180 μl of ATL buffer and thoroughly mixed by vortexing. To this suspension, 20 μl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) was added, and the sample was thoroughly mixed. Following an overnight incubation at 55 °C, 200 μl of AL buffer was added. The remainder of the protocol followed the manufacturer’s instructions with one exception: to increase the quantity of recovered DNA, elution was performed with 100 μl of AE buffer.

2.5. SSU rDNA gene amplification and sequencing

A two-step nested PCR protocol was used to amplify a fragment of the SSU rRNA gene of Cryptosporidium (~830 bp). Amplification was performed using primers previously described (Xiao et al., 1999). For the primary PCR step, the reaction contained 1 × PCR buffer, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 2.5 U Taq, 2.5 μl of BSA (0.1 g/10 ml), and 1 μM of each primer in a total reaction volume of 50 μl. A total of 35 cycles, each consisting of 94 °C for 45 s, 59 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, were performed; an initial hot start at 94 °C for 3 min and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min were also included. The nested PCR mixture was identical to the primary PCR except that a concentration of 1.5 mM MgCl2 was used. The cycling conditions were as follows: 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 2 min, with an initial hot start at 94 °C for 3 min and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min.

A fragment of the SSU rDNA for Giardia (~292 bp) gene was amplified by PCR using primers previously described (Hopkins et al., 1997). For the primary PCR step, the PCR mixture contained 1 × PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 2 U Taq, 2.5 μl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and 0.5 μM of each primer in a total reaction volume of 50 μl. The PCR conditions were as follows: 35 cycles of 96 °C for 45 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s, with an initial hot start at 96 °C for 2 min and a final extension step at 72 °C for 4 min. The nested PCR mixture was identical to that of the primary PCR. The cycle conditions for the nested PCR were identical to the primary PCR annealing temperature, which was lowered to 55 °C. The PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. Negative and positive controls were included in all PCR sets.

2.6. DNA sequence analysis

PCR products were treated with Exonuclease I/shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Exo-SAP-IT™) (USB Corporation, Cleveland, OH) and sequenced in both directions. Sequencing reactions used the same primers used for PCR, Big Dye™ Chemistry, and an ABI3100 sequencer analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequence chromatograms from each strand were aligned using Lasergene software (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI).

2.7. Statistic analysis

Fisher’s exact test was performed using GraphPad InStat version 3.06 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of Cryptosporidium and Giardia by IFA and PCR

PCR was a more sensitive detection method than immuno-fluorescence microscopy. In a comparison of all 189 samples collected, Cryptosporidium was detected in 30% by PCR versus only 9% by microscopy (Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \)), and Giardia was detected in 11.6% PCR versus 6% by microscopy (Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.0671 \)).

The prevalence of Cryptosporidium and Giardia in ewes and lambs as determined by IFA and PCR is shown in Table 1. Microscopic analysis of 3 fecal specimens for each of the 63 animals included in the study revealed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of positives (prevalence)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cryptosporidium spp.</td>
<td>Giardia spp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFA</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>IFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambs</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10 (32.25)</td>
<td>24 (77.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>13 (20.6)</td>
<td>32 (50.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis denote percentage.
that 13 (20.6%) and 8 (12.7%) were shedding oocysts/cysts of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively. PCR analysis of the same samples revealed that 32 (50.8%) and 16 (25.4%) were shedding oocysts/cysts of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively. The higher prevalence found by PCR was significant for *Cryptosporidium* (Fisher’s exact test, $P = 0.0007$) but not for *Giardia* (Fisher’s exact test, $P = 0.1110$). Mixed infections with *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* were detected in four ewes and four lambs (Table 2).

The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* varied considerably between ewes and lambs, with a higher prevalence in lambs (Fisher’s exact test: IFA, $P = 0.0319$, and PCR, $P < 0.0001$) (Table 1). In contrast, the prevalence of *Giardia* was higher in ewes than in lambs but the difference was significant only for IFA (Fisher’s exact test: IFA, $P = 0.0413$, and PCR, $P = 0.2565$) (Table 1).

### 3.2. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates by PCR and SSU rRNA gene sequencing

All 189 fecal samples collected (3 samples for each of 32 ewes and 31 lambs) were screened for *Cryptosporidium* by PCR at the SSU rDNA locus (Table 2).

