'Mandan' Pecan

Tommy E. Thompson^{1,3} and L.J. Grauke²

Pecan Genetics and Breeding Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, 10200 FM50, Somerville, TX 77879

Additional index words. Carya illinoinensis, cultivar, breeding, genetics

'Mandan' is a new pecan [*Carya illinoi-nensis* (Wangenh.) K. Koch] cultivar released by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research Service. 'Mandan' was released because of its high nut quality, high yield potential, early nut maturity, scab disease (*Fusicladium effusum* G. Winter) resistance, excellent tree strength, and late bud break. 'Mandan' should be adapted to all pecan-growing areas of the world. Pecans from this cultivar can be sold in-shell or shelled to produce a large proportion of halves and large pieces.

Origin

USDA conducts the only national pecan breeding program. Crosses are made at Brownwood and College Station, TX (Grauke and Thompson, 1996; Thompson and Grauke, 1991; Thompson and Young, 1985). Seedling clones are established on their own roots or budded to pollarded trees for the initial 10-year testing phase at College Station. Superior clones then enter the National Pecan Advanced Clone Testing System (NPACTS), where they are tested across the U.S. pecan belt in cooperation with federal and state researchers and private growers. After several years, the best clones are given Native American tribe names and released to nurseries for propagation to sell to growers. USDA cultivars are never patented, and after release, growers can propagate the new cultivar as much as desired.

The 'Mandan' are a Native American tribe and one of the seven tribes that make up the Great Sioux Nation (Hodge, 1975). They speak Lakota, one of the three major dialects of the Sioux language. They are the western-most of the three Sioux groups that

Received for publication 30 June 2010. Accepted

Mention of a trademark, vendor, or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the USDA and does not imply its

approval to the exclusion of other products that

may also be suitable. A USDA employee prepared this article as part of his official duties. Copyright protection under U.S. copyright law is not available

for such works, and there is no copyright to trans-

fer. The fact that the private publication in which the article appears is itself copyrighted does not affect the material that is a work product of the U.S. Government, which can be freely reproduced by occupy land in both North and South Dakota. Today they are found mostly in the five reservations of western South Dakota.

'Mandan', tested as selection 1985-1-2, is a progeny from a 1985 cross between the 'BW-1' and 'Osage' clones made by T.E. Thompson at Brownwood, TX. 'BW-1' originated as an open-pollinated seedling at Brownwood, TX, of unknown parentage. 'Osage' is a USDA cultivar released in 1989 from a 'Major' \times 'Evers' cross (Thompson et al., 1991).

'Major' is an old native from the Green River, Henderson County, KY (Thompson and Young, 1985). 'Major' is scab-resistant and has early nut maturity. It was long considered the best of the northern cultivars but now has been largely replaced by newer superior USDA/state cultivars. It is also the parent of two other USDA cultivars: Kanza and Lakota. It has been a main source of early nut maturity and scab resistance for the USDA Pecan Breeding Program.

'Evers' was a seedling tree purchased from J.A. Evans Nursery, Arlington, TX, by W.T. Evers and grown on his farm in Denton County, TX. It was introduced \approx 1950. The early-maturing nuts are small, and the tree is very prolific but scab-susceptible. 'Evers' is a pollen parent for 'Cherokee', 'Chickasaw', 'Shoshoni', and 'Osage' to which it conferred precocity and prolificacy.

Description

The 'Mandan' seedling was initially grown and evaluated at Brownwood, TX. On the basis of preliminary performance, extensive testing was started in Apr. 1996 by grafting an NPACTS yield and performance test at Brownwood, TX. This test had eight replications (single-tree) with a tree spacing of $9.1 \times$ 10.7 m. Yield data indicate that 'Mandan' has adequate precocity, similar to 'Pawnee' (Table 1). 'Mandan' produced ≈ 69 kg of nuts per tree compared with 73 for 'Pawnee' and 66 for 'Desirable'. When considering total kernel produced per tree over the life of the test, both 'Mandan' and 'Pawnee' produced \approx 42 kg per tree compared with 34 for 'Desirable'. Nuts per cluster was 2.3 for 'Mandan', 3.3 for 'Pawnee', and 2.7 for 'Wichita'. The alternate bearing tendency of 'Mandan' appeared less than 'Pawnee' and 'Desirable'. Like with most cultivars, fruit thinning of 'Mandan' in midsummer may be needed in some years.

Average nut weight is ≈ 9 g for 'Mandan' and 'Pawnee' compared with 9.6 for 'Desirable' and 7.8 for 'Wichita' (Table 2). Nuts shell out $\approx 60\%$ kernel. Kernels are cream to golden in color (Fig. 1; Table 2) with medium, non-trapping dorsal grooves and a rounded dorsal ridge. The nut is oblong elliptic with an obtuse apex and rounded base.