Fifty-seven specimens corresponding to 8 ewes and 24 lambs were positive for *Cryptosporidium* (Table 2).
Fig. 1. Differences in the 769 bp fragment of the SSU rRNA gene among *C. bovis*, *C. bovis*-like (yak), *C. bovis*-like (sheep), deer genotype and deer-like genotype. Dots denote nucleotide identity to *C. bovis* sequence (AY741305). Only the sequences of the polymorphic region are shown. Compared to *C. bovis*, there is only one nucleotide change in the *C. bovis*-like (sheep) sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>CAAATTCTCTTGATCATTACTTATTGTAATCTGACTATTAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>TTTCTACCGTTGCTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>GGGGAATGGTTGTTGCTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>TAGAGAACTTCTTACCTATGTTGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>AAATGGGCAATGCTGCTGACCAGCCGCTGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>AAAGTTCTCTGTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>ACACAATTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>TTAGAGAACTTCTTATGTTGCTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>TTAGAGAACTTCTTATGTTGCTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em></td>
<td>TGGGGAATGGTTGTTGCTTTAATTACAGGCCGAGGATGAAAGGCTGCTATAGGAAGCTATTACGCTAAAACGCTTAACCATCTGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bovis</em>-like (Yak)</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer genotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The number of ewes shedding *Cryptosporidium* on days 1, 2, and 3 after parturition was 4, 5, and 7. The number of lambs shedding *Cryptosporidium* at days 7, 14, and 21 after birth was 7, 15, and 19.

Sequence analysis of all 57 specimens positive by PCR identified *C. parvum*, *Cryptosporidium* cervine genotype, and *C. bovis*-like in 2, 48, and 7 specimens, respectively (Table 2). In two lambs, more than one genotype of *Cryptosporidium* was detected. One lamb had the cervine genotype and *C. bovis*-like and the other lamb had *C. parvum* and the cervine genotype (Table 2).

Two types of *C. parvum* sequences (AF093490 and AF308600), and three types of *Cryptosporidium* cervine genotype sequences (cervine 1–3) were identified. Specimens in the present study demonstrated 100% similarity to the *Cryptosporidium* cervine 1 (AF442484) and 2 (AY458613) sequences previously reported. The detection of a third cervine genotype sequence, which we designate as cervine 3, is reported here for the first time. The sequence diversity was 0.2% between cervine 3 and 2 (AY458613) sequences previously reported. The similarity to the *Cryptosporidium* genotype sequences (cervine 1–3) were identified.

AF308600), and three types of deer-like genotype (AY587166) (Fig. 1). The nucleotide sequence of the SSU rDNA gene of *Cryptosporidium* obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EF362478–EF362481.

3.3. Molecular characterization of Giardia isolates by PCR and SSU rRNA gene sequencing

*Giardia* was detected by PCR analysis in 22 of 189 fecal samples (3 samples for each of 32 ewes and 31 lambs) corresponding to 12 ewes and 4 lambs (Table 2). The number of ewes shedding *Giardia* at days 1, 2, and 3 after parturition was 4, 6, and 5, respectively (Table 2). The number of lambs shedding *Giardia* at days 7, 14, and 21 after birth was 2, 2, and 3, respectively (Table 2).

Sequence analysis of all 22 *Giardia* positive fecal specimens identified 21 specimens as Assemblage E (AY655701), and 1 specimen, from an ewe, as Assemblage A (AY655700) (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Most previous studies on *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in sheep were based on microscopy and reported prevalences ranging from 2.7 to 38% for *Giardia* (Olson et al., 1997; Causape et al., 2002) and 2.6 to 82% for *Cryptosporidium* (Abd-El-Wahed, 1999; Ryan et al., 2005). In the present study, PCR detection was more sensitive than microscopy, a finding reported in other studies as well (Chalmers et al., 2002; Ryan et al., 2005); *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* were detected in 3× and 2× more specimens, respectively by PCR than by microscopy. Fecal shedding of these parasites often occurs sporadically and in low numbers, especially in asymptomatic carriers; therefore a sensitive technique, such as PCR, should be used when fecal samples are examined. Our results also show that the prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* could be underestimated by both molecular and microscopic methods when only one fecal specimen is collected per animal (Table 2). A negative specimen would indicate that an animal was not infected when there might actually be a pattern of intermittent oocyst/cyst excretion.