'Mandan' has proven to be a consistent producer of high-quality nuts that mature and are ready to harvest 4 d before the earlymaturing 'Pawnee' (Table 2). Time of spring bud break is very late (later than 'Pawnee') (Table 3), contributing to its adaptation to northern sites. 'Mandan' is protandrous with early to midseason pollen shed and midseason to late pistil receptivity (similar to 'Pawnee') (Fig. 2). 'Mandan' should be a good pollenizer for and well pollenized by 'Kanza', Wichita', and 'Lakota'. Trees are compact columnar in growth habit with strong branch angles. This should allow them to escape shading longer than more spreading trees such as 'Desirable' at any tree spacing. Tentative data show that 'Mandan' is very resistant to scab disease (Table 4) and has medium susceptibility to yellow and black aphids.

Table 1. National Pecan Advanced Clone Testing System (NPACTS) data from Brownwood, TX, comparing the yield of nuts of the 'Mandan' pecan with other cultivars.^z

	Yield (kg/tree)								
Cultivar	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2005	2006	2007	Total
Mandan	0.46	0.63	5.28	6.72	2.91	19.34	12.11	21.34	68.8
Pawnee	0.75	0.37	6.40	11.08	1.91	14.21	11.15	26.87	72.7
Desirable	0.05	0.18	3.15	4.50	10.27	17.79	5.14	24.93	66.0
Wichita	0.65	0.83	12.68	11.33	4.47	24.43	2.85	0.00	57.2

²Rootstocks were planted 1983 through 1986, and trees were grafted from 29 April through 30 June 1996. A flood destroyed the yield data in 2004.

Table 2. National Pecan Advanced Clone Testing System (NPACTS) data from Brownwood, TX, comparing nut quality of 'Mandan' with other cultivars.

		Nut maturity (70%		
	Kernel content	Nut wt (g, mean	shuck split date,	Kernel color ^z
Cultivar	(mean %, 1999–2007)	1999–2007)	mean 2005-2007)	(mean 1999–2007)
Mandan	60.4	8.98	Sept. 16	2.9
Pawnee	57.6	8.84	Sept. 20	2.7
Desirable	51.6	9.55	Oct. 21	2.9
Wichita	57.7	7.83	Oct. 24	3.0

 $^{z}1 =$ lightest color.

¹Research geneticist. ²Research horticulturist. ³To whom convint received

for publication 6 July 2010.

³To whom reprint requests should be addressed; e-mail tommy.thompson@ars.usda.gov.

the public



Fig. 1. Nuts and kernels of the 'Mandan' pecan.



Fig. 2. Pollen shed and pistil receptivity for the 'Mandan' pecan and control cultivars at College Station, TX, in 2007. Type I = protandrous cultivars; Type II = protogynous cultivars.

Availability

'Mandan' was released 13 Feb. 2009. As stated, 'Mandan' is not patented and can be grafted and budded as much as desired by anyone. Graftwood was supplied to nurserymen in the spring of 2009. The USDA does not have any trees for distribution. Genetic material of this release will be deposited in the National Plant Germplasm System where it will be available for research purposes, including development and commercializa-

Table 3. National Pecan Advanced Clone Testing System (NPACTS) data from Brownwood, TX, comparing the bud break date of 'Mandan' with other cultivars.^z

Cultivar	Bud break, 2003	Bud break, 2009
Mandan	2.1 d ^y	3.1 b
Navaho	4.3 a	5.0 a
Wichita	3.5 b	3.3 b
Desirable	2.9 c	3.4 b
Pawnee	2.9 c	3.3 b

²Ratings were made 2 Apr. 2003 and 1 Apr. 2009 (1 = dormant, 2 = bud swell, 3 = inner scale split, 4 = leaf burst, and 5 = leaf expansion).

^yMeans within columns followed by a common letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 level.

Table 4. National Pecan Advanced Clone Testing System (NPACTS) data from Brownwood, TX, comparing the scab resistance of 'Mandan' with other cultivars.^z

Cultivar	Leaf scab	Nut scab
Mandan	1.6	1.4
Pawnee	1.9	1.9
Desirable	1.9	2.0
Wichita	4.0	4.5

²Ratings recorded in 2004, 2005, and 2007 using the Hunter-Roberts (Hunter and Roberts, 1978) 1 to 5 scale (1 = no scab and 5 = greater than 50% coverage with scab lesions).

tion of new cultivars. It is requested that appropriate recognition be made if this germplasm contributes to the development of a new cultivar.

Literature Cited

- Grauke, L.J. and T.E. Thompson. 1996. Pecans and hickories, p. 185–239. In: Janick, J.A. and J.N. Moore (eds.). Fruit breeding. III. Nuts. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY.
- Hodge, F.B. 1975. Handbook of American Indians north of Mexico. Rowman and Littlefield, Totowa, NJ.
- Hunter, R.E. and D.D. Roberts. 1978. A disease grading system for pecan scab. Pecan Quarterly 12:3–6.
- Thompson, T.E. and L.J. Grauke. 1991. Pecans and other hickories (*Carya*), p. 839–904. In: Moore, J.N. and J.R. Ballington (eds.). Genetic resources of temperate fruit and nut crops. Int. Soc. Hort. Sci., Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Thompson, T.E. and E.F. Young, Jr. 1985. Pecan cultivars: Past and present. Texas Pecan Growers Assn, College Station, TX.
- Thompson, T.E., E.F. Young, Jr., and H.D. Peterson. 1991. 'Osage' pecan. HortScience 26: 1098–1099.