Lambs were more often infected with *Cryptosporidium* than adult sheep; this age related difference has been reported previously (Xiao et al., 1993; Causape et al., 2002; Majewska et al., 2000; Ryan et al., 2005). The highest prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* among the lambs was seen at 21 days of age when 19 of 31 lambs were shedding *Cryptosporidium*. In other studies *Cryptosporidium* was shown to peak in lambs less than 14 days of age (Xiao et al., 1993; Abd-El-Wahed, 1999; Causape et al., 2002).

The prevalence of *Giardia* was higher in ewes than in lambs. This contrasts with most studies wherein more lambs were reported to be infected with *Giardia* than adults (Olson et al., 1997; Ryan et al., 2005). One explanation for this difference might be that in other studies, lambs were defined as being less than 1 year of age whereas in our study all lambs were less than 21 days of age.

Cryptosporidiosis and giardiasis are major public health concerns. However, the risk of zoonotic infection from sheep cannot be determined without more extensive, genetically defined prevalence data. The present study suggests that sheep are more likely to be infected with the *Cryptosporidium* cervine genotype than with *C. parvum*. Likewise, in Australia the cervine genotype was also the most prevalent (33/57 specimens), and *C. parvum* was not identified in any of the 60 isolates sequenced from sheep (Ryan et al., 2005). Most studies in which *C. parvum* was reported in sheep were based solely on microscopy, without molecular characterization. Considering those reports in light of recent advances in knowledge and methodology, it is not
possible to know what species/genotypes were actually present. The cervine genotype has both a wide host and geographic range, having been found in sheep in Australia, lemurs and white-tailed deer in North America, blesbok, mouflon, and nyala in the Czech Republic, and in humans in Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Slovenia, and the United States (Perz and Le Blancq, 2001; Ong et al., 2002; da Silva et al., 2003; Ryan et al., 2003, 2005; Learmonth et al., 2004; Blackburn et al., 2006; Feltus et al., 2006; Leoni et al., 2006; Soba et al., 2006), thus, this genotype could emerge as an important zoonotic pathogen.

A novel Cryptosporidium was found in four sheep. Because of the high sequence similarity (99.9%) with C. bovis, it was assigned the name, C. bovis-like (Fig. 1). A high sequence similarity has also been seen between C. bovis from cattle and a C. bovis-like isolate from a yak in China (Feng et al., 2007). Our findings increase the number of Cryptosporidium genotypes, which now exceed 30, and attest to the great genetic diversity within this genus. Many of these genotypes may eventually be elevated to species level classification as more data accrue on unique biological and other characteristics. For example, in cattle, C. bovis (previously known as Bovine B) and the Cryptosporidium deer-like genotype are now recognized as host-specific (Sántin et al., 2004; Fayer et al., 2005). Two other novel genotypes in sheep were identified in previous studies (Chalmers et al., 2002; Ryan et al., 2005). However, it was not possible to compare the sequences found in sheep by Chalmers et al. (2002) because no sequence data were reported and also a different locus was sequenced. Attempts to amplify the Cryptosporidium oocysts wall protein gene (COWP gene) (per Spano et al., 1997) with specimens identified as C. bovis-like were unsuccessful (data not shown). The other novel genotype identified in sheep by Ryan et al. (2005) (AY898790) was different from the C. bovis-like genotype found in the present study.

Sequence analysis of 22 Cryptosporidium isolates identified 21 as Assemblage E (21 isolates) and only 1 isolate as Assemblage A. Assemblage E is commonly found in hoofed animals including cattle and sheep (Trout et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2005). Assemblage A has been identified in humans as well as in sheep and other animals (Thompson et al., 2000; Trout et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2005).

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that sheep are capable of harboring Cryptosporidium and Giardia species and genotypes that are known to be zoonotic as well as those that appear to be host-specific. In addition, a C. bovis-like isolate was reported from sheep for the first time. Clear differences in the prevalence of Cryptosporidium and Giardia were found based on the age of the animals that were examined, with more neonates having cryptosporidiosis and fewer neonates having giardiasis. Because this study dealt with a single farm, a more comprehensive understanding of the overall prevalence of these parasites will emerge as molecularly based epidemiologic studies are undertaken on more farms and over a wider geographic range.
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